Math 5143 - Lecture 15
"Simple roots" and the Wei group
$\Phi$ is a root sy stem in vectanpace $E$ with Weyl group W

A base or simple system for $\Phi$ is a basis $\Delta$ for $E$ such that each $\alpha \in \Phi$ can be written as $\alpha=\sum k_{\alpha \beta} \beta$ where coefficients $\beta \in \Delta$
$k_{\alpha \beta}$ are either (1) all nonnegative integers or (2) all nonpasitive integers.
Necessarily $|\Delta|=\operatorname{dim} E$. Not clear apriori that any base exists.
Ex In each root system in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, the rods labeled $\{\alpha, \beta]$ form a base.
Lemme If $\Delta$ is a base of $\Phi$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta$ have $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $\alpha-\beta \notin \Phi$ so $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$. Pf If $(\alpha, \beta)>0$ then our earlier lemme says $\alpha-\beta \in \Phi$ since if $\alpha \neq \beta$ then also $\alpha \neq-\beta$ (since elems of $\Delta$ are linearly, independent) But if $\alpha-\beta \in \Phi$ then $\Delta$ would not be a base. ©
$G$ ven a simple system $\Delta$ for $\Phi$, define the height of a root $\alpha=\sum_{\beta \in \Delta} k_{\alpha \beta \beta}$ to be the sum $h t(\alpha)=\sum_{\beta \in \Delta} k_{\alpha \beta} \in \mathbb{Z} \backslash 0$. we also define $\Phi^{+}=\{\alpha \in \Phi \mid h t(\alpha)>0\}$ and $\Phi^{-}=-\Phi^{+}$ so that $\Phi=\Phi^{+} \Delta \Phi^{-}$. Call $\Phi^{+}$the set of positive roots, $\Phi^{-}$the set of negative roots.
Thu I does have a base/simple system.
For each $\gamma \in E$ define $\Phi^{+}(\gamma)=\{\alpha \in \Phi \mid(\gamma, \alpha)>0\}$. One con always chaser $\gamma \in E \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_{\alpha}$ and we call such $\gamma$ regular.
If 1 is regular then $\Phi=\Phi^{+}(\gamma) L \Phi^{-}(\gamma)$ where $\Phi^{-}(\gamma)=-\Phi^{+}(\gamma)$.
Call $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}(\gamma)$ indecomposable if we cannot write $\alpha=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$ where $\beta_{i} \in \Phi^{-1}(\gamma)$.
Thu If $y \in \in$ is regular, then the set $\Delta(y)$ of indecomposable roots in $\Phi$ is a base, and every base arises in this way.

Pf we make a series of claims.
(1) Each $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}(\gamma)$ is in $\mathbb{Z} \geq 0-$ span $\{\beta \in \mathbb{A}(\gamma)\}$

Pf Otherwise, choose $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}(y)$ not in $\uparrow$ with $(\alpha, y)$ minimal.
Then $\alpha=\beta_{1}+\beta_{2}$ for some $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \Phi^{+}(\gamma)[\alpha$ cannot be indecompocable]
Thus $(\alpha, \gamma)=(\underbrace{\beta, \gamma}_{>0})+(\underbrace{\beta, \gamma}_{>0})$ so by minimality of $(\alpha, \gamma)$
it must hold that $\beta_{1}, \beta_{2} \in \mathbb{Z}_{20}-\operatorname{span}[\beta \in \Delta(y)]$ a contradiction

$$
(\cos \alpha \text { is not in })
$$

(2) If $\alpha, \beta \in \Delta(y)$ and $\alpha \neq \beta$, then $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$.

를 Otherwise $\alpha-\beta \in \Phi, \beta \neq \pm \alpha$, so $\alpha-\beta$ or $\beta-\alpha$ is in $\Phi^{+}(\gamma)$
But then $\alpha=\beta+(\alpha-\beta)$ or $\beta=\alpha+(\beta-\alpha)$ wald be decomposable. $D$
(3) $\Delta(y)$ is linearly independent

Pf Suppose we can write $0=\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \alpha-\sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} \beta$
where $\alpha, \beta$ range over dis joint subsets of $\Delta(\gamma)$ and $c_{\alpha}, d_{\beta} \geq 0$
Then $0 \leq\left(\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \alpha, \sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \alpha\right)=\left(\sum_{\alpha} c_{\alpha} \alpha, \sum_{\beta} d_{\beta} \beta\right)$

$$
=\sum_{\alpha_{1}, \beta}^{c_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta}} \underbrace{\left(\alpha_{1} \beta\right)}_{\geq 0} \leq 0
$$

$\Rightarrow$ so all $c_{\alpha}=0$. Similarly derive that all $d \beta=0, D$
(4) $\boldsymbol{\Delta}(\boldsymbol{y})$ is a base of $\Phi$.

Pf clear from (1)23
(5) Every base of $\Phi$ arises of $\Delta(\gamma)$ for some regular $\gamma \in E$. Pf Given some base $\Delta$ for $\Phi$, we need to find $y$ with $\Delta=\Delta(\gamma)$. choose a regular 1 with $(\gamma, \alpha)>0$ for all $\alpha \in \Delta$. (It's a ( HW ) exercise to show we con always do this $]$. Then $\Phi^{+1 /}=\Phi^{+1 /}(y)$ so every $\alpha \in \Delta$ must be indecomposable writ $r$. This means $\Delta \subseteq \Delta(\gamma)$. As $|\Delta|=|\Delta(\gamma)|=\operatorname{dim} \epsilon$, must have $\Delta=\Delta(\gamma)$.

Call elemi of $\Delta$ simple roots
The hyperplanes $H_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \Phi$ divide $E$ into finitely many regions. We call the corrected components of $E \backslash \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Phi} H_{\alpha}$ the Weylchambers of $E$.

Properties of simple roots Fix a base $\Delta$ of $\Phi$ and define $\Phi^{t / 2}$ relative to $\Delta$. Glens of $\Phi^{\dagger}$ are positive roots, lems of $\Phi$ are negative roots.
Lemme If $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$but $\alpha \notin \Delta$ then $\alpha-\beta \in \Phi^{+}$for some $\beta \in \Delta$.
Pf If $(\alpha, \beta) \leq 0$ for all $\beta \in \Delta$ then argument in proof of (3) in previous proof would show that $\Delta U\{\alpha\}$ is linearly independent.
As this is impossible, must have $(\alpha, \beta)>0$ for some $\beta \in \Delta$ and then $\alpha-\beta \in \Phi$. Since $\alpha, \beta$ cannot be proportional, $\alpha-\beta$ mort be in It (since at least ane ref in $\alpha-\beta=\sum_{\delta \in s} c_{\delta} \delta$ must have $c_{\delta}>0$ ).
By induction: cor tach $\alpha \in \Phi^{+}$can be written $\alpha=\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\ldots+\alpha_{k}$ where $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta V_{i}$ and whore each partial sum n $\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{2}+\cdots+\alpha_{j} \in \Phi^{+}$for $1 \leq j \leq k$.

Lemma If $\alpha \in \Delta$ then $\underbrace{r_{\alpha}(\alpha)=-\alpha}_{\text {hod by def, for any } 0 \neq \alpha \in E}$ and $r_{\text {nattwowal }}\left(\Phi^{+} \backslash[\alpha]\right)=\Phi^{+} \backslash\{\alpha]$
Pf Suppose $\beta \in \Phi^{+} \backslash[\alpha]$. Write $\beta=\sum_{\gamma \in \Delta} k_{\boldsymbol{\gamma}} \gamma$ where $k_{\gamma} \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.
Note: $\beta$ is not proportional to $\alpha$. Thus $k_{1} \neq 0$ for some $\gamma \neq \alpha$.
Then the coeff of $\gamma$ in $r_{\mu}(\beta)=\beta-\langle\beta, \alpha\rangle \alpha$ is also $k_{y}>0$, so $r_{\alpha}(\beta)$ must still be in $\Phi^{+}$since it is a valid root.
(lemme now follows as $r_{\alpha}: \in \rightarrow \epsilon$ is a bijectia)
Cor Set $\delta=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\beta \in \Phi^{+}}^{\beta}$ then $r_{\alpha}(\delta)=\delta-\alpha \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta$.

Lemma Suppose we have a sequence $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in \Delta$. Write $r_{i}=r_{\alpha_{i}}$
Suppose $r_{1} r_{2} \cdots r_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{m}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$. Then $r_{1} r_{2} \cdots r_{m}=r_{1} \ldots r_{s-1} r_{s+1} \ldots r_{m-1}$
for some index $1 \leq s \leq m-1$. [The roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2, \ldots}, \alpha_{m}$ don't need to be all distinct?
Pf. Set $\beta_{i} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} r_{i+1} r_{i+2} \ldots r_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{m}\right)$, with $\beta_{m-1} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \alpha_{m}$.
Then $\beta_{0} \in \Phi^{-}$and $\beta_{m-1} \in \Delta \subset \Phi^{+}$so there is same
smallest index $s$ with $\beta_{s} \in \Phi^{+}$. Then $r_{s}\left(\beta_{s-1}\right)=\beta_{s}$
since $r_{s}^{2}=1 \Rightarrow r_{s}\left(\beta_{s}\right)=\beta_{s-1} \in \Phi^{-} \Rightarrow \beta_{s}=\alpha_{s}$ by prov.lem.

$$
\Rightarrow r_{s} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} r_{\alpha_{s}}=r_{\beta_{s}}=r_{r_{s+1}} r_{s+2} \cdots r_{m-1}\left(\alpha_{m)}\right)=\left(r_{s+1} \cdots r_{m-1}\right) r_{m}\left(r_{m-1} \cdots r_{s+1}\right)
$$

[since of $r_{a} \sigma^{-1}=r_{\sigma(\alpha)}$ ]
Result follows by substituting this expo for $r_{S}$, noting that $r_{i}^{2}=1, \Delta$
$\zeta_{G}$ inter $r_{1} \ldots r_{p} \ldots r_{m}$

Cor. If $\sigma=r_{\alpha_{1}} r_{\alpha_{2}}-r_{\alpha_{m}}$ is an expression for $\sigma \in W$ with $m$ as small as parible ant $\alpha_{i} \in \Delta_{\text {, }}$ then $\sigma\left(\alpha_{m}\right) \in \Phi^{-}$.

Recall: I is a root system with Well graph.
Prep Any given $\alpha \in \Phi$ belongs to some base of $\Phi$. Pf The hyperplanes $A_{\beta}$ for $\beta \in \Phi \backslash( \pm a]$ are distend from $H_{\alpha}$, So it we choose $\gamma \in H_{\alpha}$ with $\gamma \$ A_{\beta} \forall \beta \in \Phi \backslash\{ \pm \alpha]$, and then Chase some regular $\gamma^{\prime}$ close to $\gamma$ with $\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \alpha\right)=\varepsilon>0$ and $\left(\gamma^{\prime}, \beta\right)>\varepsilon \forall \beta \in \Phi \backslash[ \pm \alpha]$ then weill have $\alpha \in \Delta\left(\gamma^{\prime}\right) \cdot D$

Fix a base $\Delta$ for $\Phi$.

The If $\Delta^{\prime}$ is any base for $\Phi$ then there exists a unique element $\sigma \in W$ with $\sigma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\Delta$. Moreover, it holds that $W=\left\langle r_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\rangle\left[\right.$ Real $\left.\mid: W \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\left\langle r_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Phi\right\rangle\right]$
Pf Let $\tilde{w}=\left\langle r_{\alpha} \mid \alpha \in \Delta\right\rangle \leqslant W$ Well show below that $\tilde{w}=w$. Let $\delta=\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}}^{\alpha}$ and choose a regular $r \in E$ along with $\sigma \in \tilde{W}$ such that $(\sigma(r), \delta)$ is maximal. If $\alpha$ is simple not then $r_{\alpha} \sigma \in \tilde{W}$ so our maximality assumption $\Rightarrow(\sigma(\gamma), \delta) \geq\left(r_{\alpha} \sigma((), \delta)\right.$ $=\left(\sigma(\gamma), r_{\alpha}(\delta)\right)=(\sigma(\gamma), \delta-\alpha)=(\sigma(y), \delta)-(\sigma(\gamma), \alpha) \forall \alpha \in \Delta$ $\left.\left.\begin{array}{c}\uparrow \\ \left(r_{\alpha}(x), r\right)\end{array}\right)=\left(\alpha_{1}, r_{\alpha}(y)\right) \forall x_{1},\right) \in E, \alpha \in \mathbb{}$ Thus $(\sigma(\gamma), \alpha) \geqslant 0 \forall \alpha \in \Delta$. Equality never halle since $\gamma$ is regular and $0 \neq\left(\gamma, \sigma^{-1}(\alpha)\right)=(\sigma(\gamma), \alpha)$

Thus we have $(\sigma(\gamma), \alpha)>0 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta$.
It $\Delta^{\prime}$ is any base then $\Delta^{\prime}=\Delta(\gamma)$ for some regular $\gamma \in E$ and if we choose $\sigma \in \tilde{W}$ as above then evidently $\Delta=\Delta(\sigma(\gamma))=\sigma^{-1}(\Delta(\gamma))=\sigma^{-1}\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)$. so for and base $\Delta^{\prime}$ there is at leapt some $\sigma \in \tilde{W} \subseteq w$ with $\sigma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\Delta$ To show that $\tilde{W}=W$, it suffices to check that $r_{\alpha} \in \tilde{W} \forall \alpha \in \Phi$. Given $\alpha \in \Phi$, choose a base $\Delta^{\prime}$ with $\alpha \in \Delta^{\prime}$, and then choose $\sigma \in \tilde{w}$ with $\sigma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\Delta$. Set $\beta=\sigma(\alpha) \in \Delta$, and then we have $r_{\beta}=r_{\sigma(\alpha)}=\sigma r_{\alpha} \sigma_{\uparrow}^{-1} \in \tilde{W}$ so $r_{\alpha}=\sigma^{-1} r_{\beta} \sigma \in \tilde{W}$ as well. became $\beta \in \Delta_{\text {, so }} s_{\beta} \in \tilde{W}$

Finally, need to show that the element $\sigma \in \tilde{W}=W$ with $\sigma\left(\Delta^{\prime}\right)=\Delta$ is unique for a given base $\Delta^{\prime}$ of $\Phi$.
we appeal to technical lemma above: its enough to show that if $\sigma \in W$ has $\sigma(\Delta)=\Delta$ then $\sigma=1$.
Assume $\sigma(\Delta)=\Delta$ and write $\sigma=r_{1} r_{2} \ldots r_{m}$ where $r_{i}=r_{\alpha_{i}}$ for some simple roots $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \ldots, \alpha_{m} \in \Delta_{\text {, }}$ and assume $m$ is minimal. If $\sigma \neq 1$ then $m>0$ so by corollary above $\sigma\left(\alpha_{m}\right) \in \Phi^{-} \Rightarrow \sigma(\Delta) \neq \Delta \subseteq \Phi^{+}$ Thus the only way to have $\sigma(\Delta)=\Delta$ is if $m=0$ and then $\sigma=10$

Fix an ordering $\alpha_{1} \alpha_{2} \alpha_{3} \ldots \alpha_{n}$ of the roots in $\Delta$. [Here $\Delta=\left[\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right]$ and $\alpha_{i} \neq \alpha_{j}$ for $i \neq j$ ]

We call ant minimal length expression

$$
\sigma=r_{i}, r_{i_{2}} \cdots r_{i e} \quad \text { where } r_{j} \stackrel{\text { deft }}{=} r_{\alpha_{j}}
$$

a reduced expression for $\sigma \in W$. Set $l(w)=\ell$
Call this the length of $w$.
Prop If of W then $l(\sigma)=\#\left\{\alpha \in \Phi^{+} \mid \sigma(\alpha) \in \Phi^{-}\right\}$
Note: this gives $l\left(r_{\alpha}\right)=1 \quad \forall \alpha \in \Delta$.
Pf. Use induction + earlier lommes, see textbook. I

