This document is a transcript of the lecture, so is more like an abbreviated set of lecture slides than complete lecture notes. For the latter, consult the textbook listed on the course webpage.

## 1 Review from last time

In the previous lecture we introduced two more sources of representations in representation theory:

- Quivers $Q=(I, E)$, which are directed graphs with vertices $I$ and edges $E$, with self-loops and multiple edges allowed. A quiver representation $\left(V_{\bullet}, \rho_{\bullet}\right)$ consists of the following data:

1. For each vertex $i \in I$, we assign a vector space $V_{i}$.
2. For each (directed) edge $i \rightarrow j$, we assign a linear map $\rho_{i j}: V_{i} \rightarrow V_{j}$.

There is a bijection between quiver representations of $Q$ and algebra representations of its associated path algebra, which is unital when $I$ is finite.

- Lie algebras $(\mathfrak{g},[\cdot, \cdot])$, which consist of a $K$-vector space $\mathfrak{g}$ and bilinear map $[\cdot, \cdot]$ called the Lie bracket satisfying:

1. Anticommutativity: $[x, x]=0$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{g}$, which implies $[x, y]=-[y, x]$ for all $x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$.
2. Jacobi identity: We have $[x,[y, z]]+[y,[z, x]]+[z,[x, y]]=0 \forall x, y, z \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Despite the name, a Lie algebra is not technically an algebra, at least how we have defined it, since when we say "algebra" we implicitly assume associativity and the existence of a unit element, but the Lie bracket for a Lie algebra usually has neither property.

A Lie algebra representation is a pair $(V, \rho)$ such that

1. $V$ is a vector space over the same ground field $K$ as $\mathfrak{g}$.
2. $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g l}(V)$ is Lie algebra morphism, meaning a linear map preserving the relevant Lie brackets. Here $\mathfrak{g l}(V)$ is the set of all linear maps $V \rightarrow V$ (so the same vector space as $\operatorname{End}(V)$ ) but viewed as a Lie algebra with bracket

$$
\left[L_{1}, L_{2}\right]=L_{1} \circ L_{2}-L_{2} \circ L_{1}, \forall L_{1}, L_{2} \in \mathfrak{g l}(V)
$$

There exists a bijection between Lie algebra representation of $\mathfrak{g}$ and algebra representations of $U(\mathfrak{g})$, its universal enveloping algebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ (which will be given a second definition later today).

## 2 Tensor products of vector spaces

Let $V$ and $W$ be two $K$-vector spaces. Their direct product is simply the set of pairs

$$
V \times W=\{(v, w): v \in w, b \in W\}
$$

This object is just a set, not a vector space. Define the free product $V * W$ to be the $K$-vector space with $V \times W$ as a basis. Each element of $V * W$ is a finite linear combination of pairs $(v, w) \in V \times W$.

One way to define the tensor product of $V$ and $W$ is as the quotient vector space

$$
V \otimes W \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(V * W) / \mathcal{I}_{V, W}
$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{V, W}$ is the subspace spanned by all elements of the form

- $\left(v_{1}+v_{2}, w\right)-\left(v_{1}, w\right)-\left(v_{2}, w\right)$,
- $\left(v, w_{1}+w_{2}\right)-\left(v, w_{1}\right)-\left(v, w_{2}\right)$,
- $(a v, w)-a(v, w)$, or
- $(v, a w)-a(v, w)$,
for any $a \in K, v_{1}, v_{2}, v \in V$, and $w_{1}, w_{2}, w \in W$.
If $x \in V$ and $y \in W$, then we write $x \otimes y \in V \otimes W$ for the image of the pair $(x, y) \in V \times W \subset V * W$ under the quotient map $V * W \rightarrow V \otimes W$. This means that

$$
x \otimes y \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(x, y)+\mathcal{I}_{V, W}
$$

if we view elements of a vector space quotient a cosets of a subspace.
We refer to $x \otimes y$ as a pure tensor. Not all elements of $V \otimes W$ are pure tensors, but every element is a finite linear combination of pure tensors.
We can manipulate pure tensors without changing their value in $V \otimes W$ using the following identities:
$\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \otimes w=v_{1} \otimes w+v_{2} \otimes w, \quad v \otimes\left(w_{1}+w_{2}\right)=v \otimes w_{1}+v \otimes w_{2}, \quad(c v) \otimes w=c(v \otimes w)=v \otimes(c w)$
for $v_{1}, v_{2}, v \in V, w_{1}, w_{2}, w \in W$, and $c \in K$.
These equations hold because the differences between the two sides belong to the subspace $\mathcal{I}_{V, W}$.
This means that we can have $x \otimes y=x^{\prime} \otimes y^{\prime}$ when $x \neq x^{\prime}$ and $y \neq y^{\prime}$.
A simple example is when $x^{\prime}=-x \in V$ and $y^{\prime}=-y \in W$.

Exercise 2.1 (Important to do once). If $\left\{v_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ is a basis of $V$ and $\left\{w_{j}: j \in J\right\}$ is a basis of $W$ then the set of pure tensors $\left\{v_{i} \otimes w_{j}:(i, j) \in I \times J\right\}$ is a basis of $V \otimes W$.

Exercise 2.2. If $U, V$, and $W$ are $K$-vector spaces, then there is a unique isomorphism

$$
(U \otimes V) \otimes W \xrightarrow{\sim} U \otimes(V \otimes W)
$$

that sends $u \otimes(v \otimes w) \mapsto(u \otimes v) \otimes w$ for each $u \in U, v \in V$, and $w \in W$.
As a result of this exercise, there is a canonical isomorphism between any way of forming the tensor product between a finite sequence of vector spaces ( n principle, each way requires us to choose a parenthesization of the factors, since we can only tensor two spaces at a time). For example:
$V_{1} \otimes\left(\left(V_{2} \otimes V_{3}\right) \otimes V_{4}\right) \cong V_{1} \otimes\left(V_{2} \otimes\left(V_{3} \otimes V_{4}\right)\right) \cong\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}\right) \otimes\left(V_{3} \otimes V_{4}\right) \cong\left(\left(V_{1} \otimes V_{2}\right) \otimes V_{3}\right) \otimes V_{4} \cong\left(V_{1} \otimes\left(V_{2} \otimes V_{3}\right)\right) \otimes V_{4}$.
In view of this, we will ignore the issue of parenthesization and just define

$$
V^{\otimes 0} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} K \quad \text { and } \quad V^{\otimes n} \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} V \otimes \cdots \otimes V(n \text { factors }) .
$$

## 3 Tensor products of linear maps

If $f \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(V, V^{\prime}\right)$ and $g \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(W, W^{\prime}\right)$ are two linear maps then their tensor product is the unique linear map $f \otimes g: V \otimes W \rightarrow V^{\prime} \otimes W^{\prime}$ that acts on pure tensors as

$$
v \otimes w \mapsto f(v) \otimes g(w) \quad \text { for all } v \in V \text { and } w \in W
$$

There are some things to check to make sure that this is well-defined. Since $V \times W$ is a basis for $V * W$, there is certainly a unique linear map $f * g: V * W \rightarrow V^{\prime} \otimes W^{\prime}$ that sends

$$
(v, w) \mapsto f(v) \otimes g(w) \quad \text { for all } v \in V \text { and } w \in W
$$

We want know that the map $f * g$ descends to a well-defined map of quotient spaces $V \otimes W \rightarrow V^{\prime} \otimes W^{\prime}$, since this will give exactly our desired map $f \otimes g$. So we need to verify that $(f * g)\left(\mathcal{I}_{V, W}\right)=0 \subseteq V^{\prime} \otimes W^{\prime}$.

To check this, it is enough to show that $f * g$ sends each element in the spanning set for $\mathcal{I}_{V, W}$ to zero. This is some fairly routine algebra. For instance, if $v_{1}, v_{2} \in V$ and $w \in W$ then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(f * g)\left(\left(v_{1}+v_{2}, w\right)-\left(v_{1}, w\right)-\left(v_{2}, w\right)\right) & =(f * g)\left(\left(v_{1}+v_{2}, w\right)\right)-(f * g)\left(\left(v_{1}, w\right)\right)-(f * g)\left(\left(v_{2}, w\right)\right) \\
& =f\left(v_{1}+v_{2}\right) \otimes g(w)-f\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes g(w)-f\left(v_{2}\right) \otimes g(w) \\
& =f\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes g(w)+f\left(v_{2}\right) \otimes g(w)-f\left(v_{1}\right) \otimes g(w)-f\left(v_{2}\right) \otimes g(w) \\
& =0
\end{aligned}
$$

as needed. The calculations showing that $f * g$ kills off the other elements spanning $\mathcal{I}_{V, W}$ are similar.

## 4 Tensor algebra

In this section, we introduce an object called the tensor algebra of a vector space $V$.
This is given as a vector space by the infinite direct sum

$$
\mathcal{T} V \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}
$$

Remember that the elements of an infinite direct sum are finite sums of elements from the summands.
We view $\mathcal{T} V$ as a $K$-algebra by defining

$$
a b \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} a \otimes b \quad \text { for } a \in V^{\otimes m} \text { and } b \in V^{\otimes n}
$$

and extending by bilinearity. Here we view $a \otimes b \in V^{\otimes(m+n)}$. This product is associative, since the tensor product is associative. The unit of the resulting tensor algebra $\mathcal{T} V$ is the field unit $1=1_{K} \in K=V^{\otimes 0}$.
Notice that $\mathcal{T} V$ is an algebra even when $V=0$, since then $\mathcal{T} V=\mathcal{T} 0=K$.
Exercise 4.1. We may identify tensor algebras with free algebras. Suppose $V$ is finite-dimensional with basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right\}$. Then there is a unique algebra isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{T} V \xrightarrow{\sim} K\left\langle X_{1}, \ldots, X_{N}\right\rangle
$$

that sends $v_{i_{1}} \otimes v_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{k}} \mapsto X_{i_{1}} X_{i_{2}} \cdots X_{i_{k}}$. A similar isomorphisms exists when $V$ is infinitedimensional, if we allow infinitely-many variables in the free algebra.

We mention three interesting quotients of the tensor algebra.

### 4.1 Symmetric algebras

The first quotient is called the symmetric algebra of $V$. This is defined by

$$
\mathcal{S} V \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{T} V /\langle v \otimes w-w \otimes v: v, w \in V\rangle
$$

Recall that " $\langle v \otimes w-w \otimes v: v, w \in V\rangle$ " means the intersection of all two-sided ideals in $\mathcal{T} V$ containing all of the differences $v \otimes w-w \otimes v$ for each $v, w \in V$.

The symmetric algebra $\mathcal{S} V$ is always commutative. We have $\mathcal{T} V \cong \mathcal{S} V$ if and only if $\operatorname{dim} V \leq 1$.
Example 4.2. We may identify symmetric algebras with polynomial algebras. Suppose $V$ is finitedimensional with basis $\left\{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{N}\right\}$. Then there is a unique algebra isomorphism

$$
\mathcal{S} V \xrightarrow{\sim} K\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{N}\right]
$$

that sends $v_{i_{1}} \otimes v_{i_{2}} \otimes \cdots \otimes v_{i_{k}} \mapsto x_{i_{1}} x_{i_{2}} \cdots x_{i_{k}}$. A similar isomorphisms exists when $V$ is infinitedimensional, if we allow infinitely-many variables in the polynomial algebra.

### 4.2 Exterior algebras

The second quotient is called the exterior algebra of $V$. This is defined by

$$
\bigwedge V \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{T} V /\langle v \otimes v: v \in V\rangle
$$

Define $x \wedge y$ to be the image $x \otimes y \in V \otimes V$ under the quotient map $\mathcal{T} V \rightarrow \bigwedge V$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =(x+y) \wedge(x+y) \\
& =x \wedge y+x \wedge y+y \wedge x+y \wedge y \\
& =x \wedge y+y \wedge x
\end{aligned}
$$

so $x \wedge y=-y \wedge x$. This shows that the operation $\wedge$ defines an anti-commutative product for $\wedge V$.
Example 4.3. Choosing a basis for $V$ determines an isomorphism from $\Lambda V$ to a "polynomial algebra" in which the variables anti-commute in the sense that $x_{i} x_{j}=-x_{j} x_{i}$.

### 4.3 Universal enveloping algebras

If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a Lie algebra then its universal enveloping algebra is the quotient of the tensor algebra

$$
U(\mathfrak{g}) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \mathcal{T} \mathfrak{g} /\langle x \otimes y-y \otimes x-[x, y]: x, y \in \mathfrak{g}\rangle
$$

This definition is equivalent to the one in the last lecture. The advantage of this formulation is that it does not depend on a choice of basis for $V$. Our previous definition relied on such a choice, and it was not clear that we got the same algebra for different choices of basis.

## 5 Tensor product of modules

Building on our definition of vector space tensor products, we can now define more general tensor products of modules over a (not necessarily commutative) algebra.

### 5.1 Right modules with left modules

Consider the following setting:

1. $A, B, C$ are algebras over the same field $K$.
2. $V$ is a right $B$-module.
3. $W$ is a left $B$-module.

Then we define $V \otimes_{B} W$ to be the vector space quotient

$$
V \otimes_{B} W \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(V \otimes W) / K-\operatorname{span}\{v b \otimes w-v \otimes b w: v \in V, w \in W, b \in B\} .
$$

In general, this object only has the structure of a $K$-vector space.
Specifically, if $B$ is non-commutative, then $V \otimes_{B} W$ is not naturally a left or right module for $B$.
We refer to $V \otimes_{B} W$ as the tensor product of $V$ and $W$ over $B$. If $v \in V$ and $w \in W$ then we write

$$
v \otimes_{B} w \in V \otimes_{B} W
$$

for the image of $v \otimes w \in V \otimes W$ under the quotient map $V \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes_{B} W$. Notice that if $b \in B$ then

$$
v b \otimes_{B} w=v \otimes_{B} b w .
$$

### 5.2 Bimodules

Continuing the setup from the previous section, we now assume in addition that:

- $V$ is an $(A, B)$-bimodule, meaning that

1. $V$ has both right $B$-module and left $A$-module structures;
2. these structures are compatible in the sense that $(a v) b=a(v b)$ for all $a \in A, b \in B, v \in V$.

Assume likewise that

- $W$ is a $(B, C)$-bimodule, meaning that

1. $W$ has both left $B$-module and right $C$-module structures;
2. these structures are compatible in the sense that $(b w) c=b(w c)$, for all $b \in B, c \in C, w \in W$.

Then the vector space $V \otimes_{B} W$ has a $(A, C)$-bimodule structure defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
a\left(v \otimes_{B} w\right) \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}(a v) \otimes_{B} w & \text { if } a \in A \\
\left(v \otimes_{B} w\right) c \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} v \otimes_{B}(w c) & \text { if } c \in C
\end{array} \quad \text { for } v \in V \text { and } w \in W\right.
$$

The case when $A=B=C$ is worth noting. In this situation, $V$ and $W$ are both ( $B, B$ )-bimodules, and the tensor product $V \otimes_{B} W$ is also a $(B, B)$-bimodule.

Remark 5.1. If the algebra $B$ is commutative, then left and right $B$-modules are the same as $(B, B)$ bimodules (do you see why?), and so we can form the tensor product of two left $B$-modules or two right $B$-modules. However, this is secretly just doing the $(B, B)$-bimodule tensor product.

## 6 Diagrammatic definition of an algebra

Now that we have a good handle on vector space tensor products, we can given an alternate definition of an algebra. This consists of a $K$-vector space $A$ with linear maps $\nabla: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $\iota: K \rightarrow A$ that make the following diagrams commute:


The diagonal arrows on the right are the linear maps $K \otimes A \rightarrow A$ and $A \otimes K \rightarrow A$ sending $1_{K} \otimes a \mapsto a$ and $a \otimes 1_{K} \mapsto a$ for all $a \in A$. These maps are vector space isomorphisms.

Under this formulation, the product in $A$ is $a b \stackrel{\text { def }}{=} \nabla(a \otimes b)$ and the unit is $\iota\left(1_{K}\right) \in A$.
One nice feature of this definition is that it naturally suggests the definition of a coalgebra: this is the object one gets by repeating the above definition but reversing the direction of all arrows.

