

TLDR

Quick summary of today's notes. Lecture starts on next page.

- The *inner product* or *dot product* of two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the scalar $u \bullet v = u^T v \in \mathbb{R}$.
- We always have $v \bullet v \geq 0$. The *length* of $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|v\| = \sqrt{v \bullet v}$.
The *distance* between $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is defined to be the length $\|u - v\|$.
- A *unit vector* is a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|u\| = 1$.
If $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is any nonzero vector, then the *unit vector in the direction of v* is $u = \frac{1}{\|v\|} v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.
- Two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are *orthogonal* if $u \bullet v = 0$.

If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace then its *orthogonal complement* is the subspace

$$V^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : v \bullet w = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}.$$

We always have $V \cap V^\perp = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$. Next time, we'll see that $\dim V + \dim V^\perp = n$.

If A is an $m \times n$ matrix then $(\text{Col } A)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A^T)$.

- An *orthogonal basis* is a basis in which any two vectors are orthogonal.
Suppose $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are nonzero vectors with $v_i \bullet v_j = 0$ for all $i \neq j$.
Then these vectors are linearly independent and an orthogonal basis for the subspace they span.
- Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonzero vector. Let $L = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{u\}$. Suppose $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is any vector.
The *orthogonal projection* of y onto L is the vector $\text{proj}_L(y) = \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u} u \in L$.
The *component of y orthogonal to L* is the vector $z = y - \text{proj}_L(y) = y - \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u} u \in L^\perp$.
We always have $\text{proj}_L(y) + z = y$ and $\text{proj}_L(y) \bullet z = 0$.
These formulas do not depend of the choice of u , only on the subspace L that u spans.

1 Last time: properties of eigenvalues

The *trace* of a square matrix A is the sum of its diagonal entries.

We denote this by the symbol $\text{tr}(A)$. For 2×2 matrices we have $\text{tr}\left(\begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix}\right) = a + d$.

Suppose A and B are $n \times n$ matrices. Although in general $\text{tr}(AB) \neq \text{tr}(A)\text{tr}(B)$, we have both

$$\text{tr}(AB) = \text{tr}(BA) \quad \text{and} \quad \det(AB) = \det(A)\det(B) = \det(B)\det(A) = \det(BA).$$

Let A be an $n \times n$ matrix and write I for the $n \times n$ identity matrix.

Theorem. Suppose $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{C}$ are complex numbers such that

$$\det(A - xI) = (\lambda_1 - x)(\lambda_2 - x) \cdots (\lambda_n - x).$$

Then $\det(A) = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \cdots \lambda_n$ and $\text{tr}(A) = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \cdots + \lambda_n$.

In words: the product of the eigenvalues of A , repeated with multiplicity, is the determinant of A , while the sum of the eigenvalues of A , repeated with multiplicity, is the trace of A .

Note that the theorem is obvious if A is a triangular matrix: for example if $A = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & a & b \\ 0 & \lambda_2 & c \\ 0 & 0 & \lambda_3 \end{bmatrix}$ then

$$\det(A - xI) = (\lambda_1 - x)(\lambda_2 - x)(\lambda_3 - x) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{tr}A = \lambda_1 + \lambda_2 + \lambda_3 \quad \text{and} \quad \det A = \lambda_1 \lambda_2 \lambda_3.$$

A few other properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors worth noting:

Proposition. If A is a square matrix then A and A^T have the same eigenvalues.

Proof. This follows since $\det(A - xI) = \det((A - xI)^T) = \det(A^T - xI^T) = \det(A^T - xI)$. □

Proposition. Let A be a square matrix. Then A is invertible if and only if 0 is not one of its eigenvalues.

Proof. 0 is an eigenvalue of A if and only if $\det A = 0$ which occurs precisely when A is not invertible. □

Proposition. Assume A is invertible. Then A and A^{-1} have the same eigenvectors, but v is an eigenvector of A with eigenvalue λ if and only if v is an eigenvector of A^{-1} with eigenvalue λ^{-1} .

Proof. If A is invertible and $Av = \lambda v$ then $v = A^{-1}Av = A^{-1}\lambda v = \lambda A^{-1}v$ so $A^{-1}v = \lambda^{-1}v$. □

Corollary. If A is invertible and diagonalizable then A^{-1} is diagonalizable.

Proof. If A is invertible and diagonalizable, then \mathbb{R}^n has a basis consisting of eigenvectors of A , but this basis is then also made up of eigenvectors of A^{-1} , so A^{-1} is diagonalizable. □

Corollary. If A is diagonalizable then A^T is diagonalizable.

Proof. Suppose $A = PDP^{-1}$ where D is diagonal. Let $Q = (P^{-1})^T = (P^T)^{-1}$ and $E = D^T$.

Then E is diagonal and $A^T = (PDP^{-1})^T = (P^{-1})^T D^T P^T = QEQ^{-1}$. □

2 Inner products and orthogonality

Definition. The *inner product* or *dot product* of two vectors

$$u = \begin{bmatrix} u_1 \\ u_2 \\ \vdots \\ u_n \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$$

in \mathbb{R}^n is the scalar $u \bullet v = u_1v_1 + u_2v_2 + \cdots + u_nv_n = u^T v = v^T u = v \bullet u$.

For example, $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = -ab + ab = 0$ for any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$.

Definition. The *length* of a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|v\| = \sqrt{v \bullet v} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \cdots + v_n^2}$.

Essential properties of length and inner product.

Let $u, v, w \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$.

- (a) $u \bullet v = v \bullet u$ and $(u + v) \bullet w = u \bullet w + v \bullet w$ and $(cv) \bullet w = c(v \bullet w)$, while $\|cv\| = |c|\|v\|$.
- (b) $v \bullet v = v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \cdots + v_n^2 \geq 0$ and $\|v\| \geq 0$.
- (c) $v \bullet v = 0$ if and only if $\|v\| = 0$ if and only if $v = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The *distance* between two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is the length of their difference $\|u - v\|$.

A *unit vector* is a vector $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ with $\|u\| = 1$.

If $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is any nonzero vector, then the *unit vector in the direction of v* is $u = \frac{1}{\|v\|}v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

Example. The unit vector in the direction of $v = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ is $u = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1^2+1^2+1^2+1^2}}v = \begin{bmatrix} 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \\ 1/2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Definition. Two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are *orthogonal* if $u \bullet v = 0$.

When u and v are orthogonal we also say that “ u is orthogonal to v .”

Proposition. Suppose $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are nonzero vectors that are orthogonal to each other, so that $u \bullet v = 0$. Then u and v , drawn as arrows in the xy -plane, belong to perpendicular lines through the origin. In other words, these vectors are perpendicular in the usual sense of planar geometry.

Concretely, $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^2$ are orthogonal and $u = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$, then v is a scalar multiple $\begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$, which is the vector obtained by rotating u counterclockwise by 90 degrees.

Proof. Write $u = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ and $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix}$. Then $u \bullet v = ax + by = 0$.

If $a = 0$ then $b \neq 0$ since $u \neq 0$, so $y = -\frac{a}{b}x = 0$ and $v = \begin{bmatrix} x \\ 0 \end{bmatrix} = -\frac{x}{b} \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$.

If $a \neq 0$ then $x = -\frac{b}{a}y$ so $v = \begin{bmatrix} -\frac{b}{a}y \\ y \end{bmatrix} = \frac{y}{a} \begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$. Thus v is a scalar multiple of $\begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$.

To see that $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ are perpendicular, note that $\begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$.

The 2-by-2 matrix here (which we saw when discussing complex numbers) always acts by rotating a vector 90 degree counterclockwise. To double check this claim, try drawing a picture. \square

3 Orthogonal complements

Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subspace. The *orthogonal complement* of V is $V^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : v \bullet w = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}$.

We pronounce “ V^\perp ” as “vee perp.”

Proposition. If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace then its orthogonal complement $V^\perp \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is also a subspace.

Proof. Since $v \bullet 0 = 0$ for all $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ it holds that $0 \in V^\perp$.

If $x, y \in V^\perp$ and $c \in \mathbb{R}$ then $v \bullet cx = c(v \bullet x) = 0$ and $v \bullet (x + y) = v \bullet x + v \bullet y = 0 + 0 = 0$ for all $v \in V$ so cx and $x + y$ both belong to V^\perp . Hence V^\perp is a subspace. \square

The operation $(\cdot)^\perp$ relates the column space, null space, and transpose of a matrix in the following way:

Theorem. Suppose A is an $m \times n$ matrix. Then $(\text{Col } A)^\perp = \text{Nul}(A^T)$.

Proof. Write $A = [a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_n]$ where $a_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$. Let $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

If $v \in (\text{Col } A)^\perp$ then we must have $v \bullet a_i = a_i^T v = 0$ for all i .

Conversely, if $v \bullet a_i = a_i^T v = 0$ for all i then

$$(c_1 a_1 + c_2 a_2 + \dots + c_n a_n) \bullet v = c_1 \underbrace{(a_1 \bullet v)}_{=0} + c_2 \underbrace{(a_2 \bullet v)}_{=0} + \dots + c_n \underbrace{(a_n \bullet v)}_{=0} = 0$$

for any scalars $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_n \in \mathbb{R}$ so $v \in (\text{Col } A)^\perp$.

Thus $v \in (\text{Col } A)^\perp$ if and only if $v \bullet a_i = a_i^T v = 0$ for all i . This holds if and only if

$$A^T v = \begin{bmatrix} a_1^T \\ a_2^T \\ \vdots \\ a_n^T \end{bmatrix} v = \begin{bmatrix} a_1 \bullet v \\ a_2 \bullet v \\ \vdots \\ a_n \bullet v \end{bmatrix} = 0 \in \mathbb{R}^m, \quad \text{which means that } v \in \text{Nul}(A^T).$$

\square

Lemma. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subspace. If $w \in V \cap V^\perp$ then $w = 0$.

Proof. If $w \in V$ and $w \in V^\perp$ then $w \bullet w = 0$ so $w = 0$. \square

Proposition. Let $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ be a subspace. If $S \subset V$ and $T \subset V^\perp$ are two sets of linearly independent vectors, then $S \cup T$ is also linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose there was a nontrivial linear dependence among the elements of $S \cup T$ equal to zero. Rewrite this linear dependence so that the terms from S are on the left side of $=$ and the terms from T are on the other side. Then we would have an equation of the form

$$\underbrace{a_1v_1 + \cdots + a_kv_k}_{\in V} = \underbrace{b_1w_1 + \cdots + b_lw_l}_{\in V^\perp}$$

where $v_1, \dots, v_k \in S$ and $w_1, \dots, w_l \in T$, for some coefficients $a_1, a_2, \dots, a_k, b_1, b_2, \dots, b_l \in \mathbb{R}$ which are not all zero. But such an equation would imply that a nonzero element of V is equal to a nonzero element of V^\perp , which is impossible by the lemma. \square

Corollary. If $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is a subspace then $\dim V^\perp \leq n - \dim V$.

Proof. If S is a basis for V and T is a basis for V^\perp then $\dim V + \dim V^\perp = |S| + |T| = |S \cup T|$. Since $S \cup T$ is a set of linearly independent vectors in \mathbb{R}^n , its size must be at most n . \square

4 Orthogonal bases and orthogonal projections

The following proposition is called the *Generalized Pythagorean theorem*.

Proposition. Two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are orthogonal if and only if $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$.

Proof. The proof is just a little algebra:

$$\|u + v\|^2 = (u + v) \bullet (u + v) = u \bullet (u + v) + v \bullet (u + v) = u \bullet u + u \bullet v + v \bullet u + v \bullet v = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2 + 2(u \bullet v).$$

Then $\|u + v\|^2 = \|u\|^2 + \|v\|^2$ if and only if $u \bullet v = 0$.

The equivalence of this proposition to the classical Pythagorean theorem boils down to our observation earlier that orthogonal vectors in \mathbb{R}^2 form the sides of a right triangle. \square

A collection of vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is *orthogonal* if $u_i \bullet u_j = 0$ whenever $1 \leq i < j \leq p$.

In particular, an *orthogonal basis* of \mathbb{R}^n is a basis in which any two vectors are orthogonal.

Theorem. Suppose the vectors $u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are orthogonal and all nonzero.

Then u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p are linearly independent.

Proof. Suppose $c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + \cdots + c_pu_p = 0$ for some coefficients $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$.

For each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, we then have

$$0 = (c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + \cdots + c_pu_p) \bullet u_i = c_1(u_1 \bullet u_i) + c_2(u_2 \bullet u_i) + \cdots + c_p(u_p \bullet u_i) = c_i\|u_i\|^2$$

since $u_j \bullet u_i = 0$ if $i \neq j$. But since u_i is nonzero, $\|u_i\|^2 \neq 0$, so it must hold that $c_i = 0$. As this argument applies to each index i , we deduce that $c_1 = c_2 = \cdots = c_p = 0$.

In other words, the only way we can have $c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + \cdots + c_pu_p = 0$ is if all of the coefficients are zero, which is the definition of linear independence. \square

Corollary. Any set of nonzero, orthogonal vectors is an orthogonal basis for the subspace they span.

Any set of n nonzero, orthogonal vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^n .

Proposition. Suppose u_1, u_2, \dots, u_p is an orthogonal basis for a subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Let $y \in V$. Then we can write $y = c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + \dots + c_pu_p$ where

$$c_i = \frac{y \bullet u_i}{u_i \bullet u_i} = \frac{y \bullet u_i}{\|u_i\|^2}.$$

Proof. A basis must span V , so $y = c_1u_1 + c_2u_2 + \dots + c_pu_p$ for some coefficients $c_1, c_2, \dots, c_p \in \mathbb{R}$.

Since $y \bullet u_i = c_i(u_i \bullet u_i)$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$, the result follows. □

Example. Suppose $u_1 = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $u_2 = \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $u_3 = \begin{bmatrix} -1/2 \\ -2 \\ 7/2 \end{bmatrix}$.

You can check that these three vectors form an orthogonal subset of \mathbb{R}^3 .

For example, $u_1 \bullet u_3 = -3/2 - 2 + 7/2 = 0$.

The vectors are therefore linearly independent, so are an orthogonal basis for \mathbb{R}^3 .

For $y = \begin{bmatrix} 6 \\ 1 \\ 8 \end{bmatrix}$ we have $y \bullet u_1 = 11$ and $y \bullet u_2 = -12$ and $y \bullet u_3 = -33$.

We also have $u_1 \bullet u_1 = 11$ and $u_2 \bullet u_2 = 6$ and $u_3 \bullet u_3 = 33/2$. Therefore $y = u_1 - 2u_2 - 2u_3$.

Let $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ be a nonzero vector. Suppose $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is any vector.

Definition. The *orthogonal projection* of y onto u is the vector $\hat{y} = \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u}u$.

Note that this vector is scalar multiple of u , and can be zero.

The *component of y orthogonal to u* is the vector $z = y - \hat{y} = y - \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u}u$.

By construction it holds that $y = \hat{y} + z$. Moreover, as its name suggests, we have $z \bullet u = 0$ since

$$z \bullet u = y \bullet u - \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u}u \bullet u = y \bullet u - y \bullet u = 0.$$

Observation. The vectors \hat{y} and z do not change if u is replaced by a nonzero scalar multiple: if we change u to cu for some $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{R}$ then all the factors of c cancel:

$$\frac{y \bullet cu}{cu \bullet cu}cu = \frac{c(y \bullet u)}{c^2(u \bullet u)}cu = \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u}u = \hat{y}.$$

Let $L = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{u\}$. Then \hat{y} and z may also be called the *orthogonal projection* of y onto L the *component of y orthogonal to L* . We will write $\text{proj}_L(y) = \hat{y} \in L$.

In \mathbb{R}^2 , the distance from a point (x, y) to a line $L = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{u\}$ is the length $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} - \text{proj}_L \left(\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \right) \right\|$.

Example. To find the distance from the point $(x, y) = (7, 6)$ to the line L defined by $y = \frac{1}{2}x$, note that L contains the vector $u = \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}$. Let $w = \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix}$. Then $\text{proj}_L \left(\begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \frac{w \bullet u}{u \bullet u}u = \frac{28+12}{16+4}u = \frac{40}{20}u = \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix}$ so the distance is $\left\| \begin{bmatrix} 7 \\ 6 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 8 \\ 4 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \left\| \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix} \right\| = \sqrt{1+4} = \sqrt{5}$.

5 Vocabulary

Keywords from today's lecture:

1. **Inner product** of vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The scalar $u \bullet v = u^T v \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example:
$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \end{bmatrix} \bullet \begin{bmatrix} -1 \\ -10 \\ -100 \end{bmatrix} = -1 - 20 - 300 = -321.$$

2. **Length** of a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and **distance** between $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The *length* of $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|v\| = \sqrt{v \bullet v} = \sqrt{v_1^2 + v_2^2 + \cdots + v_n^2}$ where $v = \begin{bmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{bmatrix}$.

The *distance* from $u \in \mathbb{R}^n$ to $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $\|u - v\|$.

3. **Unit vector**.

A *unit vector* is a vector in \mathbb{R}^n with length 1.

The unit vector in the same direction as a nonzero vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ is $u = \frac{1}{\|v\|}v$.

4. **Orthogonal vectors**.

Two vectors $u, v \in \mathbb{R}^n$ are *orthogonal* if $u \bullet v = 0$.

A group of more than two vectors in \mathbb{R}^n is orthogonal if any two of the vectors are orthogonal.

A basis of a subspace is *orthogonal* if any two vectors in the basis are orthogonal.

Example: In \mathbb{R}^2 , the vectors $\begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ and $\begin{bmatrix} -b \\ a \end{bmatrix}$ are always orthogonal.

5. **Orthogonal complement** of a subspace $V \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

The subspace $V^\perp = \{w \in \mathbb{R}^n : v \bullet w = 0 \text{ for all } v \in V\}$.

Example: If $V = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{e_1, e_2, \dots, e_i\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ then $V^\perp = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{e_{i+1}, e_{i+2}, \dots, e_n\}$.

If $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ then $V^\perp = \{0\}$. If $V = \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ then $V^\perp = \mathbb{R}^n$.

6. **Orthogonal projection** of a vector $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ onto a line $L = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{u\}$ where $0 \neq u \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

The unique vector $\hat{y} \in L$ such that $y - \hat{y}$ is orthogonal to u (and also to all other vectors in L).

This vector has the formula $\hat{y} = \frac{y \bullet u}{u \bullet u}u$.

Note that the value of \hat{y} given by this formula does not change if u is replaced by cu for $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{R}$.

Example: if $u = \begin{bmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \end{bmatrix}$ and $y = \begin{bmatrix} a \\ b \end{bmatrix}$ then $\hat{y} = \frac{1}{2} \begin{bmatrix} a+b \\ a+b \end{bmatrix}$.