

## Summary

Quick summary of today's notes. Lecture starts on next page.

- Let  $n$  be a positive integer and let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices.
- It always holds that  $\det A = \det A^T$ .
- If  $A$  is invertible then  $\det A \neq 0$ . If  $A$  is not invertible then  $\det A = 0$ .
- It always holds that  $\det AB = (\det A)(\det B)$ .
- A matrix is *triangular* if it looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix} \quad \text{or} \quad \begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

where the  $*$ 's are arbitrary entries.

Let  $a_{ij} \in \mathbb{R}$  denote the entry of  $A$  in the  $i$ th row and  $j$ th column.

If  $A$  is triangular then  $\boxed{\det A = a_{11}a_{22}a_{33} \cdots a_{nn}}$  = the product of the diagonal entries of  $A$ .

The matrix  $A$  is *diagonal* if  $a_{ij} = 0$  whenever  $i \neq j$ . Diagonal matrices are triangular.

- Here is an algorithm to compute  $\det A$ :
  - Perform a series of row operations to transform  $A$  to a matrix  $E$  in echelon form.
  - Keep track of a scalar  $\text{denom} \in \mathbb{R}$  as you do this. Start with  $\text{denom} = 1$ .
  - Whenever you swap two rows of  $A$ , multiply  $\text{denom}$  by  $-1$ .
  - Whenever you multiply a row of  $A$  by a nonzero number, multiply  $\text{denom}$  by that number.

– Then  $\boxed{\det A = \frac{\det E}{\text{denom}} = \frac{\text{product of diagonal entries of } E}{\text{denom}}}$ .

- Here is another way to compute  $\det A$ .

Again write  $a_{ij}$  for the entry of  $A$  in row  $i$  and column  $j$ .

Also let  $A^{(i,j)}$  be the matrix formed from  $A$  by deleting row  $i$  and column  $j$ .

Then  $\boxed{\det A = a_{11} \det A^{(1,1)} - a_{12} \det A^{(1,2)} + a_{13} \det A^{(1,3)} - \cdots - (-1)^n a_{1n} \det A^{(1,n)}}$ .

This formula is complicated and inefficient for generic matrices.

It is useful when many entries of  $A$  are equal to zero, since then the formula has few terms.

Also, when  $n \leq 3$  and you expand all the terms in this formula, you get the identities

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc \quad \text{and} \quad \det \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} = a(ei - fh) - b(di - fg) + c(dh - eg).$$

# 1 Last time: introduction to determinants

Let  $n$  be a positive integer.

A *permutation matrix* is a square matrix formed by rearranging the columns of the identity matrix.

Equivalently, a permutation matrix is a square matrix whose entries are all 0 or 1, and that has exactly one nonzero entry in each row and in each column.

Let  $S_n$  be the set of  $n \times n$  permutation matrices.

If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix and  $X \in S_n$ , then  $AX$  has the same columns as  $A$  but in a different order.

The columns of  $A$  are “permuted” by  $X$  to form  $AX$ .

**Example.** The six elements of  $S_3$  are

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Given  $X \in S_n$  and an arbitrary  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ :

- Define  $\text{prod}(X, A)$  to be the product of the entries of  $A$  in the nonzero positions of  $X$ .
- Define  $\text{inv}(X)$  to be the number of  $2 \times 2$  submatrices of  $X$  equal to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .

To form a  $2 \times 2$  submatrix of  $X$ , choose any two rows and any two columns, not necessarily adjacent, and then take the 4 entries determined by those rows and columns.

Each  $2 \times 2$  submatrix of a permutation matrix is either

$$\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \text{ or } \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

**Example.**  $\text{prod} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} \right) = cdh$

**Example.**  $\text{inv} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 2$  and  $\text{inv} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 0$  and  $\text{inv} \left( \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \right) = 3$ .

**Definition.** The *determinant* of an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is the number given by the formula

$$\det A = \sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X, A) (-1)^{\text{inv}(X)}$$

This general formula simplifies to the following expressions for  $n = 1, 2, 3$ :

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a \end{bmatrix} = a.$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{bmatrix} = ad - bc.$$

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} = a(ei - fh) - b(di - fg) + c(dh - ef).$$

For  $n \geq 4$ , our formula for  $\det A$  is a sum with at least 24 terms, which is not easy to compute by hand (or with a computer, for slightly larger  $n$ ). We will describe a better way to compute determinants today.

The most important properties of the determinant are described by the following theorem:

**Theorem.** The determinant is the unique function  $\det : \{n \times n \text{ matrices}\} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  with these 3 properties:

(1)  $\boxed{\det I_n = 1}$ .

(2) If  $B$  is formed by switching two columns in an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ , then  $\boxed{\det A = -\det B}$ .

(3) Suppose  $A$ ,  $B$ , and  $C$  are  $n \times n$  matrices with columns

$$A = [ a_1 \ a_2 \ \dots \ a_n ] \quad \text{and} \quad B = [ b_1 \ b_2 \ \dots \ b_n ] \quad \text{and} \quad C = [ c_1 \ c_2 \ \dots \ c_n ].$$

Assume there is an index  $i$  where  $a_i = pb_i + qc_i$  for numbers  $p, q \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Assume also that  $a_j = b_j = c_j$  for all other indices  $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, i-1, i+1, i+2, \dots, n\}$ .

Then  $\boxed{\det A = p \det B + q \det C}$ .

**Corollary.** If  $A$  is a square matrix that is not invertible then  $\det A = 0$ .

**Corollary.** If  $A$  is a permutation matrix then  $\det A = (-1)^{\text{inv}(A)}$ .

*Proof.*  $\text{prod}(X, Y) = 0$  if  $X$  and  $Y$  are different  $n \times n$  permutation matrices, but  $\text{prod}(X, X) = 1$ .  $\square$

## 2 More properties of the determinant

Recall that  $A^T$  denotes the transpose of a matrix  $A$  (the matrix whose rows are the columns of  $A$ ).

**Lemma.** If  $X \in S_n$  then  $X^T \in S_n$  and  $\text{inv}(X) = \text{inv}(X^T)$ .

*Proof.* Transposing a permutation matrix does not affect the # of  $2 \times 2$  submatrices equal to  $\begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $A$  is any square matrix then  $\det A = \det(A^T)$ .

*Proof.* If  $X \in S_n$  then  $\text{prod}(X, A) = \text{prod}(X^T, A^T)$ , so our formula for the determinant gives

$$\det A = \sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X, A)(-1)^{\text{inv}(X)} = \sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X^T, A^T)(-1)^{\text{inv}(X^T)}.$$

As  $X$  ranges over all elements of  $S_n$ , the transpose  $X^T$  also ranges over all elements of  $S_n$ .

The second sum is therefore equal to  $\sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X, A^T)(-1)^{\text{inv}(X)} = \det(A^T)$ .  $\square$

**Corollary.** If  $A$  is a square matrix with two equal rows then  $\det A = 0$ .

*Proof.* In this case  $A^T$  has two equal columns, so  $0 = \det A^T = \det A$ .  $\square$

The following lemma is a weaker form of a statement we will prove later in the lecture.

**Lemma.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices with  $\det A \neq 0$ . Then  $\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B)$ .

*Proof.* Define  $f : \{ n \times n \text{ matrices} \} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  to be the function  $f(M) = \frac{\det(AM)}{\det A}$ .

Then  $f$  has the defining properties of the determinant, so must be equal to  $\det$  since  $\det$  is the unique function with these properties. In more detail:

- We have  $f(I_n) = \frac{\det(AI_n)}{\det A} = \frac{\det A}{\det A} = 1$ .
- If  $M'$  is given by swapping two columns in  $M$ , then  $AM'$  is given by swapping the two corresponding columns in  $AM$ , so  $f(M') = \frac{\det(AM')}{\det A} = \frac{-\det(AM)}{\det A} = -f(M)$ .
- If column  $i$  of  $M$  is  $p$  times column  $i$  of  $M'$  plus  $q$  times column  $i$  of  $M''$  and all other columns of  $M$ ,  $M'$ , and  $M''$  are equal, then the same is true of  $AM$ ,  $AM'$ , and  $AM''$  so

$$f(M) = \frac{\det(AM)}{\det A} = \frac{p \det(AM') + q \det(AM'')}{\det A} = pf(M') + qf(M'').$$

These properties uniquely characterize  $\det$ , so  $f$  and  $\det$  must be the same function.

Therefore  $f(B) = \frac{\det(AB)}{\det A} = \det B$  for any  $n \times n$  matrix  $B$ , so  $\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B)$ .  $\square$

### 3 Determinants of triangular and invertible matrices

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *upper-triangular* if all of its nonzero entries occur in positions on or above the diagonal positions  $(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), \dots, (n, n)$ . Such a matrix looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

where the  $*$  entries can be any numbers. The zero matrix is considered to be upper-triangular.

An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is *lower-triangular* if all of its nonzero entries occur in positions on or below the diagonal positions. Such a matrix looks like

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$$

where the  $*$  entries can again be any numbers. The zero matrix is also considered to be lower-triangular.

The transpose of an upper-triangular matrix is lower-triangular, and vice versa.

We say that a matrix is *triangular* if it is either upper- or lower-triangular.

A matrix is *diagonal* if it is both upper- and lower-triangular.

This happens precisely when all nonzero entries are on the diagonal:

$$\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$$

The *diagonal entries* of  $A$  are the numbers that occur in positions  $(1, 1), (2, 2), (3, 3), \dots, (n, n)$ .

**Proposition.** If  $A$  is a triangular matrix then  $\det A$  is the product of the diagonal entries of  $A$ .

For example, we have  $\det \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{bmatrix} = abc$ .

*Proof.* Assume  $A$  is upper-triangular. If  $X \in S_n$  and  $X \neq I_n$  then at least one nonzero entry of  $X$  is in a position below the diagonal, in which case  $\text{prod}(X, A)$  is a product of numbers which includes 0 (since all positions below the diagonal in  $A$  contain zeros) and is therefore 0.

Hence  $\det A = \sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X, A)(-1)^{\text{inv}(X)} = \text{prod}(I_n, A) =$  the product of the diagonal entries of  $A$ .

If  $A$  is lower-triangular then the same result follows since  $\det A = \det(A^T)$ . □

**Lemma.** If  $A$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix then  $\det A$  is a nonzero multiple of  $\det(\text{RREF}(A))$ .

*Proof.* Suppose  $B$  is obtained from  $A$  by an elementary row operation. To prove the lemma, it is enough to show that  $\det B$  is a nonzero multiple of  $\det A$ . There are three possibilities for  $B$ :

1. If  $B$  is formed by swapping two rows of  $A$  then  $B = XA$  for a permutation matrix  $X \in S_n$ .

Therefore  $\det B = \det(XA) = (\det X)(\det A) = \pm \det A$ .

2. Suppose  $B$  is formed by rescaling a row of  $A$  by a nonzero scalar  $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then  $B = DA$  where  $D$  is a diagonal matrix of the form

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & & & & & \\ & \ddots & & & & & & \\ & & 1 & & & & & \\ & & & \lambda & & & & \\ & & & & 1 & & & \\ & & & & & \ddots & & \\ & & & & & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

and in this case  $\det D = \lambda \neq 0$ , so  $\det B = \det(DA) = (\det D)(\det A) = \lambda \det A$ .

3. Suppose  $B$  is formed by adding a multiple of row  $i$  of  $A$  to row  $j$ .

Then  $B = TA$  for a triangular matrix  $T$  whose diagonal entries are all 1 and whose only other nonzero entry appears in column  $i$  and row  $j$ .

For example, if  $n = 4$  and  $B$  is formed by adding 5 times row 2 of  $A$  to row 3 then

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix} A.$$

We therefore have  $\det B = \det(TA) = (\det T)(\det A) = \det A$ .

This shows that performing any elementary row operation to  $A$  multiplies  $\det A$  by a nonzero number. It follows that  $\det(\text{RREF}(A))$  is a sequence of nonzero numbers times  $\det A$ . □

This brings us to an important property of the determinant that is worth remembering.

**Theorem.** An  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$  is an invertible if and only if  $\det A \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* We have already seen that if  $A$  is not invertible then  $\det A = 0$ .

Assume  $A$  is invertible. Then  $\text{RREF}(A) = I_n$ , so  $\det(\text{RREF}(A)) = \det I_n = 1$ .

Hence  $\det A \neq 0$  since  $\det A$  is a nonzero multiple of  $\det(\text{RREF}(A))$ . □

Our next goal is to show that the determinant is a *multiplicative function*.

**Lemma.** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be  $n \times n$  matrices. If  $A$  or  $B$  is not invertible then  $AB$  is not invertible.

*Proof.* Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be  $n \times n$  matrices.

We have seen that  $X$  and  $Y$  are inverses of each other if  $XY = I_n$ , in which case also  $YX = I_n$ .

Suppose  $AB$  is invertible with inverse  $X$ . Then  $(AB)X = X(AB) = I_n$ .

Then  $A$  is invertible with  $A^{-1} = BX$  since  $A(BX) = (AB)X = I_n$ .

Likewise,  $B$  is invertible with  $B^{-1} = XA$  since  $(XA)B = X(AB) = I_n$ .

Thus, if  $A$  or  $B$  is not invertible then  $AB$  cannot be invertible.  $\square$

**Theorem.** If  $A$  and  $B$  are any  $n \times n$  matrices then  $\det(AB) = (\det A)(\det B)$ .

*Proof.* We already proved this in the case when  $\det A \neq 0$ .

If  $\det A = 0$ , then  $A$  is not invertible, so  $AB$  is not invertible either, so  $\det(AB) = 0 = (\det A)(\det B)$ .  $\square$

It is difficult to derive this theorem directly from the formula  $\det A = \sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X, A)(-1)^{\text{inv}(X)}$ .

**Example.** We have  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} = 4 - 6 = -2$  and  $\det \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} = 10 - 12 = -2$ .

On the other hand,  $\det \left( \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 3 & 4 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 3 \\ 4 & 5 \end{bmatrix} \right) = \det \begin{bmatrix} 10 & 13 \\ 22 & 29 \end{bmatrix} = 290 - 286 = 4$ .

## 4 Computing determinants

Our proof that  $\det A$  is a nonzero multiple of  $\det(\text{RREF}(A))$  can be turned into an effective algorithm.

Algorithm to compute  $\det A$  (useful when  $A$  is larger than  $3 \times 3$ ).

Input: an  $n \times n$  matrix  $A$ .

1. Start by setting a scalar  $\text{denom} = 1$ .
2. Row reduce  $A$  to an echelon form  $E$ . It is not necessary to bring  $A$  all the way to *reduced* echelon form. We just need to row reduce  $A$  until we get an upper triangular matrix.

Each time you perform a row operation in this process, modify  $\text{denom}$  as follows:

- (a) When you switch two rows, multiply  $\text{denom}$  by  $-1$ .
- (b) When you multiply a row by a nonzero scalar  $\lambda$ , multiply  $\text{denom}$  by  $\lambda$ .
- (c) When you add a multiple of a row to another row, don't do anything to  $\text{denom}$ .

The determinant  $\det E$  is the product of the diagonal entries of  $E$

The determinant of  $A$  is given by  $\det A = \frac{\det E}{\text{denom}}$ .

**Example.** We reduce the following matrix to echelon form:

$$\begin{aligned}
 A &= \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & -3 & -9 \\ 2 & 4 & 6 \end{bmatrix} && \text{denom} = 1 \\
 \sim &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & -3 & -9 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} && \text{(we added a multiple of row 1 to row 3) } \text{denom} = 1 \\
 \sim &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & -2 & -4 \end{bmatrix} && \text{(we multiplied row 2 by } -1/3 \text{) } \text{denom} = -1/3 \\
 \sim &\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 3 & 5 \\ 0 & 1 & 3 \\ 0 & 0 & 2 \end{bmatrix} = E && \text{(we added a multiple of row 2 to row 3) } \text{denom} = -1/3
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore  $\det A = \frac{\det E}{\text{denom}} = \frac{1 \cdot 1 \cdot 2}{-1/3} = -6$ .

Another algorithm to compute  $\det A$  (useful when  $A$  has many entries equal to zero).

Define  $A^{(i,j)}$  to be the submatrix formed by removing row  $i$  and column  $j$  from  $A$ .

For example, if  $A = \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix}$  then  $A^{(1,2)} = \begin{bmatrix} d & f \\ g & i \end{bmatrix}$ .

**Theorem.** If  $A$  is the  $n \times n$  matrix with entry  $a_{ij}$  row  $i$  and column  $j$ , then

- (1)  $\det A = a_{11} \det A^{(1,1)} - a_{12} \det A^{(1,2)} + a_{13} \det A^{(1,3)} - \dots - (-1)^n a_{1n} \det A^{(1,n)}$ .
- (2)  $\det A = a_{11} \det A^{(1,1)} - a_{21} \det A^{(2,1)} + a_{31} \det A^{(3,1)} - \dots - (-1)^n a_{n1} \det A^{(n,1)}$ .

Note that each  $A^{(1,j)}$  or  $A^{(j,1)}$  is a square matrix smaller than  $A$ .

Thus  $\det A^{(1,j)}$  or  $\det A^{(j,1)}$  can be computed by the same formula on a smaller scale.

*Proof.* The second formula follows from the first formula since  $\det A = \det(A^T)$ . (Why?)

The first formula is a consequence of the formula for  $\det A$  we derived last lecture. One needs to show

$$-(-1)^j a_{1j} \det A^{(1,j)} = \sum_{X \in S_n^{(j)}} \text{prod}(X, A) (-1)^{\text{inv}(X)}$$

where  $S_n^{(j)}$  is the set of  $n \times n$  permutation matrices which have a 1 in column  $j$  of the first row.

Summing the left expression over  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  gives the desired formula.

Summing the right expression over  $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$  gives  $\sum_{X \in S_n} \text{prod}(X, A) (-1)^{\text{inv}(X)} = \det A$ . □

**Example.** This result can be used to derive our formula for the determinant of a 3-by-3 matrix:

$$\det \begin{bmatrix} a & b & c \\ d & e & f \\ g & h & i \end{bmatrix} = a \det \begin{bmatrix} e & f \\ h & i \end{bmatrix} - b \det \begin{bmatrix} d & f \\ g & i \end{bmatrix} + c \det \begin{bmatrix} d & e \\ g & h \end{bmatrix} = a(ei - fh) - b(di - fg) + c(dh - eg).$$

## 5 Vocabulary

Keywords from today's lecture:

1. **Upper-triangular matrix.**

A square matrix of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ 0 & * & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & * & * \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$  with zeros in all positions below the main diagonal.

2. **Lower-triangular matrix.**

A square matrix of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & 0 & 0 \\ * & * & * & 0 \\ * & * & * & * \end{bmatrix}$  with zeros in all positions above the main diagonal.

The transpose of an upper-triangular matrix.

3. **Triangular matrix.**

A matrix that is either upper-triangular or lower-triangular.

4. **Diagonal matrix.**

A square matrix of the form  $\begin{bmatrix} * & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & * & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & * & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & * \end{bmatrix}$  with zeros in all non-diagonal positions.

A matrix that is both upper-triangular and lower-triangular.