

Number Theory

October 10, 2019

1 Divisibility

Given two integers a, b with $a \neq 0$. We say that a **divides** b , written $a \mid b$, if there exists an integer q such that

$$b = qa.$$

When this is true, we say that a is a **factor** (or **divisor**) of b , and b is a **multiple** of a . If a is not a factor of b , we write

$$a \nmid b.$$

Any integer n has divisors ± 1 and $\pm n$, called the **trivial divisors** of n . If a is a divisor of n , so is $-a$. A positive divisor of n other than the trivial divisors is called a **nontrivial divisor** of n . Every integer is a divisor of 0.

A positive integer p other than 1 is called a **prime** if it does not have nontrivial divisors, i.e., its positive divisors are only the trivial divisors 1 and p . A positive integer is called **composite** if it is not a prime. The first few primes are listed as

$$2, 3, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23, 29, 31, 37, 41, 43, 47, 53, 59, \dots$$

Proposition 1.1. *Every composite number n has a prime factor $p \leq \sqrt{n}$.*

Proof. Since n is composite, there are primes p and q such that $n = pqk$, where $k \in \mathbb{P}$. Note that for primes p and q , one is less than or equal to the other, say $p \leq q$. Then $p^2 \leq pqk = n$. Thus $p \leq \sqrt{n}$. \square

Example 1.1. (a) 6 has the prime factor $2 \leq \sqrt{6}$.

(b) 9 has the prime factor $3 = \sqrt{9}$.

(c) 35 has the prime factor $5 \leq \sqrt{35}$.

(d) Is 143 a prime? We find that $\sqrt{143} < \sqrt{144} = 12$. For $i = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$, check whether i divides 143. We find out $i \nmid 143$ for $i = 2, 3, 5, 7$, and $11 \mid 143$. So 143 is a composite number.

(e) Is 157 a prime? Since $\sqrt{157} < \sqrt{169} = 13$. For each $i = 2, 3, 5, 7, 11$, we find out that $i \nmid 157$. We see that 157 has no prime factor less or equal to $\sqrt{157}$. So 157 is not a composite; 157 is a prime.

Proposition 1.2. *Let a, b, c be nonzero integers.*

(a) *If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid a$, then $a = \pm b$.*

(b) *If $a \mid b$ and $b \mid c$, then $a \mid c$.*

(c) *If $a \mid b$ and $a \mid c$, then $a \mid (bx + cy)$ for all $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$.*

Proof. (a) Write $b = q_1a$ and $a = q_2b$ for some $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $b = q_1q_2b$. Dividing both sides by b , we have $q_1q_2 = 1$. This forces that $q_1 = q_2 = \pm 1$. Thus $b = \pm a$.

(b) Write $b = q_1a$ and $c = q_2b$ for some integers $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $c = q_1q_2a$. This means that $a \mid c$.

(c) Write $b = q_1a$ and $c = q_2a$ for some $q_1, q_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$bx + cy = q_1ax + q_2ay = (q_1x + q_2y)a$$

for any $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. This means that $a \mid (bx + cy)$. \square

Theorem 1.3. *There are infinitely many prime numbers.*

Proof. Suppose there are finitely many primes, say, they are listed as follows

$$p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k.$$

Then the integer

$$a = p_1 p_2 \cdots p_k + 1$$

is not divisible by any of the primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k because the remainders of a divided by each p_i is always 1, where $i = 1, \dots, k$. This means that a has no prime factors. By definition of primes, the integer a is a prime, and this prime is larger than all primes p_1, p_2, \dots, p_k . So it is larger than itself, which is a contradiction. \square

Theorem 1.4 (Division Algorithm). *For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a > 0$, there exist unique integers q, r such that*

$$b = qa + r, \quad 0 \leq r < a.$$

Proof. Define the set $S = \{b - ta \geq 0 : t \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Then S is nonempty and bounded below. By the Well Ordering Principle, S has the unique minimum integer r . Then there is a unique integer q such that $b - qa = r$. Thus

$$b = qa + r.$$

Clearly, $r \geq 0$. We claim that $r < a$. Suppose $r \geq a$. Then

$$b - (q + 1)a = (b - qa) - a = r - a \geq 0.$$

This means that $r - a$ is an element of S , but smaller than r . This is contrary to that r is the minimum element in S . \square

Example 1.2. For integers $a = 24$ and $b = 379$, we have

$$379 = 15 \cdot 24 + 19, \quad q = 15, \quad r = 19.$$

For integers $a = 24$ and $b = -379$, we have

$$-379 = -14 \cdot 24 + 5, \quad q = -14, \quad r = 5.$$

2 Greatest Common Divisor

For integers a and b , not simultaneously 0, a **common divisor** of a and b is an integer c such that $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$.

Definition 2.1. Let a and b be integers, not simultaneously 0. A positive integer d is called the **greatest common divisor** of a and b , denoted $\gcd(a, b)$, if

- (a) $d \mid a$, $d \mid b$, and
- (b) If $c \mid a$ and $c \mid b$, then $c \mid d$.

Two integers a and b are said to be **coprime** (or **relatively prime**) if $\gcd(a, b) = 1$.

Theorem 2.2. For any integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero, if

$$b = qa + r$$

for some integers $q, r \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\gcd(a, b) = \gcd(a, r).$$

Proof. Write $d_1 = \gcd(a, b)$, $d_2 = \gcd(a, r)$.

Since $d_1 \mid a$ and $d_1 \mid b$, then $d_1 \mid r$ because $r = b - qa$. So d_1 is a common divisor of a and r . Thus, by definition of $\gcd(a, r)$, d_1 divides d_2 . Similarly, since $d_2 \mid a$ and $d_2 \mid r$, then $d_2 \mid b$ because $b = qa + r$. So d_2 is a common divisor of a and b . By definition of $\gcd(a, b)$, d_2 divides d_1 . Hence, by Proposition 1.2 (a), $d_1 = \pm d_2$. Thus $d_1 = d_2$. \square

The above proposition gives rise to a simple constructive method to calculate gcd by repeating the Division Algorithm.

Example 2.1. Find $\gcd(297, 3627)$.

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
3627 & = & 12 \cdot 297 + 63, & \gcd(297, 3627) & = & \gcd(63, 297) \\
297 & = & 4 \cdot 63 + 45, & & = & \gcd(45, 63) \\
63 & = & 1 \cdot 45 + 18, & & = & \gcd(18, 45) \\
45 & = & 2 \cdot 18 + 9, & & = & \gcd(9, 18) \\
18 & = & 2 \cdot 9; & & = & 9.
\end{array}$$

The procedure to calculate $\gcd(297, 3627)$ applies to any pair of positive integers.

Let $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$ be nonnegative integers. Write $d = \gcd(a, b)$. Repeating the Division Algorithm, we find nonnegative integers $q_i, r_i \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
b & = & q_0 a + r_0, & 0 \leq r_0 < a, \\
a & = & q_1 r_0 + r_1, & 0 \leq r_1 < r_0, \\
r_0 & = & q_2 r_1 + r_2, & 0 \leq r_2 < r_1, \\
r_1 & = & q_3 r_2 + r_3, & 0 \leq r_3 < r_2, \\
& & \vdots & \\
r_{k-2} & = & q_k r_{k-1} + r_k, & 0 \leq r_k < r_{k-1}, \\
r_{k-1} & = & q_{k+1} r_k + r_{k+1}, & r_{k+1} = 0.
\end{array}$$

The nonnegative sequence $\{r_i\}$ is strictly decreasing. It must end to 0 at some step, say, $r_{k+1} = 0$ for the very first time. Then $r_i \neq 0, 0 \leq i \leq k$. Reverse the sequence $\{r_i\}_{i=0}^k$ and make substitutions as follows:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
d & = & r_k, \\
r_k & = & r_{k-2} - q_k r_{k-1}, \\
r_{k-1} & = & r_{k-3} - q_{k-1} r_{k-2}, \\
& & \vdots \\
r_1 & = & a - q_1 r_0, \\
r_0 & = & b - q_0 a.
\end{array}$$

We see that $\gcd(a, b)$ can be expressed as an integral linear combination of a and b . This procedure is known as the **Euclidean Algorithm**.

We summarize the above argument into the following theorem.

Theorem 2.3. *For any integers $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exist integers $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that*

$$\gcd(a, b) = ax + by.$$

Example 2.2. Express $\gcd(297, 3627)$ as an integral linear combination of 297 and 3627.

By the Division Algorithm, we have $\gcd(297, 3627) = 9$. By the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 9 &= 45 - 2 \cdot 18 \\ &= 45 - 2(63 - 45) \\ &= 3 \cdot 45 - 2 \cdot 63 \\ &= 3(297 - 4 \cdot 63) - 2 \cdot 63 \\ &= 3 \cdot 297 - 14 \cdot 63 \\ &= 3 \cdot 297 - 14(3627 - 12 \cdot 297) \\ &= 171 \cdot 297 - 14 \cdot 3627. \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.3. Find $\gcd(119, 45)$ and express it as an integral linear combination of 45 and 119.

Applying the Division Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 119 &= 2 \cdot 45 + 29 \\ 45 &= 29 + 16 \\ 29 &= 16 + 13 \\ 16 &= 13 + 3 \\ 13 &= 4 \cdot 3 + 1 \end{aligned}$$

So $\gcd(119, 45) = 1$. Applying the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 13 - 4 \cdot 3 = 13 - 4(16 - 13) \\ &= 5 \cdot 13 - 4 \cdot 16 = 5(29 - 16) - 4 \cdot 16 \\ &= 5 \cdot 29 - 9 \cdot 16 = 5 \cdot 29 - 9(45 - 29) \\ &= 14 \cdot 29 - 9 \cdot 45 = 14(119 - 2 \cdot 45) - 9 \cdot 45 \\ &= 14 \cdot 119 - 37 \cdot 45 \end{aligned}$$

Example 2.4. Find $\gcd(119, -45)$ and express it as linear combination of 119 and -45.

We have $\gcd(119, -45) = \gcd(119, 45) = 1$. Since

$$1 = 14 \cdot 119 - 37 \cdot 45,$$

we have $\gcd(119, -45) = 14 \cdot 119 + 37 \cdot (-45)$.

Remark. For any $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\gcd(a, -b) = \gcd(a, b)$. Expressing $\gcd(a, -b)$ in terms of a and $-b$ is the same as that of expressing $\gcd(a, b)$ in terms of a and b .

Corollary 2.4. *Integers a, b , not all zero, are coprime if and only if there exist integers x, y such that $ax + by = 1$. \square*

Proposition 2.5. *If $a \mid bc$ and $\gcd(a, b) = 1$, then $a \mid c$.*

Proof. By the Euclidean Algorithm, there are integers $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $ax + by = 1$. Then

$$c = 1 \cdot c = (ax + by)c = acx + bcy.$$

Since $a \mid bc$ and obviously $a \mid ac$, we have $a \mid (acx + bcy)$ by Proposition 1.2 (c). Therefore $a \mid c$. \square

Theorem 2.6 (Unique Factorization). *Every integer $a \geq 2$ can be uniquely factorized into the form*

$$a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_m^{e_m},$$

where p_1, p_2, \dots, p_m are distinct primes, e_1, e_2, \dots, e_m are positive integers, and $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_s$.

Proof. (Not required) We first show that a has a factorization into primes. If a has only the trivial divisors, then a itself is a prime, and it obviously has unique factorization. If a has some nontrivial divisors, then

$$a = bc$$

for some positive integers $b, c \in \mathbb{P}$ other than 1 and a . So $b < a, c < a$. By induction, the positive integers b and c have factorizations into primes. Consequently, a has a factorization into primes.

Next we show that the factorization of a is unique in the sense of the theorem.

Let $a = q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$ be any factorization, where q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n are distinct primes, f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n are positive integers, and $q_1 < q_2 < \dots < q_n$. We claim that $m = n, p_i = q_i, e_i = f_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq m$.

Suppose $p_1 < q_1$. Then p_1 is distinct from the primes q_1, q_2, \dots, q_n . It is clear that $\gcd(p_1, q_i) = 1$, and so

$$\gcd(p_1, q_i^{f_i}) = 1 \quad \text{for all } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Note that $p_1 \mid q_1^{f_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$. Since $\gcd(p_1, q_1^{f_1}) = 1$, by Proposition 2.5, we have $p_1 \mid q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$. Since $\gcd(p_1, q_2^{f_2}) = 1$, again by Proposition 2.5, we have $p_1 \mid q_3^{f_3} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$. Repeating the argument, eventually we have $p_1 \mid q_n^{f_n}$, which is contrary to $\gcd(p_1, q_n^{f_n}) = 1$. We thus conclude $p_1 \geq q_1$. Similarly, $q_1 \geq p_1$. Therefore $p_1 = q_1$. Next we claim $e_1 = f_1$.

Suppose $e_1 < f_1$. Then

$$p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_m^{e_m} = p_1^{f_1 - e_1} q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_n^{f_n}.$$

This implies that $p_1 \mid p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_m^{e_m}$. If $m = 1$, then $p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_m^{e_m} = 1$. So $p_1 \mid 1$. This is impossible because p_1 is a prime. If $m \geq 2$, since $\gcd(p_1, p_i) = 1$,

we have $\gcd(p_1, p_i^{e_i}) = 1$ for all $2 \leq i \leq m$. Applying Proposition 2.5 repeatedly, we have $p_1 | p_m^{e_m}$, which is contrary to $\gcd(p_1, p_m^{e_m}) = 1$. We thus conclude $e_1 \geq f_1$. Similarly, $f_1 \geq e_1$. Therefore $e_1 = f_1$.

Now we have obtained $p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_m^{e_m} = q_2^{f_2} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$. If $m < n$, then by induction we have $p_1 = q_1, \dots, p_m = q_m$ and $e_1 = f_1, \dots, e_m = f_m$. Thus $1 = q_{m+1}^{f_{m+1}} \cdots q_n^{f_n}$. This is impossible because q_{m+1}, \dots, q_n are primes. So $m \geq n$. Similarly, $n \geq m$. Hence we have $m = n$. By induction, we have $e_2 = f_2, \dots, e_m = f_m$.

Our proof is finished. □

Example 2.5. Factorize the numbers 180 and 882, and find $\gcd(180, 882)$.

Solution. $180/2=90$, $90/2=45$, $45/3=15$, $15/3=5$, $5/5=1$. Then $360 = 2^2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 5$. Similarly, $882/2=441$, $441/3=147$, $147/3=49$, $49/7=7$, $7/7=1$. We have $882 = 2 \cdot 3^2 \cdot 7^2$. Thus $\gcd(180, 882) = 2 \cdot 3^2 = 18$.

3 Least Common Multiple

For two integers a and b , a positive integer m is called a **common multiple** of a and b if $a | m$ and $b | m$.

Definition 3.1. Let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero. The **least common multiple** of a and b , denoted by $\text{lcm}(a, b)$, is a positive integer m such that

- (a) $a | m$, $b | m$, and
- (b) If $a | c$ and $b | c$, then $m | c$.

Proposition 3.2. For nonnegative integers $a, b \in \mathbb{N}$, not all zero,

$$ab = \gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b).$$

Proof. Let $a = p_1^{e_1} p_2^{e_2} \cdots p_n^{e_n}$ and $b = p_1^{f_1} p_2^{f_2} \cdots p_n^{f_n}$, where $p_1 < p_2 < \cdots < p_n$, e_i and f_i are nonnegative integers, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Then by the

Unique Factorization Theorem,

$$\begin{aligned}\gcd(a, b) &= p_1^{g_1} p_2^{g_2} \cdots p_n^{g_n}, \\ \text{lcm}(a, b) &= p_1^{h_1} p_2^{h_2} \cdots p_n^{h_n},\end{aligned}$$

where $g_i = \min(e_i, f_i)$, $h_i = \max(e_i, f_i)$, $1 \leq i \leq n$. Note that for any real numbers $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$\min(x, y) + \max(x, y) = x + y.$$

Thus

$$g_i + h_i = e_i + f_i, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}ab &= p_1^{e_1+f_1} p_2^{e_2+f_2} \cdots p_n^{e_n+f_n} \\ &= p_1^{g_1+h_1} p_2^{g_2+h_2} \cdots p_n^{g_n+h_n} \\ &= \gcd(a, b) \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b).\end{aligned}$$

□

4 Solving $ax + by = c$

Example 4.1. Find an integer solution for the equation

$$25x + 65y = 10.$$

Solution. Applying the Division Algorithm to find $\gcd(25, 65)$:

$$\begin{aligned}65 &= 2 \cdot 25 + 15, \\ 25 &= 15 + 10, \\ 15 &= 10 + 5.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\gcd(25, 65) = 5$. Applying the Euclidean Algorithm to express 5 as an integer linear combination of 25 and 65:

$$\begin{aligned} 5 &= 15 - 10 \\ &= 15 - (25 - 15) \\ &= -25 + 2 \cdot 15 \\ &= -25 + 2 \cdot (65 - 2 \cdot 25) \\ &= -5 \cdot 25 + 2 \cdot 65. \end{aligned}$$

By inspection, $(x, y) = (-5, 2)$ is a solution for the equation

$$25x + 65y = 5.$$

Since $10/5 = 2$, we see that $(x, y) = 2(-5, 2) = (-10, 4)$ is a solution for $25x + 65y = 10$.

Example 4.2. Find an integer solution for the equation

$$25x + 65y = 18.$$

Solution. Since $\gcd(25, 65) = 5$, if the equation has a solution, then $5 \mid (25x + 65y)$. So $5 \mid 18$ by Proposition 1.2 (c). This is a contradiction. Hence the equation has no integer solution.

Theorem 4.1. *The linear Diophantine equation*

$$ax + by = c,$$

has a solution if and only if $d \mid c$, where $d = \gcd(a, b)$.

Theorem 4.2. *Let S be the set of integer solutions of the nonhomogeneous equation*

$$ax + by = c. \tag{1}$$

Let S_0 be the set of integer solutions of the homogeneous equation

$$ax + by = 0. \tag{2}$$

If $(x, y) = (u_0, v_0)$ is an integer solution of (1), then S is given by

$$S = \{(u_0 + s, v_0 + t) : (s, t) \in S_0\}.$$

In other words, all integer solutions of (1) are given by

$$\begin{cases} x = u_0 + s \\ y = v_0 + t \end{cases}, \quad (s, t) \in S_0. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Since $(x, y) = (u_0, v_0)$ is a solution of NHEq (1), then $au_0 + bv_0 = c$. For any solution $(x, y) = (s, t)$ of HE (2), we have $as + bt = 0$. Thus

$$a(u_0 + s) + b(v_0 + t) = (au_0 + bv_0) + (as + bt) = c.$$

This means that $(x, y) = (u_0 + s, v_0 + t)$ is a solution of NHEq (1).

Conversely, for any solution $(x, y) = (u, v)$ of NHEq (1), we have $au + bv = c$. Let $(s_0, t_0) = (u - u_0, v - v_0)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} as_0 + bt_0 &= a(u - u_0) + b(v - v_0) \\ &= (au + bv) - (au_0 + bv_0) \\ &= c - c = 0. \end{aligned}$$

This means that (s_0, t_0) is a solution of HEq (2). Note that

$$(u, v) = (u_0 + s_0, v_0 + t_0).$$

This shows that the solution $(x, y) = (u, v)$ of NHEq (1) is a solution of the form in (3). Our proof is finished. \square

Proposition 4.3. *Let $d = \gcd(a, b)$. The integer solution set S_0 of*

$$ax + by = 0$$

is given by

$$S_0 = \{k(b/d, -a/d) : k \in \mathbb{Z}\}.$$

In other words,

$$\begin{cases} x = (b/d)k \\ y = -(a/d)k \end{cases}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. The equation $ax + by = 0$ can be written as $ax = -by$. Write $m = ax = -by$. Then $a \mid m$ and $b \mid m$, i.e., m is a multiple of a and b . Thus $m = k \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore $ax = k \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)$ implies

$$x = \frac{k \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)}{a} = \frac{kab}{da} = \frac{kb}{d}.$$

Likewise, $-by = k \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)$ implies

$$y = \frac{k \cdot \text{lcm}(a, b)}{-b} = \frac{kab}{-db} = -\frac{ka}{d}.$$

□

Theorem 4.4. Let $d = \text{gcd}(a, b)$ and $d \mid c$. Let (u_0, v_0) be a particular integer solution of the equation

$$ax + by = c.$$

Then all integer solutions of the above equation are given by

$$\begin{cases} x = u_0 + bk/d \\ y = v_0 - ak/d \end{cases}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 4.2 and Proposition 4.3. □

Example 4.3. Find all integer solutions for the equation

$$25x + 65y = 10.$$

Solution. Find $\text{gcd}(25, 65) = 5$ and have got a special solution $(x, y) = (-10, 4)$ in a previous example. Now consider the equation $25x + 65y = 0$. Divide both sides by 5 to have,

$$5x + 13y = 2.$$

Since $\text{gcd}(5, 13) = 1$, all solutions for the above equation are given by $(x, y) = k(-13, 5)$, $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus all solutions of $25x + 65y = 10$ are given by

$$\begin{cases} x = -10 - 13k \\ y = 4 + 5k \end{cases}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 4.4.

$$168x + 668y = 888.$$

Solution. Find $\gcd(168, 668) = 4$ by the Division Algorithm

$$668 = 3 \cdot 168 + 164$$

$$168 = 164 + 4$$

$$164 = 41 \cdot 4$$

By the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 4 &= 168 - 164 \\ &= 168 - (668 - 3 \cdot 168) \\ &= 4 \cdot 168 + (-1) \cdot 668. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing $\frac{888}{4} = 222$, we obtain a special solution

$$(x, y) = 222(4, -1) = (888, -222)$$

Solve $168x + 668y = 0$. Dividing both sides by 4,

$$42x + 167y = 0 \quad \text{i.e.} \quad 42x = -167y.$$

The general solutions for $168x + 668y = 0$ are given by

$$(x, y) = k(167, -42), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

The general solutions for $168x + 668y = 888$ are given by

$$(x, y) = (888, -222) + k(167, -42), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

$$\text{i.e.} \quad \begin{cases} x = 888 + 167k \\ y = -222 - 42k \end{cases}, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

5 Modulo Integers

Let n be a fixed positive integer. Two integers a and b are said to be **congruent** modulo n , written

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

and read “ a **equals** b **modulo** n ” if $n \mid (b - a)$.

For all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ is equivalent to

$$a + kn \equiv b + ln \pmod{n}.$$

In fact, the difference

$$(b + ln) - (a + kn) = (b - a) + (l - k)n$$

is a multiple of n if and only if $b - a$ is a multiple of n .

Example 5.1.

$$3 \equiv 5 \pmod{2}, \quad 368 \equiv 168 \pmod{8}, \quad -8 \equiv 10 \pmod{9},$$

$$3 \not\equiv 5 \pmod{3}, \quad 368 \not\equiv 268 \pmod{8}, \quad -8 \not\equiv 18 \pmod{9}.$$

Proposition 5.1. *Let n be a fixed positive integer.*

(a) *If $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$, then*

$$a_1 \pm a_2 \equiv b_1 \pm b_2 \pmod{n}, \quad a_1 a_2 \equiv b_1 b_2 \pmod{n}.$$

(b) *If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, $d \mid n$, then $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$.*

(c) *If d divides all integers a, b, n , then*

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff \frac{a}{d} \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Proof. (a) Since $a_1 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n}$ and $a_2 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n}$, there are integers k_1 and k_2 such that

$$b_1 - a_1 = k_1n, \quad b_2 - a_2 = k_2n.$$

Then

$$(b_1 + b_2) \pm (a_1 + a_2) = (k_1 \pm k_2)n,$$

$$\begin{aligned} b_1b_2 - a_1a_2 &= b_1b_2 - b_1a_2 + b_1a_2 - a_1a_2 \\ &= b_1(b_2 - a_2) + (b_1 - a_1)a_2 \\ &= bk'n + kna' \\ &= (b_1k_2 + a_2k_1)n. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$a_1 \pm a_2 \equiv b_1 \pm b_2 \pmod{n};$$

$$a_1a_2 \equiv b_1b_2 \pmod{n}.$$

(b) Since $d \mid n$, we have $n = dl$ for some $l \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$b - a = kn = (kl)d, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad a \equiv b \pmod{d}.$$

(c) $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ iff $b - a = kn$ for an integer k , which is iff

$$\frac{b}{d} - \frac{a}{d} = k \times \frac{n}{d}, \quad \text{i.e.,} \quad \frac{a}{d} \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

□

Example 5.2.

$$6 \equiv 14 \pmod{8} \implies 2 \times 6 \equiv 2 \times 14 \pmod{8},$$

$$6 \equiv 14 \pmod{8} \iff \frac{6}{2} \equiv \frac{14}{2} \pmod{\frac{8}{2}}.$$

However,

$$2 \times 3 \equiv 2 \times 7 \pmod{8} \not\implies 3 \equiv 7 \pmod{8}.$$

In fact,

$$3 \not\equiv 7 \pmod{8}.$$

Theorem 5.2. *If $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, then*

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}, a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{mn}.$$

More generally,

$$a \equiv b \pmod{m}, a \equiv b \pmod{n} \Leftrightarrow a \equiv b \pmod{\text{lcm}(m, n)}.$$

Proof. Let $l = \text{lcm}(m, n)$. If $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $m \mid (b - a)$ and $n \mid (b - a)$. Thus $l \mid (b - a)$, i.e., $a \equiv b \pmod{l}$. Conversely, if $a \equiv b \pmod{l}$, then $l \mid (b - a)$. Since $m \mid l$, $n \mid l$, we have $m \mid (b - a)$, $n \mid (b - a)$. Thus $a \equiv b \pmod{m}$, $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

In particular, if $\gcd(m, n) = 1$, we have $\text{lcm}(m, n) = mn$. \square

Definition 5.3. An integer a is said to be **invertible** modulo n if there exists an integer b such that

$$ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

If so, b is called the **inverse** of a modulo n .

Proposition 5.4. *An integer a is invertible modulo n if and only if $\gcd(a, n) = 1$*

Proof. “ \Rightarrow ” If a is invertible modulo n , say, its inverse is b , then there exists an integer k such that $ab = 1 + kn$, i.e., $1 = ab - kn$, which is an integer linear combination of a and n . Thus $\gcd(a, n)$ divides 1. Hence $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

“ \Leftarrow ” By the Euclidean Algorithm, there exist integers u, v such that $1 = au + nv$. Then $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. \square

If a and b are invertible modulo n , so is ab .

Another way to introduce modulo integers is to consider the quotient set \mathbb{Z}_n over the equivalence relation \sim_n (or just \sim) defined by $a \sim_n b$ iff $n \mid (b - a)$, i.e.,

$$\mathbb{Z}_n = \{[0], [1], \dots, [n - 1]\} = \mathbb{Z}/\sim_n .$$

There are addition and multiplication on \mathbb{Z}_n , defined by

$$[a] + [b] := [a + b], \quad [a][b] := [ab].$$

The addition and multiplication are well-defined:

$$[a' + b'] = [a + b], \quad [a'b'] = [ab].$$

In fact, if $[a] = [a']$ and $[b] = [b']$, then $a' - a = pn$ and $b' - b = qn$; thus $(a' + b') - (a + b) = (p + q)n$ and $a'b' - ab = (a + pn)(b + qn) - ab = (pb + qa + pqn)n$; hence $[a' + b'] = [a + b]$ and $[a'b'] = [ab]$.

The class $[0]$ is the **zero** and $[1]$ the **unit** of \mathbb{Z}_n , i.e., $[a] + [0] = [a]$ and $[a][1] = [1][a] = [a]$.

An element $[a]$ is said to be **invertible** in \mathbb{Z}_n if there exists an element $[b] \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, called an **inverse** of $[a]$, such that

$$[a][b] = [ab] = [1].$$

If $[a]$ is invertible, then its inverse is unique, the unique inverse is written as $[a]^{-1}$.

If $[a]$ and $[b]$ are invertible modulo n , so is $[ab]$.

Theorem 5.5 (Fermat's Little Theorem). *Let p be a prime. If a is an integer such that $p \nmid a$, then*

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Consider the map $f_a : \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$ by $f_a([x]) = [ax]$. Since $p \nmid a$, i.e., $\gcd(p, a) = 1$, so $[a]$ is invertible. Let b be an inverse of a modulo p . Then f_b is the inverse function of f_a . Thus f_a is a bijection.

Let $\mathbb{Z}_p^* = \{[1], [2], \dots, [p-1]\}$. Since $f_a([0]) = [0]$, we see that $f_a(\mathbb{Z}_p^*) = \mathbb{Z}_p^*$. Now we have

$$[a]^{p-1} \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} [k] = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} [ak] = \prod_{z \in f_a(\mathbb{Z}_p^*)} z = \prod_{z \in \mathbb{Z}_p^*} z = \prod_{k=1}^{p-1} [k].$$

Note that $\prod_{k=1}^{p-1} [k]$ is invertible. It follows that $[a]^{p-1} = [1]$. \square

Proposition 5.6 (Generalized Fermat's Little Theorem). *Let p and q be distinct prime numbers. If a is an integer such that $p \nmid a$ and $q \nmid a$, then*

$$a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}.$$

Proof. By Fermat's Little Theorem we have $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Raising to the power $q-1$, we have

$$a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

This means that $p \mid (a^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1)$. Likewise, $q \mid (a^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1)$. Since p and q are coprime, we see that $pq \mid (a^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1)$, in other words, $a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$. \square

Theorem 5.7 (Euler's Theorem). *For integer $n \geq 2$ and integer a such that $\gcd(a, n) = 1$,*

$$a^{\varphi(n)} \equiv 1 \pmod{n},$$

where $\varphi(n)$ is the number of invertible integers modulo n .

Proof. Let \mathbb{Z}_n^* denote the set of invertible elements of \mathbb{Z}_n . Note that $[a]$ is invertible, $f_a : \mathbb{Z}_n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_n$ by $f_a([x]) = [ax]$ is bijective, and $f_a(\mathbb{Z}_n^*) = \mathbb{Z}_n^*$. Then

$$[a]^{|\mathbb{Z}_n^*|} \prod_{[x] \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} [x] = \prod_{[x] \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} [a][x] = \prod_{[x] \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} [ax] = \prod_{[y] \in f_a(\mathbb{Z}_n^*)} [y] = \prod_{[x] \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} [x].$$

Since $\prod_{[x] \in \mathbb{Z}_n^*} [x]$ is invertible, it follows that $[a]^{\varphi(n)} = [1]$. \square

Fermat's Little Theorem and its generalization are special cases of Euler's Theorem. In fact, $\varphi(p) = p - 1$ and $\varphi(pq) = (p - 1)(q - 1)$ for distinct primes p, q .

Example 5.3. The invertible integers modulo 12 are the following numbers

$$1, 5, 7, 11.$$

Numbers 0, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 9, 10 are not invertible modulo 12.

Theorem 5.8. Let $\gcd(c, n) = 1$. Then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff ca \equiv cb \pmod{n}$$

Proof. By the Euclidean Algorithm, there are integers u, v such that

$$1 = cu + nv.$$

Then $1 \equiv cu \pmod{n}$; i.e., a and u are inverses of each other modulo n

“ \Rightarrow ”: $c \equiv c \pmod{n}$ and $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ imply

$$ca \equiv cb \pmod{n}.$$

This true without $\gcd(c, n) = 1$.

“ \Leftarrow ”: $ca \equiv cb \pmod{n}$ and $u \equiv u \pmod{n}$ imply that

$$uca \equiv ucb \pmod{n}.$$

Replace $uc = 1 - vn$; we have $a - avn \equiv b - bvn \pmod{n}$. This means $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$. □

Example 5.4. Find the inverse modulo 15 for each of the numbers 2, 4, 7, 8, 11, 13.

Solution. Since $2 \cdot 8 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$, $4 \cdot 4 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$. Then 2 and 8 are inverses of each other; 4 is the inverse of itself.

Write $15 = 2 \cdot 7 + 1$. Then $15 - 2 \cdot 7 = 1$. Thus $-2 \cdot 7 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$. The inverse of 7 is -2. Since $-2 \equiv 13 \pmod{15}$, the inverse of 7 is also 13. In fact,

$$7 \cdot 13 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}.$$

Similarly, $15 = 11 + 4$, $11 = 2 \cdot 4 + 3$, $4 = 3 + 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= 4 - 3 = 4 - (11 - 2 \cdot 4) \\ &= 3 \cdot 4 - 11 = 3 \cdot (15 - 11) - 11 \\ &= 15 - 4 \cdot 11. \end{aligned}$$

Thus the inverse of 11 is -4 . Since $-4 \equiv 11 \pmod{15}$, the inverse of 11 is also itself, i.e., $11 \cdot 11 \equiv 1 \pmod{15}$.

6 Solving $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$

Theorem 6.1. *The congruence equation*

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$$

has a solution if and only if $\gcd(a, n)$ divides b .

Proof. Let $d = \gcd(a, n)$. The congruence equation has a solution if and only if there exist integers x and k such that $b = ax + kn$. This is equivalent to $d \mid b$. \square

Remark. For all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff (a + kn)x \equiv b + ln \pmod{n}.$$

In fact, the difference

$$(b + ln) - (a + kn)x = (b - ax) + (l - kx)n$$

is a multiple of n if and only if $b - ax$ is a multiple of n .

Theorem 6.2. Let $\gcd(a, n) = 1$. Then there exists an integer u such that $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$; the solutions for the equation $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ are given by

$$x \equiv ub \pmod{n}.$$

Proof. Since $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, there exist $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 = au + nv$. So $1 \equiv au \pmod{n}$, i.e., $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. Since u is invertible modulo n , we have

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff uax \equiv ub \pmod{n}.$$

Since $au = 1 - nv$, then $uax = (1 - nv)x = x - vxn$. Thus

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff x - vxn \equiv ub \pmod{n}.$$

Therefore

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff x \equiv ub \pmod{n}.$$

□

Example 6.1. Find all integers x for

$$9x \equiv 27 \pmod{15}.$$

Solution. Find $\gcd(9, 15) = 3$. Dividing both sides by 3,

$$3x \equiv 9 \pmod{5} \iff 3x \equiv 4 \pmod{5}.$$

Since $\gcd(3, 5) = 1$, the integer 3 is invertible and its inverse is 2. Multiplying 2 to both sides,

$$6x \equiv 8 \pmod{5}.$$

Since $6 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$, $8 \equiv 3 \pmod{5}$, then

$$x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}.$$

In other words,

$$x = 3 + 5k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 6.2.

$$13x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

The inverse of 13 is 7 modulo 15. We have

$$7 \times 13x \equiv 7 \times 8 \pmod{15} \equiv 56 \pmod{15} \equiv 11 \pmod{15}.$$

So $x \equiv 11 \pmod{15}$.

Example 6.3. Solve the equation $668x \equiv 888 \pmod{168}$.

Solution. Find $\gcd(668, 168) = 4$. Dividing both sides by 4,

$$167x \equiv 222 \pmod{42}.$$

By the Division Algorithm,

$$167 = 3 \times 42 + 41, \quad 42 = 41 + 1.$$

By the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$1 = 42 - 41 = 42 - (167 - 3 \cdot 42) = 4 \cdot 42 - 167.$$

Then $-167 \equiv 1 \pmod{42}$. The inverse of 167 modulo 42 is -1 . Multiplying -1 to both sides, we have $x \equiv -222 \pmod{42}$. Thus

$$x \equiv -12 \pmod{42} \quad \text{or} \quad x \equiv 30 \pmod{42}; \quad \text{i.e.}$$

$$x = 30 + 42k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Algorithm for solving $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Step 1. Find $d = \gcd(a, n)$ by the Division Algorithm.

Step 2. If $d = 1$, apply the Euclidean Algorithm to find $u, v \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 = au + nv$.

Step 3. Do the multiplication $uax \equiv ub \pmod{n}$. All solutions $x \equiv ub \pmod{n}$ are obtained. Stop.

Step 4. If $d > 1$, check whether $d \mid b$. If $d \nmid b$, there is no solution. Stop. If $d \mid b$, do the division

$$\frac{a}{d}x \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}.$$

Rewrite a/d as a , b/d as b , and n/d as n . Go to Step 1.

Proof. Since $1 = au + nv$, we have $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. This means that a and u are inverses of each other modulo n . So

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff uax \equiv ub \pmod{n}.$$

Since $ua = 1 - vn$, then $uax = (1 - vn)x = x - vxn$. Thus

$$uax \equiv ub \pmod{n} \iff x \equiv ub \pmod{n}.$$

□

Example 6.4. Solve the equation $245x \equiv 49 \pmod{56}$.

Solution. Applying the Division Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 245 &= 4 \cdot 56 + 21 \\ 56 &= 2 \cdot 21 + 14 \\ 21 &= 14 + 7 \end{aligned}$$

Applying the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned} 7 &= 21 - 14 = 21 - (56 - 2 \cdot 21) \\ &= 3 \cdot 21 - 56 = 3 \cdot (245 - 4 \cdot 56) - 56 \\ &= 3 \cdot 245 - 13 \cdot 56 \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides by 7, we have

$$1 = 3 \cdot 35 - 13 \cdot 8.$$

Thus $3 \cdot 35 \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$. Dividing the original equation by 7, we have $35x \equiv 7 \pmod{8}$. Multiplying 3 to both sides, we obtain solutions

$$x \equiv 21 \equiv 5 \pmod{8}$$

7 Chinese Remainder Theorem

Example 7.1. Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1} \\ x \equiv 0 \pmod{n_2} \end{cases}$$

Solution. By definition of solution, x is a common multiple of n_1 and n_2 . So x is a multiple of $\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2)$. Thus the system is equivalent to

$$x \equiv 0 \pmod{\text{lcm}(n_1, n_2)}.$$

Theorem 7.1. Let S be the solution set of the system

$$\begin{cases} a_1x \equiv b_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ a_2x \equiv b_2 \pmod{n_2} \end{cases} \quad (4)$$

Let S_0 be the solution set of the homogeneous system

$$\begin{cases} a_1x \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1} \\ a_2x \equiv 0 \pmod{n_2} \end{cases} \quad (5)$$

If $x = x_0$ is a solution of (4), then all solutions of (4) are given by

$$x = x_0 + s, \quad s \in S_0. \quad (6)$$

Proof. We first show that $x = x_0 + s$, where $s \in S_0$, are indeed solutions of (4). In fact, since x_0 is a solution for (4) and s is a solution for (5), we have

$$\begin{cases} a_1x_0 \equiv b_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ a_2x_0 \equiv b_2 \pmod{n_2} \end{cases}, \quad \begin{cases} a_1s \equiv 0 \pmod{n_1} \\ a_2s \equiv 0 \pmod{n_2} \end{cases};$$

i.e., n_1 divides $(b_1 - a_1x_0)$ and a_1s ; n_2 divides $(b_2 - a_2x_0)$ and a_2s . Then n_1 divides $[(b_1 - a_1x_0) - a_1s]$, and n_2 divides $[(b_2 - a_2x_0) - a_2s]$; i.e., n_1 divides $[b_1 - a_1(x_0 + s)]$, and n_2 divides $[b_2 - a_2(x_0 + s)]$. This means that $x = x_0 + s$ is a solution of (4).

Conversely, let $x = t$ be any solution of (4). We will see that $s_0 = t - x_0$ is a solution of (5). Hence the solution $t = x_0 + s_0$ is of the form in (6). \square

Algorithm for solving the system

$$\begin{cases} a_1x \equiv b_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ a_2x \equiv b_2 \pmod{n_2} \end{cases} \quad (7)$$

Step 1. Reduce the system to the form

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv c_1 \pmod{m_1} \\ x \equiv c_2 \pmod{m_2} \end{cases} \quad (8)$$

Step 2. Set $x = c_1 + ym_1 = c_2 + zm_2$, where $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Find a solution $(y, z) = (y_0, z_0)$ for the equation

$$m_1y - m_2z = c_2 - c_1.$$

Consequently, $x_0 = c_1 + m_1y_0 = c_2 + m_2z_0$.

Step 3. Set $m = \text{lcm}(m_1, m_2)$. The system (7) becomes

$$x \equiv x_0 \pmod{m}.$$

Proof. It follows from Theorem 7.1. □

Example 7.2. Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 10x \equiv 6 \pmod{4} \\ 12x \equiv 30 \pmod{21} \end{cases}$$

Solution. Applying the Division Algorithm,

$$\text{gcd}(10, 4) = 2, \quad \text{gcd}(12, 21) = 3.$$

Dividing the 1st equation by 2 and the second equation by 3,

$$\begin{cases} 5x \equiv 3 \pmod{2} \\ 4x \equiv 10 \pmod{7} \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ 4x \equiv 3 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

The system is equivalent to

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 1 \pmod{2} \\ x \equiv 6 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

Set $x = 1 + 2y = 6 + 7z$, $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then

$$2y - 7z = 5.$$

Applying the Division Algorithm, $7 = 3 \cdot 2 + 1$. Applying the Euclidean Algorithm, $1 = -3 \cdot 2 + 7$. Then $5 = -15 \cdot 2 + 5 \cdot 7$. We obtain a solution $(y_0, z_0) = (-15, -5)$. Thus

$$x_0 = 1 + 2y_0 = 6 + 7z_0 = -29$$

is a special solution. The general solution for

$$\begin{cases} x \equiv 0 \pmod{2} \\ x \equiv 0 \pmod{7} \end{cases}$$

is $x \equiv 0 \pmod{14}$. Hence the solution is given by

$$x \equiv -29 \equiv -1 \equiv 13 \pmod{14}$$

Example 7.3. Solve the system

$$\begin{cases} 12x \equiv 96 \pmod{20} \\ 20x \equiv 70 \pmod{30} \end{cases}$$

Solution. Applying the Division Algorithm to find,

$$\gcd(12, 20) = 4, \quad \gcd(20, 30) = 10.$$

Then

$$\begin{cases} 3x \equiv 24 \pmod{5} \\ 2x \equiv 7 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

Applying the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\gcd(3, 5) = 1 = 2 \cdot 3 - 1 \cdot 5.$$

Then $2 \cdot 3 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$. Similarly,

$$\gcd(2, 3) = 1 = -1 \cdot 2 + 1 \cdot 3$$

and $-1 \cdot 2 = 1 \pmod{3}$. (Equivalently, $2 \cdot 2 \equiv 1 \pmod{3}$.) Then, 2 is the inverse of 3 modulo 5; -1 or 2 is the inverse of 2 modulo 3. Thus

$$\begin{cases} 2 \cdot 3x & \equiv 2 \cdot 24 \pmod{5} \\ -1 \cdot 2x & \equiv -1 \cdot 7 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv 48 \equiv 3 \pmod{5} \\ x & \equiv -7 \equiv 2 \pmod{3} \end{cases}$$

Set $x = 3 + 5y = 2 + 3z$, where $y, z \in \mathbb{Z}$. That is,

$$5y - 3z = -1.$$

We find a special solution $(y_0, z_0) = (1, 2)$. So $x_0 = 3 + 5y_0 = 2 + 3z_0 = 8$. Thus the original system is equivalent to

$$x \equiv 8 \pmod{15}$$

and all solutions are given by

$$x = 8 + 15k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 7.4. Find all integer solutions for the system

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv 486 \pmod{186} \\ x & \equiv 386 \pmod{286} \end{cases}$$

Solution. The system can be reduced to

$$\begin{cases} x & \equiv 114 \pmod{186} \\ x & \equiv 100 \pmod{286} \end{cases}$$

Set $x = 114 + 186y = 100 + 286z$, i.e.,

$$186y - 286z = -14.$$

Applying the Division Algorithm,

$$286 = 186 + 100,$$

$$186 = 100 + 86,$$

$$100 = 86 + 14,$$

$$86 = 6 \cdot 14 + 2.$$

Then $\gcd(186, 286) = 2$. Applying the Euclidean Algorithm,

$$\begin{aligned}2 &= 86 - 6 \cdot 14 \\ &= 86 - 6(100 - 86) = 7 \cdot 86 - 6 \cdot 100 \\ &= 7(186 - 100) - 6 \cdot 100 = 7 \cdot 186 - 13 \cdot 100 \\ &= 7 \cdot 186 - 13(286 - 186) = 20 \cdot 186 - 13 \cdot 286.\end{aligned}$$

Note that $\frac{-14}{2} = -7$. So we get a special solution

$$(y_0, z_0) = -7(20, 13) = (-140, -91).$$

Thus $x_0 = 114 + 186y_0 = 100 + 286z_0 = -25926$. Note that $\text{lcm}(186, 286) = 26598$. The general solutions are given by

$$x \equiv -25926 \equiv 672 \pmod{26598}.$$

Theorem 7.2 (Chinese Remainder Theorem). *Let $n_1, n_2, \dots, n_k \in \mathbb{P}$. If $\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1$ for all $i \neq j$, then the system of congruence equations*

$$\begin{aligned}x &\equiv b_1 \pmod{n_1} \\ x &\equiv b_2 \pmod{n_2} \\ &\vdots \\ x &\equiv b_k \pmod{n_k}.\end{aligned}$$

has a unique solution modulo $n_1 n_2 \cdots n_k$.

Thinking Problem. In the Chinese Remainder Theorem, if

$$\gcd(n_i, n_j) = 1,$$

is not satisfied, does the system have solutions? Assuming it has solutions, are the solutions unique modulo some integers?

8 Important Facts

1. $a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff a + kn \equiv b + ln \pmod{n}$ for all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2. If $c \mid a$, $c \mid b$, $c \mid n$, then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff a/c \equiv b/c \pmod{n/c}.$$

3. An integer a is called **invertible** modulo n if there exists an integer b such that

$$ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}.$$

If so, b is called the **inverse** of a modulo n .

4. An integer a is invertible modulo $n \iff \gcd(a, n) = 1$.

5. If $\gcd(c, n) = 1$, then

$$a \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff ca \equiv cb \pmod{n}.$$

6. Equation $ax \equiv b \pmod{n}$ has solution $\iff \gcd(a, n) \mid b$.

7. For all $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$,

$$ax \equiv b \pmod{n} \iff (a + kn)x \equiv b + ln \pmod{n}.$$

9 Final Review

1. Set System,
2. Propositional Logic System
3. Counting
4. Binary Relations
5. Recurrence Relations
6. Graph Theory
7. Elementary Probability
8. Integers and Modulo Integers (Number Theory)