## Math 5112 - Lecture # 22



Remark about quiver repris:  
(i) a quiver reprint (X., f.) is inreducible iff there is  
a unique vertex i such that 
$$X_i = k$$
, while  
 $X_j = 0$  for  $j \neq i$ , and all maps  $f_{jk} = 0$ 

Math SI12 - Lecture #22

(2) arbitrary gumer repris are not direct sums of irreducible repris => hence, more useful + interesting to study indecomposable repris

Last time: Categories

A categors consists of a class of objects, a class of morphisms between pairs of objects and a notion of composition for marphisms is must be aspociative, have identity morphism

Useful ferms: ful Subcalegory, locally small, La ferrer objects, same morphisms 4 Hom classes are sets

> Enriched (over another category D) Let Hom clarser belong to D + some stuff about compartion

ok, technically There is a category of Categories. shalld Sqy "category of small Objects are categories. contegories" or Morphisms are functors F:  $C \rightarrow D$ "Z-(atesory of categories" but let's not A functor F : C -> D assigns () an object F[x] EV for each object XEC Worry about this (2) a morphism FTG): F(x) + F(y) for each morphism  $\sigma: x + y$  in C such that F[id\_1 = id F[x] and F[o,oc,]= F[o]oF[o]]

There is also a category of functors C+D Objects are functors C-D and the morphisms are natural transformations  $\alpha: F \rightarrow G$ , which assigns to each object XEC, a marphism x: F[x] + G[x] in D Such that  $F[x] \xrightarrow{A_x} G[x]$   $F[x] \xrightarrow{A_y} G[x]$   $F[x] \xrightarrow{A_y} \int G[c]$   $F[y] \xrightarrow{A_y} G[y]$ always commutes In particular: Functors can be composed, as can natural transformations In any category C, a marphism f: X + Yis an somorphism if there is a marphism  $\tilde{f}: Y + X$ such that  $f \circ f^{-1} = i d_Y$  and  $\tilde{f} \circ f = i d_X$ 

Ex A natural isomorphism is a natural transformation that's an isomorphism in the functor category.

Two categories C and D are equivalent if there exist functions F: C+D and G: D+C such that FOG and GOF are naturally isomorphic to identity functors. In this case, say that F and G are quasi-inverses. Let C be a bcally small Representable functors. Category. A functor F: C > Sets is representable if there is an object  $X \in C$  such that  $F \cong G$ ngturally isomorphic

where  $G = Hom_{C}(X, \bullet) : C \rightarrow Set is the functor$  $with <math>G[Y] = Hom_{C}(X,Y) \in Sets$   $G[\sigma: Y \rightarrow Z] = (Hom_{C}(X,Y) \rightarrow Hom_{C}(X,Z)$  $\phi \mapsto \sigma \circ \phi$ 

In this case, we say that F is represented by X. Yoneda lemma If F: C - set is represented by two objects X1 and X2 in C, then there is a anique isomorphism  $\alpha: \chi_2 \rightarrow \chi$ , such that the natural transformation Hon (x, .) -+ Hon (x2, .) given by composition with a is a natural isomorphism.

Adjoint functors, Two functors 
$$F: C+D$$
 and  
 $G: D \to C$  are adjoint if for any  $X \in C, Y \in D$ ,  
there is an isomorphism  $fill are categories are locally small,$   
this just means a bijedion  
 $E_{XY}: Hom_{Q}(F[x],Y) \xrightarrow{\sim} Hom_{C}(X,G[Y])$   
Such that  $Hom_{Q}(F[x],Y) \xrightarrow{\in_{X,Y_{2}}} Hom_{C}(X_{1},G[Y_{1}])$   
 $\int \phi \mapsto g \circ \phi \circ F[x]$   
 $Hom_{Q}(F[x_{2}], Y_{2}) \xrightarrow{\in_{X,Y_{2}}} Hom_{C}(X_{2},G[Y_{2}])$   
 $form_{Q}(F[x_{2}], Y_{2}) \xrightarrow{\in_{X,Y_{2}}} Hom_{C}(X_{2},G[Y_{2}])$   
 $formules for any morphisms  $a: X_{2} \to X_{1}$  and  $\beta: Y_{1} \to Y_{2}$$ 

left adjoint to G and In this case, F is right adjoint to F. G is

More concisely: F and G are adjoint functor if there is a natural isomorphism & from the functor

to the functor

Hom, (, G[.]): COP × D - + Set

Ex For finite groups HCG, Frobenius recipiocity implies that Rest is left adjoint to Ind A as functor between Rep, (H) and Rep, (G) Ex The right adjoint of the functor Groups + k-Abebras G + K[G] is the functor  $GL_1: k$ -Algebras - i Groups  $A \mapsto GL_1(A) = A^{\times} \operatorname{group of}_{\operatorname{units} in A}$  Abelion categories

An abelian category is a category enviched over abelian graps that is equivalent to a full subcategory of the category of left A-modules over a ring A, that is closed under finite direct runs  $\bigoplus$ kornels (where  $\ker(f) = \{x \mid f(x)=0\}$ ) Cokernels (where  $\operatorname{coker}(f;x+y) = y / \operatorname{image}(f)$ ) and images

when working with an abelian category C, can always pretend that C is just the category of all left A-modules Complexes and cohomology

The cohomology of a complex C. is the  
sequence of abelian graups 
$$H^{i}(C_{o}) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \ker(di)/\operatorname{image}(di-1)$$
  
for iEZ. Note: in a complex, always have  
image(di-1) C kor(di)  
Complex C. is exact if  $H^{i}(C_{o}) = 0$   $\forall i \in \mathbb{Z}$   
We view any finite sequence of arrows  $C_{i} \rightarrow C_{i+1} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{j}$   
as the infinite sequence  
 $\frac{1}{2}c_{i} \stackrel{\text{def}}{\to} c_{i} \rightarrow c_{i} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{j} \rightarrow c_{j} \rightarrow c_{j} \rightarrow c_{j} \rightarrow \cdots \rightarrow C_{j}$ 

This lets us talk about finite complexes and whether they're exact.

Complexes in A form a category where morphisms f.: C. -> D. of complexes consist of commuting diagnoms

$$\frac{1}{5i} \int_{i} f_{ih} \int_{i} f_{ih2} \int_{i}$$

A short exact sequence is an exact (finite) complex  $(-0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow ) 0 \rightarrow x \rightarrow y \rightarrow z \rightarrow 0 (\rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \cdots)$ Such a sequence is split if is omorphic as a complex to  $0 \rightarrow x \rightarrow x \oplus z \rightarrow z \rightarrow 0$  for some doject  $X_i z$ .

Terminology:

(complex)

(for a given