


# Math 5112 - Lecture #4

---

---

---

---



# Math 5112 - Lecture #4

Review: last time we saw "two categories of representations that are equivalent to subcategories of algebra reps"

~> motivates us to study  
reps of algebras  
(which we'll begin next time)

① Introduced notions of quivers  $Q = (\underset{\text{vertices}}{I}, \overset{\text{edges}}{E})$

(directed graphs with self-loops and multiple edges allowed),

quiver reps and the path algebra of  $Q$

repr of a quiver  $Q \equiv$  a choice of a vector space  $V_i$  for each vertex  $i \in I$  and a linear map  $p_{ij}: V_i \rightarrow V_j$  for each edge  $i \rightarrow j$  in  $Q$

path algebra of  $Q \equiv$  vector space with basis the set of oriented paths in  $Q$ , multiplication given by concatenation

Key facts: if  $Q$  has finite set of vertices  
 then path algebra has a unit and

quiver  
 reps of  $Q$   $\xleftrightarrow{1-1}$  algebra reps  
 of path algebra

② Introduced notion of a **Lie algebra**

(a vector space  $\mathfrak{g}$  with a bilinear form  $[\cdot, \cdot]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$

satisfying  $[x, x] = 0 \ \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$  and

$$[[x, y], z] + [[y, z], x] + [[z, x], y] = 0 \ \forall x, y, z$$



Also discussed **Lie algebra morphisms**

(linear maps  $\phi: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{h}$  such that  
$$\phi([x, y]) = [\phi(x), \phi(y)] \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$$
)

and **Lie algebra representations**

(pairs  $(V, \rho)$  where  $V$  is a vector space and  
 $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a morphism of Lie algebras)

Here  $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is the Lie algebra of linear maps  $L: V \rightarrow V$   
with bracket  $[L_1, L_2] = L_1 \circ L_2 - L_2 \circ L_1$

Key facts : (a) If  $A$  is any associative algebra then  $A$  becomes a Lie algebra for bracket  $[a, b] = ab - ba$   
 $\mathfrak{gl}(V)$  is a special case of this  
(take  $A = \text{End}(V)$ )

(b) "Lie algebras" are not "algebras"  
For us, algebra  $\equiv$  associative + unital  
but the Lie bracket is neither

(c) for any Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ , there is a related algebra called the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  such that

Lie algebra reps of  $\mathfrak{g}$   $\xleftrightarrow{1-1}$  algebra reps of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$

# Today: fundamentals of tensor products

much of algebra (or maybe all pure math) is  
subsumed by a precise understanding of tensor products

Basic setup: suppose  $V$  and  $W$  are  $K$ -vector  
spaces. ( $K$  can be any field for now).

The direct product of  $V$  and  $W$  as sets is  
 $V \times W = \{ (a, b) \mid a \in V \text{ and } b \in W \}$

This is just a set, no vector space structure.

Define  $V * W$  to be the vector space with  $V \times W$  as  
a basis.

Each element of  $V * W$  is expressed uniquely as a finite  $K$ -linear combination of pairs  $(a, b) \in V \times W$ .

Def The tensor product  $V \otimes W$

is the quotient of  $V * W$  by the subspace spanned by all elements of the form

$$(v_1 + v_2, w) - (v_1, w) - (v_2, w)$$

$$(v, w_1 + w_2) - (v, w_1) - (v, w_2)$$

$$(av, w) - a(v, w)$$

$$(v, aw) - a(v, w)$$

for all  $a \in K, v_1, v_2, v \in V, w_1, w_2, w \in W$

- $V \otimes W$  is a vector space
- For any  $x \in V$  and  $y \in W$  we write  $x \otimes y$  for the image of  $(x, y)$  under the quotient map  $V * W \rightarrow V \otimes W$
- Call  $x \otimes y$  a pure tensor. Elements of  $V \otimes W$  are sums of one or more pure tensors.
- Can have  $x \otimes y = x' \otimes y'$  when  $x \neq x'$   
 $y \neq y'$

Take  $x' = -x$  and  $y' = -y$

For any  $a, b \in K$ , we have  $ax \otimes by = ab(x \otimes y)$

HW Exercise: If  $\{v_i\}_{i \in I}$  is a basis for  $V$  and  $\{w_j\}_{j \in J}$  is a basis for  $W$  then a basis for  $V \otimes W$  is  $\{v_i \otimes w_j\}_{(i,j) \in I \times J}$

Another useful fact: If  $U, V$ , and  $W$  are all  $K$ -vector spaces, then there is a unique vector space isomorphism  $U \otimes (V \otimes W) \rightarrow (U \otimes V) \otimes W$  that

sends  $a \otimes (b \otimes c) \mapsto (a \otimes b) \otimes c \quad \forall a \in U, b \in V, c \in W$

For this reason, we can consider  $n$ -fold tensor products  $V_1 \otimes V_2 \otimes \dots \otimes V_n$  if each  $V_i$  is a vector space, without worrying about the need for parentheses.

We also have a notion of the tensor product of linear maps. If  $f: V \rightarrow V'$  and  $g: W \rightarrow W'$  are linear maps then we define  $f \otimes g$  to be the linear map  $V \otimes W \rightarrow V' \otimes W'$  with

$$(f \otimes g)(a \otimes b) = f(a) \otimes g(b) \text{ for } a \in V, b \in W$$

To check that this is well defined:

$$\begin{aligned} & (f \otimes g)((v_1 + v_2) \otimes w - v_1 \otimes w - v_2 \otimes w) \\ &= (f \otimes g)((v_1 + v_2) \otimes w) - (f \otimes g)(v_1 \otimes w) - (f \otimes g)(v_2 \otimes w) \\ &= \underbrace{f(v_1 + v_2)} \otimes g(w) - f(v_1) \otimes g(w) - f(v_2) \otimes g(w) = 0 \quad \checkmark \\ &= (f(v_1) + f(v_2)) \otimes g(w) \\ &= f(v_1) \otimes g(w) + f(v_2) \otimes g(w) \end{aligned}$$

$\forall v_1, v_2 \in V, w \in W$

One checks similarly that we also get zero when applying  $f \otimes g$  to

$$\begin{cases} v \otimes (w_1 + w_2) = v \otimes w_1 + v \otimes w_2 & \forall v \in V, w_1, w_2 \in W \\ (av) \otimes w = a(v \otimes w) & \forall a \in K, v \in V, w \in W \\ v \otimes (aw) = a(v \otimes w) & \forall a \in K, v \in V, w \in W \end{cases}$$


---

Application: the tensor algebra of a vector space

Given a  $K$ -vector space  $V$  and an integer  $n \geq 0$ ,

let  $V^{\otimes 0} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} K$  and  $V^{\otimes n} \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \underbrace{V \otimes V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V}_{n \text{ factors}}$

Then set  $TV \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \bigoplus_{n \geq 0} V^{\otimes n}$  This is a vector space

It becomes an algebra with unit  $1 \in K = V^{\otimes 0} \subset TV$  for the bilinear multiplication satisfying  $ab \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} a \otimes b$  for  $a \in V^{\otimes n}, b \in V^{\otimes m}$



Here, we identify

$$V^{\otimes n} \otimes V^{\otimes m} = \underbrace{(V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V)}_{n \text{ factors}} \otimes \underbrace{(V \otimes V \otimes \dots \otimes V)}_{m \text{ factors}} \xrightarrow{\sim} V^{\otimes (m+n)}$$

Call this structure  $TV$  the tensor algebra on  $V$

Fact Suppose  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$  is a basis for  $V$ .

Then there is a unique algebra isomorphism

$$TV \xrightarrow{\sim} K\langle x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N \rangle \quad \text{that sends each } v_i \mapsto x_i$$

free algebra in non-commuting variables

$$(\text{and more generally each tensor } v_{i_1} \otimes v_{i_2} \otimes \dots \otimes v_{i_k} \mapsto x_{i_1} x_{i_2} \dots x_{i_k})$$

This means that free algebras are "the same thing" as tensor algebras  $TV$  with a chosen basis for  $V$

The tensor algebra  $TV$  has several notable quotients.

Def The quotient of  $TV$  by the two-sided ideal generated by the set  $\{v \otimes w - w \otimes v \mid v, w \in V\}$  is called the **Symmetric algebra**  $SV$

Fact If  $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_N\}$  is a basis for  $V$  then there is a unique isomorphism  $SV \rightarrow K[x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N]$   
polynomials in commuting variables  
that sends each  $v_i \mapsto x_i$

Polynomial algebras are "the same thing" as Symmetric algebras  $SV$  with a chosen basis for  $V$ .

Def The quotient of  $TV$  by the two-sided ideal generated by the set  $\{v \otimes v \mid v \in V\}$  is called the **exterior algebra**  $\wedge V$   
( $\$ \wedge V \$$ )

$$\begin{aligned} \text{In } \wedge V \text{ we have } (a+b) \otimes (a+b) &= 0 \\ &= \cancel{a \otimes a} + a \otimes b + b \otimes a + \cancel{b \otimes b} \\ &= a \otimes b + b \otimes a \text{ for all } a \in V, b \in V \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } a \otimes b = -b \otimes a$$

Thus we can think of elements of  $\wedge V$  as sums of  $n$ -fold tensors where we can rearrange factors in each tensor by introducing sign changes.

Choosing a basis for  $V$  lets us identify

$\wedge V \cong$  "polynomial" algebra in which  
the variables anti-commute,  
meaning  $x_i x_j = -x_j x_i$

Finally:

Def. If  $V$  is a Lie algebra then its  
universal enveloping algebra is

$$U(V) = TV / \langle v \otimes w - w \otimes v - [v, w] \mid v, w \in V \rangle$$

↑  
this is an algebra

intersection of all two-sided ideals containing  
all of these elements

## Tensor products of modules

Let  $A, B, C$  be  $k$ -algebras ( $k$  is any field)

Suppose  $V$  is a right  $B$ -module and

$W$  is a left  $B$ -module

Then we can form the tensor product

$$V \otimes_B W \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (V \otimes W) / \langle vb \otimes w - v \otimes bw \mid v \in V, w \in W, b \in B \rangle$$

"tensor product of  $V$  and  $W$  over  $B$ "

tensor product of vector spaces

subspace of  $V \otimes W$  spanned by the given elements

Without more structure,  $V \otimes_B W$  is just a vector space: not a module for  $B$

already a right  $B$ -module

↓  
 $V$  is an  $(A, B)$ -bimodule if  $V$  is also

a left  $A$ -module such that  $(av)b = a(vb) \quad \forall \begin{matrix} a \in A \\ b \in B \\ v \in V \end{matrix}$

already a left  $B$ -module

↓  
 $W$  is a  $(B, C)$ -bimodule if  $W$  is also

a right  $C$ -module such that  $(bw)c = b(wc) \quad \forall \begin{matrix} b \in B \\ c \in C \\ w \in W \end{matrix}$

Prop. Assume  $V$  is an  $(A, B)$ -bimodule and  
 $W$  is a  $(B, C)$ -bimodule. Then  $V \otimes_B W$   
has a unique  $(A, C)$ -bimodule structure

in which  $\begin{cases} a(v \otimes_B w) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} (av) \otimes_B w & \text{for } a \in A \quad v \in V \\ (v \otimes_B w)c \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} v \otimes_B (wc) & \text{for } c \in C \quad w \in W \end{cases}$

Here, we write  $x \otimes_B y$  for the image of  $x \otimes y \in V \otimes W$  under the quotient map  $V \otimes W \rightarrow V \otimes_B W$

Cor If  $B$  is a commutative algebra, then

$$(\text{left } B\text{-modules}) \equiv (\text{right } B\text{-modules})$$

$$\equiv (B, B)\text{-bimodules}$$

So we can form the tensor product of two  $B$ -modules (by regarding these as  $(B, B)$ -bimodules) and the result will have a  $B$ -module structure

(\*) If  $B$  is an algebra that is not commutative, then there is no natural definition of a tensor product for two left  $B$ -modules (or two right  $B$ -modules)