## 1 Last time: some (tropical) geometry

The tropical semi-ring $\mathbb{T}$ is the set $\mathbb{R} \sqcup\{\infty\}$ with the operations,$+ \times$, and / replaced by

$$
x \oplus y:=\min (x, y), \quad x \otimes y:=x+y, \quad \text { and } \quad x \oslash y:=x-y
$$

We write $\mathbb{1}=0$ and $\mathbb{O}=\infty$ since these are the identities for $\oplus$ and $\otimes$.
The tropicalization of a polynomial that does not involve subtraction is the piecewise linear map given by replacing,$+ \times, /$ with $\oplus, \otimes, \oslash$. Example: $f(x, y, z)=(x+y) / z$ becomes $f(x, y, z)=\min (x, y)-z$.

Let $G=\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and let $\mathbb{G}_{a}=(\mathbb{C},+)$ be the additive group of $\mathbb{C}$.
Given $\alpha \in \Phi=\left\{\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{j}: 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\right\}$ define $x_{\alpha}(r)=I+r E_{i j} \in G$ and $x_{i}:=x_{\alpha_{i}}=x_{\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{i+1}}$.
Let $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, \ldots, i_{N}\right)$ be a reduced word for the longest element $w_{0} \in W=S_{n}$.
Nontrivial fact: each positive root appears exactly once in the sequence $\gamma(\mathbf{i})=\left(\gamma_{1}(\mathbf{i}), \ldots, \gamma_{N}(\mathbf{i})\right)$ where

$$
\gamma_{1}(\mathbf{i})=\alpha_{i_{1}}, \quad \gamma_{2}(\mathbf{i})=s_{i_{1}}\left(\alpha_{i_{2}}\right), \quad \gamma_{3}(\mathbf{i})=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}}\left(\alpha_{i_{3}}\right), \quad \ldots
$$

Let $V$ and $W$ be irreducible algebraic varieties. A rational map $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism defined on a dense Zariski-open subset $U$ of $V$. A birational equivalence is a rational map with a rational two-sided inverse.

Let $\mathcal{N}^{+}=\left\langle x_{\alpha}(r): \alpha \in \Phi^{+}, r \in \mathbb{C}\right\rangle$ be the unipotent subgroup of upper-triangular matrices in $G$ with all diagonal entries equal to one. Define $\mathcal{N}^{-}=\left\langle x_{\alpha}(r): \alpha \in \Phi^{-}, r \in \mathbb{C}\right\rangle=\left\{g^{T}: g \in \mathcal{N}^{+}\right\}$.

Let $B$ be the normalizer of $\mathcal{N}^{+}$in $G$ and let $B^{-}$be the normalizer of $\mathcal{N}^{-}$.
You can check that $B$ (or $B^{-}$) is the subgroup of all invertible upper (or lower) triangular matrices in $G$.
One calls $B$ and $B^{-}$opposite Borel subgroups of $G$. The flag variety is the set of cosets $X:=G / B^{-}$. The Bruhat decomposition is the disjoint union $G=\bigsqcup_{w \in W} B w B^{-}$.
Define $j: \mathcal{N}^{+} \rightarrow X$ to be the morphism with $j(n)=n B^{-}$. The map $j$ is a birational equivalence.

For $i \in\{1,2, \ldots, n-1\}$, the subgroup $\mathrm{SL}(2)_{i}:=\left\langle x_{ \pm \alpha_{i}}(r): r \in \mathbb{C}\right\rangle \subset G$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SL}(2)$.
Let $B_{i}^{-}=B^{-} \cap \mathrm{SL}(2)_{i}$ and let $P_{i}^{-}$be the minimal parabolic subgroup generated by $\operatorname{SL}(2)_{i}$ and $B^{-}$.
In the concrete $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ case, the elements of $P_{i}^{-}$are the matrices of the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llll}
X & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
u^{T} & a & b & 0 \\
v^{T} & c & d & 0 \\
A & u^{\prime} & v^{\prime} & X^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]
$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{C}$ have $a d-b c=1$, where $X$ and $X^{\prime}$ are invertible and lower triangular of size $(i-1) \times(i-1)$ and $(n-i-1) \times(n-i-1)$, respectively, and where $u, v \in \mathbb{C}^{i-1}$ and $u^{\prime}, v^{\prime} \in \mathbb{C}^{n-i-1}$ and $A$ is an arbitrary matrix. The product group $\left(B^{-}\right)^{N}$ acts on $P_{i_{1}}^{-} \times \cdots \times P_{i_{N}}^{-}$on the right by

$$
\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{N}\right):\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right) \mapsto\left(p_{1} b_{1}, b_{1}^{-1} p_{2} b_{2}, \ldots, b_{N-1}^{-1} p_{N} b_{N}\right)
$$

The Bott-Samelson variety $X_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the quotient of $P_{i_{1}}^{-} \times \cdots \times P_{i_{N}}^{-}$by this action.
Let $\pi_{\mathbf{i}}: X_{\mathbf{i}} \rightarrow X$ be the morphism sending the orbit of $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{N}\right)$ to the coset $p_{1} \cdots p_{N} B^{-}$.
Let $\phi_{\mathbf{i}}: \mathbb{C}^{N} \rightarrow X$ be the morphism $\phi_{i}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)=x_{i_{1}}\left(a_{1}\right) \cdots x_{i_{N}}\left(a_{N}\right) B^{-}$.
Then both $\pi_{\mathbf{i}}$ and $\phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ are birational equivalences.
All of the above facts hold for an arbitrary reductive group $G$ with root system $\Phi$ and Weyl group $W$.

## 2 Lusztig's parametrization of $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ for simply-laced types

Everything in this section works for arbitrary simply-laced types, but to be concrete it may be helpful to assume that $(\Phi, \Lambda)$ is the $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ Cartan type and that $W=S_{n}$.

Let $\operatorname{Red}(w)$ be the set of reduced words for $w \in W$.
Recall that the set $\operatorname{Red}(w)$ is connected by the braid relations
(B1) $\mathbf{i}=(\cdots, a, b, \cdots) \leftrightarrow(\cdots, b, a, \cdots)=\mathbf{j}$ if $s_{a} s_{b} \in W$ has order 2 , along with
(B2) $\mathbf{i}=(\cdots, a, b, a, \cdots) \leftrightarrow(\cdots, b, a, b, \cdots)=\mathbf{j}$ if $s_{a} s_{b} \in W$ has order 3 .
Lusztig's parametrization of $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ depends on a family of piecewise linear maps indexed by pairs of elements of $\operatorname{Red}(w)$. The description of $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ we covered a few weeks back, due to Kashiwara, may be understood in a similar way. This will be helpful to motivate Lusztig's construction.

Embed $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ into $\mathcal{B}_{i_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes \mathcal{B}_{i_{N}}$ in the usual way, where $\mathbf{i}=\left(i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{N}\right) \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$.
Let $u_{i_{1}}\left(-a_{1}\right) \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{i_{N}}\left(-a_{N}\right)$ be the image of $v \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ under this embedding and define $\widehat{v}_{\mathbf{i}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$. The map $v \mapsto \widehat{v}_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ gives us a "view" of the crystal $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$.

A relevant question is how such views vary for different choices of $\mathbf{i}$.
To answer this question, we make use of two maps $\theta_{2}: \mathbb{Z}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{2}$ and $\theta_{3}: \mathbb{Z}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{3}$ defined by

$$
\theta_{2}(a, b)=(b, a) \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{3}(a, b, c)=(\max (c, b-a), a+c, \min (b-c, a)) .
$$

We have encountered $\theta_{3}$ a few times already; it may also be expressed as

$$
\theta_{3}(a, b, c)=(b+c-\min (b, a+c), a+c, \min (b-c, a))
$$

which is the tropicalization of the algebraic map $(a, b, c) \mapsto\left(\frac{b c}{b+a c}, a c, \frac{b+a c}{c}\right)$.
Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$. Then there is a piecewise linear map $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}: \mathbb{Z}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ such that if $v \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ then $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(\widehat{v}_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\widehat{v}_{\mathbf{j}}$. These maps satisfy the relation

$$
\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}} \circ \widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}}
$$

If $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are as in $(\mathrm{B} 1)$ for $\left(i_{k}, i_{k+1}\right)=(a, b)$ then $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is $\theta_{2}$ applied to the pair of consecutive positions $\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)$ in $\widehat{v}_{\mathbf{i}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$, and if $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are as in (B2) for $\left(i_{k}, i_{k+1}, i_{k+2}\right)=(a, b, c)$ then $\widehat{\mathcal{R}}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is $\theta_{3}$ applied to the triple of consecutive positions $\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}\right)$ in $\widehat{v}_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Proof. This follows from the fact that the maps $\theta_{2}$ and $\theta_{3}$ are isomorphisms $\mathcal{B}_{i} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{j} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{j} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{i} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{i} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}_{i+1} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{i} \otimes \mathcal{B}_{i+1}$, which we showed in Proposition 5.1 of Lecture 17.

The Lusztig parametrization will rely on slightly different piecewise linear maps $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}: \mathbb{Z}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ (note the change of notation) with similar formal properties.
From last time, let $\vartheta: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be the piecewise linear map given by

$$
\vartheta(a, b, c)=(b+c-\min (a, c), \min (a, c), a+b-\min (a, c)) .
$$

Recall that this is the tropicalization of the map

$$
\vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}}(a, b, c)=\left(\frac{b c}{a+c}, a+c, \frac{a b}{a+c}\right) .
$$

Proposition 2.2. There exists a family of piecewise linear maps $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}: \mathbb{Z}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}^{N}$ satisfying

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}} \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}}
$$

along with the following properties: If $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are as in (B1) for $\left(i_{k}, i_{k+1}\right)=(a, b)$ then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is $\theta_{2}$ applied to the substring $\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}\right)$ in $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$. If $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are as in (B2) for $\left(i_{k}, i_{k+1}, i_{k+2}\right)=(a, b, c)$ then $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ is $\vartheta$ applied to the substring $\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}\right)$ in $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$.

Proof. Results from last time show that there are birational maps $\Re_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}: \mathbb{C}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{N}$ with $\Re_{\mathbf{j}, \mathbf{k}} \circ \Re_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=\Re_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{k}}$ such that in case (B1) the map $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ when applied to $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$ interchanges $a_{k}$ and $a_{k+1}$, while in case (B2) the same map applies $\vartheta_{\text {alg }}$ to $\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}\right)$. Namely, define

$$
\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=\phi_{\mathbf{j}}^{-1} \phi_{\mathbf{i}}
$$

where $\phi_{\mathbf{i}}$ is our birational equivalence $\mathbb{C}^{N} \rightarrow X$ to the flag variety.
The property we want in case (B1) holds automatically and what needs to happen in case (B2) follows from our discussion of the Lusztig parametrization in type $A_{2}$, namely, the identity

$$
x_{1}(a) x_{2}(b) x_{1}(c)=x_{2}\left(a^{\prime}\right) x_{1}\left(b^{\prime}\right) x_{2}\left(c^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { where }\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)=\vartheta_{\text {alg }}(a, b, c)
$$

The desired maps $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$ are given as the tropicalizations of $\mathfrak{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$.
We may now define a crystal $\mathcal{L}$ as follows. An element $v$ of $\mathcal{L}$ consists of a family of "views" $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ indexed by $\mathbf{i} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$. Views are vectors $v_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{N}^{N}$, which must be related by the rule $v_{\mathbf{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$. The latter property implies that the entire family $v$ is determined by any particular view $v_{\mathbf{i}}$.

Given such a family $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$, define

$$
\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=-\sum_{j=1}^{N} a_{j} \gamma_{j}
$$

where $v_{\mathbf{i}}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$ and where $\gamma(\mathbf{i})=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}\right)$ is the sequence of positive roots

$$
\gamma_{1}=\alpha_{i_{1}}, \quad \gamma_{2}=s_{i_{1}}\left(\alpha_{i_{2}}\right), \quad \gamma_{3}=s_{i_{1}} s_{i_{2}}\left(\alpha_{i_{3}}\right), \quad \cdots
$$

with $\left\{\alpha_{i}: i \in I\right\}$ the set of simple roots and $s_{i}=r_{\alpha_{i}} \in W$. Recall that $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}\right\}=\Phi^{+}$.
Lemma 2.3. If $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$ then the value of $\mathbf{w t}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ is the same for all $i \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$.
Proof. Fix $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$ and suppose $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$ are reduced words related by either (B1) or (B2).
It suffices to show that $\mathbf{w t}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\mathbf{w t}\left(v_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$.
In the (B1) case, the view $v_{\mathbf{j}}$ is obtained by interchanging two adjacent entries in $v_{\mathbf{i}}$, say $a_{k}$ and $a_{k+1}$, and we claim that $\gamma(\mathbf{j})$ is likewise formed by interchanging $\gamma_{k}$ and $\gamma_{k+1}$ in $\gamma(\mathbf{i})$. To see that this holds, write $w=s_{i_{1}} \cdots s_{i_{k-1}}$. Then the roots in $\gamma(\mathbf{i})$ have the formula $\gamma_{k}=w\left(\alpha_{k}\right)$ and $\gamma_{k+1}=w s_{i_{k}}\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right)$. Since $s_{i_{k}}$ and $s_{i_{k+1}}$ commute, the roots $\alpha_{i_{k}}$ and $\alpha_{i_{k+1}}$ are orthogonal, so $s_{i_{k}}\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right)=\alpha_{i_{k+1}}$. Thus

$$
\left(\gamma_{k}, \gamma_{k+1}\right)=\left(w \alpha_{i_{k}}, w \alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right)
$$

The same argument shows that $k$ th and $(k+1)$ th roots in $\gamma(\mathbf{j})$ are $\left(w \alpha_{i_{k+1}}, w \alpha_{i_{k}}\right)$, so our claim holds.
We conclude in the (B1) case that $\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}\left(v_{\mathbf{j}}\right)$. Now assume $\mathbf{i}$ and $\mathbf{j}$ are connected by relation (B2), say in positions $k, k+1, k+2$. Then in the sequence $\gamma(\mathbf{i})=\left(\gamma_{1}, \gamma_{2}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}\right)$ we have

$$
\left(\gamma_{k}, \gamma_{k+1}, \gamma_{k+2}\right)=\left(w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}\right), w s_{i_{k}}\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right), w s_{i_{k}} s_{i_{k+1}}\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}\right)\right)
$$

Since $s_{i_{k}} s_{i_{k+1}} \in W$ has order 3 , we have $s_{i_{k}}\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right)=\alpha_{i_{k}}+\alpha_{i_{k+1}}$ and $s_{i_{k}} s_{i_{k+1}}\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}\right)=\alpha_{i_{k+1}}$, so

$$
\left(\gamma_{k}, \gamma_{k+1}, \gamma_{k+2}\right)=\left(w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}\right), w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}+\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right), w\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right)\right)
$$

By the same argument, the corresponding terms in $\gamma(\mathbf{j})$ are $\left(w\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right), w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}+\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right), w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}\right)\right)$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)-\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}\left(v_{\mathbf{j}}\right)= & \left(a_{k}+a_{k+1}-\min \left(a_{k}, a_{k+2}\right)-a_{k}\right) w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}\right) \\
& +\left(\min \left(a_{k}, a_{k+2}\right)-a_{k+1}\right) w\left(\alpha_{i_{k}}+\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right) \\
& +\left(a_{k+1}+a_{k+2}-\min \left(a_{k}, a_{k+2}\right)-a_{k+2}\right) w\left(\alpha_{i_{k+1}}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since the terms of $v_{\mathbf{j}}$ in positions $k, k+1, k+2$ are $\vartheta\left(a_{k}, a_{k+1}, a_{k+2}\right)$.
We produce a crystal structure on $\mathcal{L}$ as follows.
The weight map is $\mathbf{w t}(v)=\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ for $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \in \mathcal{L}$. This is well-defined by the preceding lemma.
To define $e_{i}(v), f_{i}(v), \varepsilon_{i}(v), \varphi_{i}(v)$, choose a word $\mathbf{i} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$ such that $i_{1}=i$.
Then let $\varepsilon_{i}(v)=a_{1}$ where $v_{\mathbf{i}}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$. We define $e_{i}(v)=0$ if $a_{1}=0$.
If $a_{1} \neq 0$ then $e_{i}(v)=v^{\prime}$ where $v^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ is the unique element with

$$
v_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}-1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)
$$

We define $\varphi_{i}(v)$ by requiring that $\varphi_{i}(v)-\varepsilon_{i}(v)=\left\langle\mathbf{w t}(v), \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle$.
Finally, $f_{i}$ increments $a_{1}$ in $v_{\mathbf{i}}$ without affecting any of the other $a_{k}$, i.e., $f_{i}(v)=v^{\prime \prime} \in \mathcal{L}$ where

$$
v_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime \prime}=\left(a_{1}+1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)
$$

Lemma 2.4. These definitions of $e_{i}(v), f_{i}(v), \varepsilon_{i}(v), \varphi_{i}(v)$ are independent of the choice of $\mathbf{i}$.
Proof. If $\mathbf{j} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$ also has first entry $j_{1}=i$, then by applying Matsumoto's theorem to the word $s_{i}^{-1} w_{0}$ we obtain a sequence of reduced words interpolating between $\left(i_{2},, i_{k}\right)$ and $\left(j_{2}, \ldots, j_{k}\right)$ in which consecutive words are related as in (B1) or (B2).

Prepending $i$ to each of these words gives a sequence of reduced words $\mathbf{i}=\mathbf{i}_{0}, \mathbf{i}_{1}, \ldots, \mathbf{i}_{r}=\mathbf{j}$, all beginning with $i$, in which consecutive words are related as in (B1) or (B2).

The composition $\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{r-1}, \mathbf{i}_{r}} \circ \cdots \circ \mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}_{1}, \mathbf{i}_{\mathbf{i}}}$ therefore does not affect the first coordinate, so the first entry $a_{1}$ in $v_{\mathbf{i}}$ is the same as in $v_{\mathbf{j}}$, and this completely determines $e_{i}(v), f_{i}(v), \varepsilon_{i}(v), \varphi_{i}(v)$.

In type $A_{2}$, there are two reduced words $\mathbf{i}=(1,2,1)$ and $\mathbf{j}=(2,1,2)$.
If $v=(a, b, c)$ is a vector in $\mathbb{N}^{3}$, which is what we called $\mathcal{L}$ last time, then we define the two views of $v$ to be $v_{\mathbf{i}}=(a, b, c)$ and $v_{\mathbf{j}}=\vartheta(a, b, c)$.
This change of notation $v=(a, b, c) \mapsto v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ identifies the type $A_{2}$ crystal $\mathcal{L}$ defined last time as a subset of $\mathbb{N}^{3}$ with our new crystal $\mathcal{L}$ defined as a subset of $\left(\mathbb{N}^{3}\right)^{2}=\left(\mathbb{N}^{n+1}\right)^{\left|\operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)\right|}$ for $n=2$.

Theorem 2.5. In any simply-laced type, the crystal $\mathcal{L}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$.
Proof sketch. First, we want to check that $\mathcal{L}$ is weakly Stembridge, i.e., satisfies all of the Stembridge axioms except the requirement of being seminormal.

The trivial axioms ( S 0 ) and ( $\mathrm{S}^{\prime}$ ) hold since $\mathcal{L}$ is upper seminormal and $f_{i}(v)$ is never zero.
The remaining Stembridge axioms require us to work with crystal operators for a pair of indices $i, j \in I$. Similar to the previous lemma, we can always choose a view $v_{\mathbf{i}}$ indexed by a reduced word $\mathbf{i} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$
that begins as $(i, j, \ldots)$ or $(i, j, i, \ldots)$. This lets us calculate all of the relevant crystal operators explicitly. The details are the same as what we sketched last time for the Lusztig parametrization in type $A_{2}$.

Once we are satisfied that $\mathcal{L}$ is a weakly Stembridge crystal, we may deduce that there exists a unique crystal embedding $\tau: \mathcal{L} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$, since $\mathcal{L}$ is connected by construction with unique highest element given by the family of "zero views" $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ in which $v_{\mathbf{i}}=(0,0, \ldots, 0)$ for all $\mathbf{i} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$.
We want to show that $\tau$ is surjective. Argue by contradiction. Suppose $x \in \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ is maximal under $\prec$ among all elements not in the image of $\tau$. This element cannot be the unique highest weight element, which is in the image of $\tau$. Therefore $x=f_{i}(y)$ for some $y=\tau\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ where $y^{\prime} \in \mathcal{L}$. But by definition $f_{i}\left(y^{\prime}\right)$ is never zero, so $\tau\left(f_{i}\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)=f_{i}\left(\tau\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right)=f_{i}(y)=x$, which is a contradiction. Thus $\tau$ is an isomorphism.

A root partition of a weight $\mu$ is a tuple $\left(k_{\alpha}\right)_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}}$in which $k_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}} k_{\alpha} \alpha=\mu$.
The Kostant partition function $P(\mu)$ computes the number of root partitions of $\mu$.
One has the following identity of generating functions:

$$
\prod_{\alpha \in \Phi^{+}}\left(1-t^{-\alpha}\right)^{-1}=\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} P(\mu) t^{-\mu}
$$

This turns out to be the character of $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$. (Showing this for Cartan type $\mathrm{GL}(n)$ is an exercise in HW5.) Deriving this from our first construction of $\mathcal{B}_{\infty}$ - the so-called the Kashiwara approach - is not straightforward. An advantage of the Lusztig parametrization is that it makes it obvious that this generating function is the character of $\mathcal{L} \cong \mathcal{B}_{\infty}$.

Corollary 2.6. One has $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{L})=\operatorname{ch}\left(\mathcal{B}_{\infty}\right)=\sum_{\mu \in \Lambda} P(\mu) t^{-\mu}$.
Proof. The value of $\mathbf{w t}(v)$ for $v \in \mathcal{L}$ varies over all linear combinations

$$
-a_{1} \gamma_{1}-a_{2} \gamma_{2}-\cdots-a_{N} \gamma_{N}
$$

with $\Phi^{+}=\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{N}\right\}$ and $a_{i} \in \mathbb{N}$, so $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{L})$ is the linear combination over all dominant weights $\mu$ of the formal elements $t^{-\mu}$ with coefficient $P(\mu)$.

## 3 Weyl group action

Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a seminormal crystal. Recall that for each $i \in I$ we have a map $\sigma_{i}: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ given by

$$
\sigma_{i}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}^{k}(x) & \text { if } k \geq 0 \\
e_{i}^{-k}(x) & \text { if } k<0
\end{array} \quad \text { where } k=\left\langle\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}(x), \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle\right.
$$

Moreover, if $\mathcal{B}$ is normal then there is a unique action of $W$ on $\mathcal{B}$ in which $s_{i}$ operates as $\sigma_{i}$.
We can apply the above definition of $\sigma_{i}$ on other crystals. For this to define a $W$-action, the formula for $\sigma_{i}(x)$ must always give another element of $\mathcal{B}$, never zero. When $\mathcal{B}$ is not seminormal this property can fail, and indeed, this definition does not work to define a Weyl group action on $\mathcal{L}$.
However, one can use a variant $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ of $\mathcal{L}$ in which $e_{i}$ and $f_{i}$ never act as zero.
To construct this, recall that elements of $\mathcal{L}$ are families of views $v=\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$, indexed by $\mathbf{i} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$ with $v_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, satisfying $v_{\mathbf{j}}=\mathcal{R}_{\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$ for all $\mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$.
We define $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in the same way, except now we allow views to be vectors $v_{\mathbf{i}} \in \mathbb{Z}^{n}$.
In $\mathcal{L}$ we defined $e_{i}(v)=0$ if $\varepsilon_{i}(v)=0$; in $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ we modify this so that $e_{i}(v)$ is never zero.

Explicitly, if $\mathbf{i} \in \operatorname{Red}\left(w_{0}\right)$ has $i=\mathbf{i}_{1}$, and $v \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is such that

$$
v_{\mathbf{i}}=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)
$$

then we define $e_{i}(v)=v^{\prime}$ to be the unique family $v^{\prime} \in \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ with $v_{\mathbf{i}}^{\prime}=\left(a_{1}-1, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$.
We retain from $\mathcal{L}$ the meaning of all other operators wt, $\varepsilon_{i}, f_{i}, \varphi_{i}$ on $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$.
The same proofs go through to show that $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ is a crystal.

Now our formula for $\sigma_{i}$ is never zero on $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$. We generalize this definition slightly. Given $\nu \in \Lambda$, let

$$
\sigma_{i}^{\nu}(x)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
f_{i}^{k}(x) & \text { if } k \geq 0 \\
e_{i}^{-k}(x) & \text { if } k<0
\end{array} \quad \text { where } k=\left\langle\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}(x)+\nu, \alpha_{i}^{\vee}\right\rangle\right.
$$

Let $\mathcal{T}_{\nu}=\left\{t_{\nu}\right\}$ be our usual 1-element crystal. Then $\sigma_{i}\left(t_{\nu} \otimes v\right)=t_{\nu} \otimes \sigma_{i}^{\nu}(v)$.
Theorem 3.1. Assume (as we have done throughout) that our Cartan type $(\Phi, \Lambda)$ is simply-laced.
Then the maps $\sigma_{i}: \mathcal{T}_{\nu} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\nu} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ are involutions satisfying the braid relations of the Weyl group $W$. Hence, there is a unique action of $W$ on $\mathcal{T}_{\nu} \otimes \widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in which $s_{i}$ acts as $\sigma_{i}$.

Proof sketch. We give a proof for Cartan type $A_{2}$, since the general simply-laced case reduces to this one.
Showing that $\sigma_{i}$ and $\sigma_{j}$ commute if $\left\langle\alpha_{i}, \alpha_{j}^{\vee}\right\rangle=0$ is relatively straightforward.
The hard part to check is that $\sigma_{1}^{\nu} \sigma_{2}^{\nu} \sigma_{1}^{\nu}=\sigma_{2}^{\nu} \sigma_{1}^{\nu} \sigma_{2}^{\nu}$ if $\left\langle\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}^{\nu}\right\rangle=-1$.
Let $\mathbb{F}$ be a field with a nonzero element $M$. Define $s_{\text {alg }}^{M}: \mathbb{F}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}^{3}$ by

$$
s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M}(a, b, c)=\left(\frac{M c}{a b}, b, c\right)
$$

With $\vartheta_{\text {alg }}$ defined as above, it holds that

$$
\vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}} \circ s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}} \circ s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M_{1}} \circ \vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}} \circ s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M_{2}}=s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M_{1}} \circ \vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}} \circ s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}} \circ s_{\mathrm{alg}}^{M_{1}} \circ \vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}} .
$$

This identity follows by checking that both sides give the map $(a, b, c) \mapsto\left(a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}\right)$ where

$$
a^{\prime}=\frac{b c M_{2}+M_{1} M_{2}}{a^{2} b+a b c+a M_{2}+c M_{2}}, \quad b^{\prime}=\frac{a^{2} b M_{1}+a b c M_{1}+a M_{1} M_{2}+c M_{1} M_{2}}{a b^{2} c+a b M_{1}}, \quad c^{\prime}=\frac{a b c M_{2}+a M_{1} M_{2}}{a^{2} b c+a b c^{2}+a c M_{2}+c^{2} M_{2}} .
$$

If $s^{M}$ and $\vartheta$ are the tropicalizations of $s_{\text {alg }}^{M}$ and $\vartheta_{\mathrm{alg}}$, then we have

$$
s^{M}(a, b, c)=(M+c-a-b, b, c) \quad \text { and } \quad \vartheta(a, b, c)=(b+c-\min (a, c), \min (a, c), a+b-\min (b, c))
$$

and it follows that

$$
\left(\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\right) \circ s^{M_{1}} \circ\left(\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\right)=s^{M_{1}} \circ\left(\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\right) \circ s^{M_{1}}
$$

Write $\alpha_{i}^{\vee}=\mathbf{e}_{i}-\mathbf{e}_{i+1}$. Recall from last time that the weight map $\widehat{\mathcal{L}}$ in type $A_{2}$ has the formula

$$
\mathbf{w} \mathbf{t}(v)= \begin{cases}(-a-b, a-c, b+c) & \text { if } v_{(1,2,1)}=(a, b, c) \\ (-b-c, c-a, a+b) & \text { if } v_{(2,1,2)}=(a, b, c)\end{cases}
$$

Thus, if $M_{1}=\left\langle\nu, \alpha_{1}^{\vee}\right\rangle$ and $M_{2}=\left\langle\nu, \alpha_{2}^{\vee}\right\rangle$ then for $\mathbf{i}=(1,2,1)$ and $\mathbf{j}=(2,1,2)$ we have

$$
\left(\sigma_{1}^{\nu} v\right)_{\mathbf{i}}=s^{M_{1}}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right), \quad\left(\sigma_{2}^{\nu} v\right)_{\mathbf{j}}=s^{M_{2}}\left(v_{\mathbf{j}}\right), \quad v_{\mathbf{j}}=\vartheta\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)
$$

Putting these together gives $\left(\sigma_{2}^{\nu} v\right)_{\mathbf{i}}=\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)$, so

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\sigma_{2}^{\nu} \sigma_{1}^{\nu} \sigma_{2}^{\nu} v\right)_{\mathbf{i}} & =\left(\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\right) \circ s^{M_{1}} \circ\left(\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\right)\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right)=s^{M_{1}} \circ\left(\vartheta \circ s^{M_{2}} \circ \vartheta\right) \circ s^{M_{1}}\left(v_{\mathbf{i}}\right) \\
& =\left(\sigma_{1}^{\nu} \sigma_{2}^{\nu} \sigma_{1}^{\nu} v\right)_{\mathbf{i}}
\end{aligned}
$$

as needed.

