

# 1 Review: root space decomposition

Let  $L$  be a finite-dimensional, semisimple Lie algebra, over an algebraically closed field  $\mathbb{F}$  with  $\text{char}(\mathbb{F}) = 0$ .

A subalgebra  $T \subseteq L$  is *toral* if every element of  $T$  is semisimple.

**Theorem.** Let  $T \subseteq L$  be any toral subalgebra and assume  $H \subseteq L$  is a maximal toral subalgebra.

- (a)  $T$  and  $H$  are abelian, meaning  $[T, T] = [H, H] = 0$ .
- (b)  $H$  is self-centralizing, meaning  $H = C_L(H) = \{X \in L : [X, h] = 0 \text{ for all } h \in H\}$ .
- (c) The Killing form  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y) = \text{trace}(\text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Y)$  on  $L$  restricts to a nondegenerate form on  $H$ .

Choose a maximal toral subalgebra  $H \subseteq L$ .

The corresponding *root space decomposition* of  $L$  is

$$L = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha$$

where we define

$$L_\alpha = \{X \in L : [h, X] = \alpha(h)X \text{ for all } h \in H\} \text{ for each } \alpha \in H^*, \text{ and}$$

$$\Phi \text{ is the finite set } \alpha \in H^* \text{ with } \alpha \neq 0 \text{ and } L_\alpha \neq 0.$$

We call  $L_\alpha$  a *root space* and  $\alpha \in \Phi$  a *root*.

**Example.** Suppose  $L = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{F})$  is the Lie algebra of  $3 \times 3$  traceless matrices.

For a maximal toral subalgebra is

$$H = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{bmatrix} : a + b + c = 0 \right\}.$$

Define linear maps  $\varepsilon_i : H \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$  by  $\varepsilon_i(h) = h_{ii}$  (the diagonal entry in row  $i$ ).

Then  $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, \varepsilon_3 \in H^*$  but do not form a basis since  $\varepsilon_1 + \varepsilon_2 + \varepsilon_3 = 0$  as a map  $H \rightarrow \mathbb{F}$ .

However, we have

$$L = H \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=L_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_2}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=L_{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=L_{\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_3}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=L_{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_1}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=L_{\varepsilon_2 - \varepsilon_3}} \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{F} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}}_{=L_{\varepsilon_3 - \varepsilon_2}}.$$

Thus the set of roots for  $L$  corresponding to  $H$  is  $\Phi = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq 3, i \neq j\}$ .

# 2 Orthogonality properties of roots

Continue to fix a finite-dimensional, semisimple Lie algebra  $L = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha$ .

Here  $H$  is a maximal toral subalgebra of  $L$  and  $\Phi \subset H^*$  is the corresponding set of roots.

Write  $\mathcal{K}(X, Y) = \text{trace}(\text{ad}_X \text{ad}_Y)$  for the Killing form on  $L$ .

As  $\mathcal{K}|_{H \times H}$  is non-degenerate, when  $\alpha \in H^*$  there is a unique  $t_\alpha \in H$  with  $\mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, \cdot) = \alpha(h)$  for all  $h \in H$ .

**Proposition.** It holds that  $H^* = \mathbb{F}\text{-span}\{\alpha \in \Phi\} = \mathbb{F}\Phi$ .

*Proof.* Otherwise, there would be some  $0 \neq h \in H$  with  $\alpha(h) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$ .

Then we would have  $[h, L_\alpha] = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in \Phi$  so  $[h, L] = 0$  meaning  $0 \neq h \in Z(L)$ .

This is impossible since  $L$  is semisimple and therefore has no abelian ideals.  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $-\alpha \in \Phi$ .

*Proof.* By a result last time we know that  $\mathcal{K}(L_\alpha, L_\beta) = 0$  if  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  have  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  while  $\mathcal{K}(L_\alpha, H) = 0$ .

Hence if  $\alpha \in \Phi$  but  $-\alpha \notin \Phi$  then  $\mathcal{K}(L_\alpha, L) = \mathcal{K}(L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}) = 0$  contradicting the non-degeneracy of  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$ ,  $X \in L_\alpha$ , and  $Y \in L_{-\alpha}$ . Then  $[X, Y] = \mathcal{K}(X, Y)t_\alpha \in H$ .

*Proof.* If  $h \in H$  then

$$\mathcal{K}(h, [X, Y]) = \mathcal{K}([h, X], Y) = \alpha(h)\mathcal{K}(X, Y) = \mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, h)\mathcal{K}(X, Y) = \mathcal{K}(h, \mathcal{K}(X, Y)t_\alpha).$$

This implies that  $\mathcal{K}(h, [X, Y] - \mathcal{K}(X, Y)t_\alpha) = 0$  for all  $h \in H$ .

Therefore  $[X, Y] - \mathcal{K}(X, Y)t_\alpha = 0$  by the non-degeneracy of  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$

**Example.** Again let  $L = \mathfrak{sl}_3(\mathbb{F})$ .

Then every root has the form  $\alpha = \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j$  for  $i \neq j$  and every root space  $L_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \mathbb{F}E_{ij}$  is 1-dimensional.

One can compute that  $\mathcal{K}(E_{ij}, E_{ji}) = 4$ .

Hence we have  $t_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \frac{1}{\mathcal{K}(E_{ij}, E_{ji})}[E_{ij}, E_{ji}] = \frac{1}{4}(E_{ii} - E_{jj})$ . The same formula holds in  $\mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$ .

**Proposition.** If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $[L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}] = \mathbb{F}\text{-span}\{t_\alpha\} \neq 0$ .

*Proof.* By the last proposition we just need to show that if  $[L_\alpha, L_{-\alpha}] \neq 0$ .

But if  $0 \neq X \in L_\alpha$  and  $\mathcal{K}(X, L_{-\alpha}) = 0$  then  $\mathcal{K}(X, L) = 0$ , which is impossible as  $\mathcal{K}$  is non-degenerate.  $\square$

**Proposition.** If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $\alpha(t_\alpha) = \mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)$  is nonzero.

*Proof.* We can find  $X \in L_\alpha$  and  $Y \in L_{-\alpha}$  with  $[X, Y] = t_\alpha$  by the previous proposition.

Suppose  $\alpha(t_\alpha) = 0$ . Then  $[t_\alpha, X] = \alpha(t_\alpha)X = 0$  and  $[t_\alpha, Y] = -\alpha(t_\alpha)Y = 0$

In this case  $\text{ad}_X$ ,  $\text{ad}_Y$ , and  $\text{ad}_{t_\alpha}$  generate a solvable subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{gl}(L)$ .

Thus by Lie's theorem there is a basis for  $L$  relative to which  $\text{ad}_X$  and  $\text{ad}_Y$  are have upper-triangular matrices, and then  $\text{ad}_{t_\alpha} = \text{ad}_{[X, Y]} = [\text{ad}_X, \text{ad}_Y]$  has a strictly upper-triangular matrix.

This means that  $\text{ad}_{t_\alpha}$  is nilpotent.

But  $\text{ad}_{t_\alpha}$  is also semisimple since  $t_\alpha \in H$

Hence we must have  $\text{ad}_{t_\alpha} = 0$  giving the contradiction  $0 \neq t_\alpha \in Z(L) = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition.** Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $H_\alpha = \frac{2}{\mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)} t_\alpha$ . Suppose  $E_\alpha \in L_\alpha \setminus \{0\}$ .

Then there is an element  $F_\alpha \in L_{-\alpha}$  such that  $H_\alpha = [E_\alpha, F_\alpha]$ , and it holds that

$$\mathbb{F}\text{-span}\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha\} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$$

via the linear map sending  $E_\alpha \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $F_\alpha \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$  and  $H_\alpha \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$ .

*Proof.* The existence of  $H_\alpha$  and  $F_\alpha$  follow from the previous two propositions.

Checking that the isomorphism  $\mathbb{F}\text{-span}\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha\} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  works out is a simple calculation. □

### 3 Integrality properties of roots

We continue the setup of the previous section, so  $L = H \oplus \bigoplus_{\alpha \in \Phi} L_\alpha$ .

The next property takes a little more work to prove.

**Theorem.** Let  $\alpha \in \Phi$ . Then  $\dim(L_\alpha) = 1$  and  $\mathbb{F}\alpha \cap \Phi = \{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ .

*Proof.* Choose  $0 \neq E_\alpha \in L_\alpha$  and let  $F_\alpha$  and  $H_\alpha = [E_\alpha, F_\alpha]$  be as in the previous proposition.

Define  $S_\alpha = \mathbb{F}\text{-span}\{E_\alpha, F_\alpha, H_\alpha = [E_\alpha, F_\alpha]\} \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$ .

Then let  $M = \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{F} \setminus \{0\}} L_{c\alpha} \oplus H = H \oplus L_\alpha \oplus L_{-\alpha} \oplus (\text{possibly other root spaces})$ .

The vector space  $M$  is an  $S_\alpha$ -module with weights 0 and  $2c$  for each  $0 \neq c \in \mathbb{F}$  with  $L_{c\alpha} \neq 0$  since

$$c\alpha(H_\alpha) = c\alpha\left(\frac{2}{\alpha(t_\alpha)} t_\alpha\right) = 2c.$$

Thus if  $L_{c\alpha} \neq 0$  then we must have  $c \in \frac{1}{2}\mathbb{Z}$  since all  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -weights are integers.

Every irreducible  $S_\alpha$ -submodule of  $M$  of even highest weight contributes one dimension to the zero weight space of  $M$ . This weight space, which is just the 0-eigenspace of  $H_\alpha$ , is exactly  $H$ .

But  $S_\alpha \subseteq M$  is irreducible and  $H = \ker(\alpha) \oplus \mathbb{F}H_\alpha$  and  $S_\alpha$  acts as zero on  $\ker(\alpha)$

Since  $L_\alpha \subseteq S_\alpha$  and  $L_{-\alpha} \subseteq S_\alpha$  it follows that  $L_{c\alpha} = 0$  if  $c$  is an even integer with  $c \notin \{-2, 0, 2\}$ .

We conclude that  $2\alpha \notin \Phi$ . More generally, we conclude that if  $\beta \in \Phi$  then  $2\beta \notin \Phi$ .

Since  $\alpha \in \Phi$  we must therefore also have  $\frac{1}{2}\alpha \notin \Phi$ .

This means that if  $L_{c\alpha} \neq 0$  then  $c \neq \frac{1}{2}$  so  $2c \neq 1$ . Therefore 1 cannot occur as a weight for  $M$ .

Thus  $M = H + S_\alpha = \ker(\alpha) \oplus \mathbb{F}H_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{F}E_\alpha \oplus \mathbb{F}F_\alpha$  so  $L_\alpha = \mathbb{F}E_\alpha$  and  $\dim(L_\alpha) = 1$ . □

This shows that  $\dim(L) = \dim(H) + |\Phi|$ .

Recall that if  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $H_\alpha = \frac{2}{\mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, t_\alpha)} t_\alpha$  where  $t_\alpha \in H$  satisfies  $\mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, \cdot) = \alpha$ .

**Proposition.** Suppose  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$ .

- (a) Then  $\beta(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $\beta - \beta(H_\alpha)\alpha \in \Phi$ .
- (b) If  $\alpha + \beta \in \Phi$  then  $[L_\alpha, L_\beta] = L_{\alpha+\beta}$ .
- (c) If  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  then there are integers  $r, q \geq 0$  such that

$$(\beta + \mathbb{Z}\alpha) \cap \Phi = \{\beta + i\alpha : i \in \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } -r \leq i \leq q\} \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(H_\alpha) = r - q.$$

- (d)  $L$  is generated by its root spaces  $L_\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi$  as a Lie algebra.

We call  $\beta(H_\alpha) \in \mathbb{Z}$  a *Cartan integer*. We refer to the set  $(\beta + \mathbb{Z}\alpha) \cap \Phi$  as the  $\alpha$ -root string through  $\beta$ .

*Proof.* We will just show that part (c) holds as the other properties are easier.

Assume  $\alpha + \beta \neq 0$  and set  $K = \sum_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} L_{\beta+i\alpha}$ .

Only finitely many terms in this sum are nonzero.

No multiple of  $\alpha$  except  $\pm\alpha$  is a root, so we have  $\beta + i\alpha \neq 0$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

The space  $K$  is a submodule of  $S_\alpha \cong \mathfrak{sl}_2(\mathbb{F})$  and each subspace  $L_{\beta+i\alpha}$  is either

zero if  $\beta + i\alpha \notin \Phi$ , or

1-dimensional if  $\beta + i\alpha \in \Phi$ , in which case  $(\beta + i\alpha)(H_\alpha) = \beta(H_\alpha) + 2i$  since  $\alpha(H_\alpha) = 2$ .

In the second case  $\beta(H_\alpha) + 2i$  is the weight of  $H_\alpha$  on  $L_{\beta+i\alpha}$ .

All of these numbers have the same (even or odd) parity,

Hence exactly one of the numbers 0 or 1 can occur as a weight, so  $K$  is an irreducible  $S_\alpha$ -module.

Thus if  $r, q \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  are maximal with  $\beta - r\alpha \in \Phi$  and  $\beta + q\alpha \in \Phi$  then the corresponding weights

$$\beta(H_\alpha) - 2r \quad \text{and} \quad \beta(H_\alpha) + 2q$$

sum to zero, and (c) follows. □

Finally define  $(\alpha, \beta) = \mathcal{K}(t_\alpha, t_\beta)$  for  $\alpha, \beta \in H^*$ .

Let  $E_{\mathbb{Q}} = \mathbb{Q}\text{-span}\{\alpha \in \Phi\} \subset H^*$ . This makes sense since  $\mathbb{F}$  has characteristic zero so contains  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

Then let  $E = \mathbb{R} \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} E_{\mathbb{Q}}$ . If  $\mathbb{R} \subset \mathbb{F}$  then we can just set  $E = \mathbb{R}\text{-span}\{\alpha \in \Phi\}$ .

One can prove the following theorem using the results above (but we omit the details here).

The properties listed below will motivate the definition of a *root system* in the next lecture.

**Theorem.** The symmetric bilinear form  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  restricts to a *positive definite* form on  $E$ , meaning that

$$(\alpha, \alpha) > 0 \quad \text{for all } 0 \neq \alpha \in E.$$

In addition, the following properties hold:

- (a)  $\Phi$  spans  $E$  over  $\mathbb{R}$ .
- (b) If  $\alpha \in \Phi$  then  $\mathbb{R}\alpha \cap \Phi = \{-\alpha, \alpha\}$ .
- (c) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  then  $\beta - \frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)}\alpha \in \Phi$ .
- (d) If  $\alpha, \beta \in \Phi$  then  $\frac{2(\beta, \alpha)}{(\alpha, \alpha)} \in \mathbb{Z}$ .

**Example.** Let  $L = \mathfrak{sl}_n(\mathbb{F})$  and suppose  $H \subseteq L$  is the subspace of diagonal matrices.

Then  $H$  is a maximal toral subalgebra and the corresponding set of roots for  $L$  is

$$\Phi = \{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j : 1 \leq i, j \leq n, i \neq j\}$$

where  $\varepsilon_i$  is the map  $X \mapsto X_{ii}$ .

As noted earlier for  $n = 3$ , we have  $t_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j} = \frac{1}{4}(E_{ii} - E_{jj})$ . One can compute that

$$\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \rangle = \mathcal{K}(t_{\varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j}, t_{\varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l}) = \frac{1}{4} \langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \rangle \quad \text{where} \quad \langle \varepsilon_i, \varepsilon_j \rangle = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i = j \\ 0 & \text{if } i \neq j \end{cases}$$

with  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$  bilinear. Thus  $\frac{2\langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \rangle}{\langle \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \rangle} = \langle \varepsilon_i - \varepsilon_j, \varepsilon_k - \varepsilon_l \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}$  as predicted by the theorem.