

## 11th HKUST Undergraduate Math Competition – Senior Level

April 25th, 2026

### Suggested Solutions

#### Problem 1.

**Remark.** One may recognize this result as the **Wolstenholme's congruence** from elementary number theory.

Since  $p$  is an odd prime, we can pair the summands  $\frac{1}{k}$  and  $\frac{1}{p-k}$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, p-1$ :

$$\frac{1}{k} + \frac{1}{p-k} = \frac{p}{k(p-k)}.$$

Hence summing over all  $k$  gives

$$\sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k} = \frac{p}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{1}{k(p-k)}.$$

Hence we have an equation as integers

$$2m = p \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{n}{k(p-k)}.$$

Since  $p$  is odd, it suffices to show that  $p$  divides  $S = \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{n}{k(p-k)}$ , which is an integer since the denominator is not divisible by  $p$ .

We observe that  $p-k \equiv -k \pmod{p}$  and also  $\{1^{-1}, \dots, (p-1)^{-1}\} \equiv \{1, \dots, p-1\} \pmod{p}$  is the same set of residues. Hence

$$S \equiv - \sum_{k=1}^{p-1} \frac{n}{k^2} \equiv -n \sum_{r=1}^{p-1} r^2 = -n \frac{(p-1)p(2p-1)}{6} \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$$

since  $p > 3$  so that  $p$  does not divide 6.

**Problem 2.**

Note that we have  $a_{n+m} \leq a_n + a_m + 2026$  and this is actually the version we need.

Define  $b_n := a_n - 2026$ . Then it is equivalent to solving for  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n}$  where

$$b_{n+m} \leq b_n + b_m$$

i.e. subadditive. It suffices to show that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \leq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} < \infty.$$

**Remark.** One may recognize this as the **Fekete's Lemma**.

It is clear by induction,  $b_n \leq nb_1$ , hence  $\frac{b_n}{n} \leq b_1$  is bounded and  $I = \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} < \infty$  exists or equals  $-\infty$ .

On the other hand, fix any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , write  $n = qk + r$  with  $1 \leq r \leq k$ . Then

$$b_n \leq qb_k + b_r$$

so that

$$\frac{b_n}{n} \leq \frac{q}{qk+r} b_k + \frac{b_r}{n} \leq \frac{b_k}{k} + \frac{b_r}{n}$$

Taking  $n \rightarrow \infty$ , since  $\{b_r\}$  is a finite set, hence bounded, we obtain

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \leq \frac{b_k}{k}.$$

Since this is true for any  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we conclude that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_n}{n} \leq \inf_k \frac{b_k}{k} \leq \liminf_{k \rightarrow \infty} \frac{b_k}{k} < \infty$$

as required.

**Problem 3.** (We omit the variable  $s$  for simplicity.) Let  $\kappa = 2026$ .

**Idea of thoughts.** One can observe that, if  $\gamma(s)$  is a curve on a circle on  $S^2$ , then (up to rotation) it is of the form

$$\gamma(s) = \langle c \cos \frac{s}{c}, c \sin \frac{s}{c}, d \rangle, \quad \text{where } c = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\kappa^2}}, d = \frac{\kappa}{\sqrt{1+\kappa^2}}.$$

In particular it lies on the plane  $\frac{1}{c}z = \kappa$ .

To prove the converse, the idea is to express the upward vector  $V = \langle 0, 0, \frac{1}{c} \rangle$  in terms of  $\gamma(s)$ .

Observe that since  $\langle \gamma, \gamma \rangle = 1$  is a constant,  $\langle \gamma, \gamma' \rangle = 0$ .

Similarly  $\langle \gamma', \gamma' \rangle = 1$  implies  $\langle \gamma', \gamma'' \rangle = 0$ .

In particular,  $\{\gamma, \gamma', \gamma \times \gamma'\}$  forms an orthonormal basis of  $\mathbb{R}^3$ , and by assumption we have

$$\gamma'' = \langle \gamma'', \gamma \rangle \gamma + \kappa(\gamma \times \gamma').$$

Let

$$V(s) := \gamma(s) \times \gamma'(s) + \kappa\gamma(s)$$

we claim that  $V(s)$  is a constant. Differentiating  $s$  gives

$$\begin{aligned} V'(s) &= \gamma' \times \gamma' + \gamma \times \gamma'' + \kappa\gamma' \\ &= \gamma \times (\langle \gamma'', \gamma \rangle \gamma + \kappa(\gamma \times \gamma')) + \kappa\gamma' \\ &= \kappa\gamma \times (\gamma \times \gamma') + \kappa\gamma' \end{aligned}$$

Observe that since  $\gamma \perp \gamma'$ , we have  $\gamma \times (\gamma \times \gamma') = -\gamma'$  hence

$$V'(s) = \kappa(-\gamma') + \kappa\gamma' = 0$$

and  $V(s) = V$  is a constant vector in  $\mathbb{R}^3$ .

Finally for all  $s \in \mathbb{R}$ ,

$$\langle \gamma(s), V \rangle = \langle \gamma, \gamma \times \gamma' \rangle + \kappa|\gamma|^2 = \kappa.$$

This shows that the image of  $\gamma$  lies in the plane

$$\{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : \langle x, V \rangle = \kappa\}$$

Hence  $\gamma$  is contained in the intersection of this plane with the unit sphere, which is a circle.

**Problem 4.**

$$e \cdot \left( \frac{2025}{2026} \right)^{2025}$$

Consider the generating function  $f(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n x^n$ . By induction,  $0 < a_n \leq 1$ , hence the series is absolutely convergent for  $|x| < 1$  with  $f(0) = 1$  and the function is positive in the interval  $[0, 1)$ . We need to compute  $f\left(\frac{1}{2026}\right)$ .

By the recurrence formula, for  $0 < x < 1$ ,

$$f'(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (n+1)a_{n+1}x^n = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \sum_{k=0}^n \frac{a_k}{n-k+2} x^n = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k \sum_{n=k}^{\infty} \frac{x^{n-k}}{n-k+2} = f(x) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^m}{m+2}.$$

where we can interchange the summation order since the double sum is absolutely convergent. Also recall that we can integrate power series term-by-term.

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} \log f(x) &= \log f(x) - \log f(0) = \int_0^x \frac{f'(t)}{f(t)} dt = \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m+1}}{(m+1)(m+2)} \\ &= \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \left( \frac{x^{m+1}}{m+1} - \frac{x^{m+1}}{m+2} \right) \\ &= 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^{m+1}}{m+1} \\ &= 1 + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{x} \right) \log \frac{1}{1-x}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\log f\left(\frac{1}{2026}\right) = 1 - 2025 \log \frac{2026}{2025}$ , and our answer is

$$f\left(\frac{1}{2}\right) = e \cdot \left( \frac{2025}{2026} \right)^{2025}.$$

**Problem 5.**

$$f(x) = (21x + 22)(28x + 15)(36x + 7) \text{ up to units.}$$

Since 2, 3, 7 are pairwise coprime, by Chinese Remainder Theorem

$$\psi : (\mathbb{Z}/42\mathbb{Z})[x] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z})[x] \times (\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z})[x] \times (\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z})[x]$$

where the ring structure on RHS is componentwise, and a polynomial in LHS is irreducible if and only if it is irreducible on RHS, which means it is irreducible in one component and units in the other two.

Note that  $\bar{f}(x) = x$  is irreducible in  $(\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})[x]$  for all  $p = 2, 3, 7$  since  $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$  is a field.

Hence the image under  $\psi$  gives the factorization of  $f(x)$  into irreducibles

$$\psi : f(x) = x \mapsto (x, x, x) = (x, 1, 1) \cdot (1, x, 1) \cdot (1, 1, x)$$

and we should look for factorization of the form  $f(x) = p(x)q(x)h(x)$  in  $R[x]$  where  $p, q, h$  are linear such that their image under  $\psi$  is  $(x, 1, 1), (1, x, 1)$  and  $(1, 1, x)$  respectively.

Let  $p(x) = ax + b, q(x) = cx + d$  and  $h(x) = ex + f$ , then

$$\begin{cases} a \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ a \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ a \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \end{cases} \begin{cases} b \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ b \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} c \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ c \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ c \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \end{cases} \begin{cases} d \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ d \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ d \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} e \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ e \equiv 0 \pmod{3} \\ e \equiv 1 \pmod{7} \end{cases} \begin{cases} f \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ f \equiv 1 \pmod{3} \\ f \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

Again since 2, 3, 7 are pairwise coprime, by the Chinese Remainder Theorem, the above has a unique solution (mod 42). One checks that it is given by

$$a \equiv 21, \quad b \equiv 22, \quad c \equiv 28, \quad d \equiv 15, \quad e \equiv 36, \quad f \equiv 7 \pmod{42}$$

Hence

$$f(x) = x = (21x + 22)(28x + 15)(36x + 7)$$

is the only factorization of  $f(x)$  into irreducibles in  $R[x]$  up to units, and  $f(x)$  is reducible.

**Problem 6.** We first observe that for any continuous function,  $J \subset f(J)$  implies a fixed point in a closed interval  $J = [a, b]$ .

Consider  $g(x) = f(x) - x$ . Then if  $g(a) = 0$  or  $g(b) = 0$  we are done. Otherwise they are of different signs, and by IVT there exists  $c \in (a, b)$  with  $g(c) = 0$  as required.

Now by induction we observe that since  $J_n \subseteq f(J_{n-1})$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} J_2 &\subseteq f(J_1) \\ J_3 &\subseteq f(J_2) \subseteq f^2(J_1) \\ &\vdots \\ J_{2026} &\subseteq f(J_{2025}) \subseteq \cdots \subseteq f^{2025}(J_1) \end{aligned}$$

and finally by assumption

$$J_1 \subseteq f(J_{2026}) \subseteq f^{2026}(J_1)$$

and we can apply the first observation.

**Remark.** The original intended question is to show  $f^n(x_*) \in J_{n+1}$  for any  $n = 1, \dots, 2025$  as well, which requires much more subtle argument.

–End of Paper–