

# 1 Positivity properties of the Kazhdan-Lusztig basis

Let  $(W, S)$  be a Coxeter system with Bruhat order  $<$  and length function  $\ell$ .

Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]\text{-span}\{H_w : w \in W\}$  be the Iwahori-Hecke algebra of  $(W, S)$ , the unique  $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ -algebra with  $H_s^2 = 1 + (x - x^{-1})H_s$  for  $s \in S$  and  $H_uH_v = H_{uv}$  if  $\ell(uv) = \ell(u) + \ell(v)$ .

Define  $h \mapsto \bar{h}$  as the unique ring involution of  $\mathcal{H}$  with  $\overline{x^n} = x^{-n}$  and  $\overline{H_w} = (H_{w^{-1}})^{-1}$ . Recall that the KL basis  $\{C_w\}_{w \in W}$  of  $\mathcal{H}$  is defined as the unique set of elements with  $C_w = \overline{C_w} \in H_w + \sum_{y < w} x^{-1}\mathbb{Z}[x^{-1}]H_y$ .

**Theorem** (Elias and Williamson). The KL basis has the following positivity properties:

1.  $C_w \in \mathbb{N}[x^{-1}]\text{-span}\{H_y : y \in W\}$ .
2.  $C_uC_v \in \mathbb{N}[x, x^{-1}]\text{-span}\{C_w : w \in W\}$ .

Our goal in the last two lectures is to sketch a heuristic explanation for these properties, which seem to have no elementary proof. The main idea is that  $\mathcal{H}$  and its KL basis can be “categorified,” meaning informally that we can define a category  $\mathcal{C}$  for where there are correspondences

- $\mathcal{H} \longleftrightarrow [\mathcal{C}]$ , the “split Grothendieck group” of  $\mathcal{C}$
- bar involution  $\longleftrightarrow$  a duality functor on  $\mathcal{C}$
- KL basis  $\longleftrightarrow$  isomorphism classes of indecomposable objects in  $\mathcal{C}$
- multiplication by  $x^n \longleftrightarrow$  shift an object’s grading by  $n$
- addition  $\longleftrightarrow \oplus$
- multiplication  $\longleftrightarrow \otimes$

Some standard definitions from category theory are in order to make this picture (slightly more) rigorous.

A *category*  $\mathcal{C}$  consists of a collection of objects and for each pair of objects a set of morphisms from one to the other. If  $\text{Mor}(A, B)$  is the set of morphisms  $A \rightarrow B$ , then we can compose morphisms  $f : A \rightarrow B$  and  $g : B \rightarrow C$  to get a morphism  $g \circ f \in \text{Mor}(A, C)$ . This composition must be associative, and for each object  $A$  there must exist an identity morphism  $\text{id}_A \in \text{Mor}(A, A)$  which, when composed with a morphism on the left or the right, yields back the morphism unchanged.

A *subcategory*  $\mathcal{D}$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  is a category in which every object is also an object of  $\mathcal{C}$ , and  $\text{Mor}_{\mathcal{D}}(A, B) \subseteq \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, B)$ . The subcategory is *full* if the containment of morphisms is always equality.

**Example.** The category *Ab* of abelian groups: objects are abelian groups and morphisms are group homomorphisms. This is a full subcategory of the category of all groups.

**Example.** The category of (left) modules over a commutative ring  $R$ : morphisms are  $R$ -module homomorphisms.

**Example.** The category  $R\text{-Bim}$  of  $R$ -bimodules where  $R$  is a commutative ring: objects are abelian groups  $M$  which are simultaneously left and right  $R$ -modules, such that  $(rm)s = r(ms)$  for all  $m \in M$  and  $r, s \in R$ . This compatibility condition means we can write  $rms$  without ambiguity. The morphisms are abelian group homomorphisms  $\phi : M \rightarrow M'$  with  $\phi(rms) = r\phi(m)s$  for  $m \in M$  and  $r, s \in R$ .

All of these categories, and all categories we will work with are subcategories (usually not full) of *Ab*, though many constructions we’ll see could be considered in a more abstract setting.

The category *Ab* is *additive*: it contains the direct sum  $A \oplus B$  of any two objects and there exists a 0-object such that  $A \oplus 0 \cong 0 \oplus A \cong A$  for any object  $A$ . The notion of an *additive category* is defined in general by the same properties (once we say how direct sums are specified by a universal property).

The category  $R\text{-Bim}$  is also additive.

An object in an additive category is *indecomposable* if it is not a direct sum of two nonzero objects.

**Definition.** The *split Grothendieck group*  $[\mathcal{C}]$  of an additive category  $\mathcal{C}$  is the abelian group generated by the symbols  $[M]$  for objects  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , subject to the relations  $[M] = [A] + [B]$  if  $M \cong A \oplus B$ .

There is a related construction, called the *Grothendieck group*, which is defined for any *abelian category*. Note that  $[M] = [M] + [0]$  for all  $M$  so  $[0] = 0$  in  $[\mathcal{C}]$ , and if  $M \cong N$  then  $[M] = [N]$  since  $M \cong N \oplus 0$ . The group  $[\mathcal{C}]$  is abelian since it always holds in an additive category that  $M \oplus N \cong N \oplus M$ .

**Example.** If  $\mathcal{C}$  is the full subcategory of  $R$ -modules which are free and finitely generated (which is the category of finite-dimensional vector spaces if  $R$  is a field) then  $\mathcal{C}$  is additive and  $[\mathcal{C}] \cong \mathbb{Z}$ .

If  $\mathcal{C}$  is a *monoidal category*, meaning there exists a notion of a tensor product  $\otimes$  and a unit object  $\mathbf{1}$  satisfying certain associativity axioms, then  $[\mathcal{C}]$  is a ring with respect to the multiplication  $[A][B] = [A \otimes B]$  and unit  $[\mathbf{1}]$ .

The category  $R\text{-Bim}$ , where  $R$  is a fixed commutative ring, is additive and monoidal. The tensor product  $M \otimes N$  of two  $R$ -bimodules  $M$  and  $N$  is defined as the quotient of the abelian group  $M \times N = \{(m, n) : m \in M, n \in N\}$  by the relations  $(m_1 + m_2, n) = (m_1, n) + (m_2, n)$  and  $(m, n_1 + n_2) = (m, n_1) + (m, n_2)$  and  $r(m, n) = (rm, n) = (m, rn)$  and  $(m, n)r = (m, nr) = (mr, n)$  for  $m, m_i \in M$  and  $n, n_i \in N$  and  $r \in R$ . (There is a more concise, but less constructive definition if you know the universal property of the tensor product.) One denotes the equivalence class of  $(m, n)$  in  $M \otimes N$  by  $m \otimes_R n$ . Note that  $R$  is itself an  $R$ -bimodule (which serves the unit object with respect to  $\otimes$ ) and that  $R \otimes M \cong M \otimes R \cong M$  as bimodules.

A *graded  $R$ -bimodule* is an  $R$ -bimodule  $M$  with a direct sum decomposition  $M = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i$ . Here, each  $M^i$  is an  $R$ -bimodule, called the  *$i$ th graded piece* of  $M$ , whose elements are said to have *degree  $i$* . (Not every element of  $M$  has a well-defined degree—only the elements which belong to  $M^i$  for some  $i$ .)

The direct sum of two graded  $R$ -bimodules  $M$  and  $N$  is graded:  $M \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} M^i \oplus N^i$ .

The tensor product is also graded, in a slightly less straightforward way:  $M \otimes N = \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} (M \otimes N)^i$  where  $(M \otimes N)^i = \bigoplus_{j+k=i} M^j \otimes N^k$ . Thus if  $m \in M^j$  and  $n \in N^k$  then  $m \otimes_R n$  has degree  $j + k$ .

We consider  $R$  to be graded with  $R^0 = R$  and  $R^i = 0$  for  $i \neq 0$ .

A *graded morphism* of graded  $R$ -bimodules  $\phi : M \rightarrow N$  is an  $R$ -bimodule homomorphism with  $\phi(M^i) \subset N^i$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The category of graded  $R$ -bimodules with graded morphisms is an additive and monoidal, but not full, subcategory of  $R\text{-Bim}$ . The split Grothendieck group of this subcategory, and more generally of any additive category whose objects and morphisms are graded, is naturally a  $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ -algebra: the group is already a ring, and becomes a  $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ -module on setting  $(\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} a_n x^n)[M] = [\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} M(n)^{\oplus a_n}]$ . Here  $M(n)$  is the graded  $R$ -bimodule with  $M(n)^i = M^{i+n}$ , i.e., given by shifting the grading on  $M$  by  $n$ .

**Example** (Soergel bimodules for  $S_1$ ). Any left  $R$ -module can be considered as an  $R$ -bimodule on which the right action of  $R$  is trivial. Via this identification, we get analogous notions of graded left  $R$ -modules and graded left  $R$ -module morphisms, as well as direct sums and tensor products of these objects. Suppose  $\mathcal{C}$  is the additive, monoidal category whose objects are the graded left  $R$ -modules which are finitely generated and free, with graded morphisms.

As a  $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ -algebra, we have  $[\mathcal{C}] \cong \mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ . Each object is isomorphic to a sum of grading shifts of the graded  $R$ -module given by  $R$  itself (whose elements are all in degree 0). The map  $[R] \mapsto 1$  extends to an algebra isomorphism.

Given an object  $M$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , define  $\overline{M}$  as the graded  $R$ -module whose  $j$ th graded piece is  $M^{-j}$ . Then  $[\overline{M}] = \overline{[M]}$  where  $h \mapsto \overline{h}$  is the usual bar involution of  $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}] = \mathcal{H}$  for  $W = S_1$ .

## 2 Soergel bimodules for $S_2$

Our goal is to define a full subcategory of the category of graded  $R$ -bimodules, whose split Grothendieck group is isomorphic to the Iwahori-Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}$  of an arbitrary Coxeter group. We will call this the category  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  of *Soergel bimodules*.

The precise definition will come next time. In this lecture, we confine ourselves to a concrete description of  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  in the simplest nontrivial but highly instructive case when  $W = S_2$ .

Let  $W = S_2$  be the unique Coxeter group with one generator, so that  $S = \{s = (1, 2)\}$ .

Define  $R = \mathbb{R}[x]$  as the ring of real polynomials in one variable. Usually this ring is graded with  $R^i = \mathbb{R}x^i$ , but for technical reasons we adopt the perverse convention that  $x^i$  has degree  $2i$ .

$W$  acts on  $R$  by  $(s \cdot f)(x) = f(-x)$ . For example,  $s \cdot (1 + x + x^2 + x^3) = 1 - x + x^2 - x^3$ .

Let  $R^s = \{f \in R : sf = f\} = \mathbb{R}[x^2]$ .

For  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , define the  $R$ -bimodules

$$B_1 = R.$$

$$B_s = R \otimes_{R^s} R(1).$$

$$B_{[k]} = \underbrace{R \otimes_{R^s} R \otimes_{R^s} \cdots \otimes_{R^s} R}_{k+1 \text{ factors}}(k).$$

Here  $B_s$  is the tensor product of  $R$  with itself, but regarded as an  $R^s$ -bimodule, with its grading shifted down by 1. This means that elements of  $B_s$  are sums of tensors of the form  $af \otimes_{R^s} b = a \otimes_{R^s} fb$  where  $a, b \in R$  and  $f \in R^s$ . If  $a$  and  $b$  have degrees  $j$  and  $k$  in  $R$ , then  $a \otimes_{R^s} b$  has degree  $j + k - 1$ . Likewise,  $B_{[k]}$  is the tensor product over  $R^s$  of  $k + 1$  copies of  $R$ , with the grading shifted down by  $k$ .

$1 \in B_1$  has degree 0.

$1 \otimes_{R^s} 1 \in B_s$  has degree  $-1$ .

$1 \otimes_{R^s} \cdots \otimes_{R^s} 1 \in B_{[k]}$  has degree  $-k$ .

$x \otimes_{R^s} x^2 = x^3 \otimes_{R^s} 1 \in B_s$  has degree  $2 + 4 - 1 = 5$ .

Note that  $B_1 = B_{[0]}$  and  $B_s = B_{[1]}$ . Moreover, we have

$$B_{[k]} \cong \underbrace{B_s \otimes B_s \otimes \cdots \otimes B_s}_{k \text{ factors}}$$

where here  $\otimes$  is over  $R$ , distinct from  $\otimes_{R^s}$ .

**Claim.** The following holds:

- (1) Both  $B_1$  and  $B_s$  are indecomposable  $R$ -bimodules.
- (2)  $B_{[2]} \cong B_s(1) \oplus B_s(-1)$ .

*Proof.* (1) Both  $B_1$  and  $B_s$  are generated as  $R$ -bimodules by the single elements 1 and  $1 \otimes_{R^s} 1$  of lowest degree, so must be indecomposable. (2)  $B_{[2]}$  is the direct sum of sub- $R$ -bimodules generated by

$$\alpha = 1 \otimes_{R^s} 1 \otimes_{R^s} 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta = 1 \otimes_{R^s} x \otimes_{R^s} 1.$$

Note that  $\alpha$  has degree  $-2$  and  $\beta$  has degree 0. One checks that  $\langle \alpha \rangle \cong B_s(1)$  and  $\langle \beta \rangle \cong B_s(-1)$ . □

Let  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  be the full subcategory of the category of graded  $R$ -bimodules which contains all direct sums and grading shifts of the bimodules  $B_{[k]}$  for  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Proposition.** The following holds for the category  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$ :

- (a)  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  is closed under  $\otimes$  so  $[\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}]$  is a  $\mathbb{Z}[x, x^{-1}]$ -algebra.
- (b) Each indecomposable object in  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  is isomorphic to some grading shift of one of  $B_1$  or  $B_s$ .
- (c) There exists a unique algebra isomorphism  $\varepsilon : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow [\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}]$  with  $C_w \mapsto [B_w]$  for  $w \in S_2$ .

*Proof.* (a) This holds as  $B_{[k]} \otimes B_{[l]} \cong B_{[k+l]}$ . (b) This follows from the claim. Note that  $B_1$  and  $B_s$  are not isomorphic to any grading shifts of each other. (c) Recall that  $C_1 = 1$  and  $C_s = H_s + x^{-1}$ . Clearly  $C_1 C_2 = C_w$  and  $[B_1][B_w] = [B_1 \otimes B_w] = [B_w]$  for all  $w \in S_2$ . We have  $C_s^2 = (x + x^{-1})C_s$  and  $[B_s][B_s] = [B_s \otimes B_s] = [B_s(1) \oplus B_s(-1)] = (x + x^{-1})[B_s]$ . The result follows.  $\square$

We have thus shown for  $W = S_2$  that there exists a category of graded  $R$ -bimodules  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  such that  $\mathcal{H} \cong [\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}]$  in which the KL basis elements correspond to a complete list of indecomposable objects up to grading shift and isomorphism. The (trivial) claim that  $C_u C_v \in \mathbb{N}[x, x^{-1}]\text{-span}\{C_w : w \in S_2\}$  for  $u, v \in S_2$  follows immediately, since  $\varepsilon(C_u C_v) = \varepsilon(C_u)\varepsilon(C_v) = [B_u \oplus B_v]$ .

Next time we will sketch how to extend this construction from  $W = S_2$  to an arbitrary Coxeter group  $W$ . The key changes will be to define  $R$  as the ring of polynomial functions on the geometric representation of  $W$ . The definitions of  $R^s$ ,  $B_s$ , and  $\mathbb{S}\text{Bim}$  will be similar.