

Congruence of Integers

October 10, 2019

Week 11-12

1 Congruence of Integers

Definition 1. Let n be a positive integer. For integers a and b , if n divides $b - a$, we say that a is **congruent** to b **modulo** n , written $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$.

Every integer is congruent to exactly one of the following integers modulo n :

$$0, 1, 2, \dots, n - 1.$$

Proposition 2 (Equivalence Relation). *Let n be a positive integer. For integers $a, b, c \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have*

- (1) $a \equiv a \pmod{n}$;
- (2) If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$, then $b \equiv a \pmod{n}$.
- (2) If $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $b \equiv c \pmod{n}$, then $a \equiv c \pmod{n}$.

Proof. Trivial. □

Proposition 3. *Let $a \equiv b \pmod{n}$ and $c \equiv d \pmod{n}$. Then*

- (1) $a + c \equiv b + d \pmod{n}$;
- (2) $ac \equiv bd \pmod{n}$;
- (3) $a^k \equiv b^k \pmod{n}$ for any positive integer k .

Proof. Trivial □

Proposition 4. *Let a, b, c be integers, $a \neq 0$, and n be a positive integer.*

- (1) If $a \mid n$, then $ab \equiv ac \pmod{n}$ iff $b \equiv c \pmod{\frac{n}{a}}$.

(2) If $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, then $ab \equiv ac \pmod n$ iff $b \equiv c \pmod n$.

(3) If p is a prime and $p \nmid a$, then $ab \equiv ac \pmod p$ iff $b \equiv c \pmod p$.

Proof. (1) $ab \equiv ac \pmod n \Leftrightarrow ab = ac + kn$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow b = c + k \cdot \frac{n}{a}$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z} \Leftrightarrow b \equiv c \pmod{\frac{n}{a}}$.

(2) If $ab \equiv ac \pmod n$. Then n divides $ab - ac = a(b - c)$ by definition. Since $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, we have $n \mid (b - c)$. Hence $b \equiv c \pmod n$.

(3) In particular, when p is a prime and $p \nmid a$, then $\gcd(a, p) = 1$. \square

2 Congruence Equation

Let n be a positive integer and let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$. The equation

$$ax \equiv b \pmod n \tag{1}$$

is called a **linear congruence equation**. Solving the linear congruence equation (1) is meant to find all integers $x \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $n \mid (ax - b)$.

Proposition 5. *Let $d = \gcd(a, n)$. The linear congruence equation (1) has a solution if and only if $d \mid b$.*

Proof. Assume that (1) has a solution, i.e., there exists an integer k such that $ax - b = kn$. Then $b = ax - kn$ is a multiple of d . So $d \mid b$.

Conversely, if $d \mid b$, we write $b = dc$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$. By the Euclidean Algorithm, there exist $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $d = as + nt$. Multiplying c ($= b/d$) to both sides, we have

$$acs + nct = dc = b.$$

Hence $x = cs = bs/d$ is a solution of (1). \square

Let $x = s_1$ and $x = s_2$ be two solutions of (1). It is clear that $x = s_1 - s_2$ is a solution of the equation

$$ax \equiv 0 \pmod n. \tag{2}$$

So any solution of (1) can be expressed as a particular solution of (1) plus a solution of (2). Note that (2) is equivalent to $\frac{a}{d}x \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}$; since $\gcd(\frac{a}{d}, \frac{n}{d}) = 1$, it is further equivalent to $x \equiv 0 \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}$. Thus all solutions of (2) are given by

$$x = \frac{n}{d}k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Hence all solutions of (1) are given by

$$x = \frac{b}{d}s + \frac{n}{d}k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}, \quad \text{where } d = \gcd(a, n).$$

Corollary 6. *If d is a common factor of a, b, n , then the linear congruence equation (1) is equivalent to*

$$\frac{a}{d}x \equiv \frac{b}{d} \pmod{\frac{n}{d}}. \quad (3)$$

Proof. Given a solution $x = s$ of (1). Then $as = b + kn$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\frac{a}{d}s = \frac{b}{d} + \frac{n}{d}k$. This means that $x = s$ is a solution of (3). Conversely, given a solution $x = s$ of (3), that is, $\frac{a}{d}s = \frac{b}{d} + \frac{n}{d}k$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Multiplying d to both sides, we have $as = b + nk$. This means that $x = s$ is a solution of (1). \square

Example 1. $3x = 6 \pmod{4}$.

Since $\gcd(3, 4) = 1 = 4 - 3$, then all solutions are given by $x = -6 + 4k$, where $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, or

$$x = 2 + 4k, \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}.$$

Example 2.

$$6x \equiv 9 \pmod{15} \Leftrightarrow \frac{6}{3}x \equiv \frac{9}{3} \pmod{\frac{15}{3}} \Leftrightarrow 2x \equiv 3 \pmod{5}.$$

3 The System \mathbb{Z}_n

Let $\mathbb{Z}_n = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ with $n \geq 2$. For $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, we define

$$a \oplus b = s$$

if $a + b \equiv s$ with $s \in \mathbb{Z}_n$, and define

$$a \odot b = t$$

if $ab \equiv t$ with $t \in \mathbb{Z}_n$.

Proposition 7. (1) $a \oplus b = b \oplus a$,

(2) $(a \oplus b) \oplus c = a \oplus (b \oplus c)$,

(3) $a \odot b = b \odot a$,

$$(4) (a \odot b) \odot c = a \odot (b \odot c),$$

$$(5) a \odot (b \oplus c) = (a \odot b) \oplus (a \odot c),$$

$$(6) 0 \oplus a = a,$$

$$(7) 1 \odot a = a.$$

$$(8) 0 \odot a = 0.$$

An element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is said to be **invertible** if there is an element $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ such that $a \odot b = 1$; if so the element b is called an **inverse** of a in \mathbb{Z}_n . If $n \geq 2$, the element $n - 1$ is always invertible and its inverse is itself.

Proposition 8. *Let n be a positive integer. Then an element $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ is invertible iff $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.*

Proof. Necessity: Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}_n$ be an inverse of a . Then $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$, that is, $ab + kn = 1$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $\gcd(a, n)$ divides $ab + kn$, and subsequently divides 1. It then forces $\gcd(a, n) = 1$.

Sufficiency: Since $\gcd(a, n) = 1$, there exist integers $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $1 = as + nt$ by the Euclidean Algorithm. Thus $as \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$. This means that s is an inverse of a . □

4 Fermat's Little Theorem

Theorem 9. *Let p be a prime number. If a is an integer not divisible by p , then*

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

Proof. Consider the numbers $a, 2a, \dots, (p-1)a$ modulo p in $\mathbb{Z}_p = \{0, 1, \dots, p-1\}$. These integers modulo p are distinct, for if $xa \equiv ya \pmod{p}$ for some $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then $x \equiv y \pmod{p}$, so $x = y$, and since $1, 2, \dots, p-1$ are distinct. Thus these integers modulo p are just the list $1, 2, \dots, p-1$. Multiplying these $p-1$ integers together, we have

$$a^{p-1} \cdot (p-1)! \equiv (p-1)! \pmod{p}.$$

Since $(p-1)!$ and p are coprime each other, we thus have

$$a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

□

Proposition 10 (Generalized Fermat's Little Theorem). *Let p, q be distinct prime numbers. If a is an integer such that $p \nmid a$ and $q \nmid a$, then*

$$a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}.$$

Proof. By Fermat's Little Theorem we have $a^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Raising both sides to the $(q-1)$ th power, we have

$$a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}.$$

This means that $p \mid (a^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1)$. Analogously, $q \mid (a^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1)$. Since p and q are coprime each other, we then have $pq \mid (a^{(p-1)(q-1)} - 1)$, namely, $a^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$. \square

5 Roots of Unity Modulo n

Proposition 11. *Let p be a prime. Let k be a positive integer coprime to $p-1$. Then*

- (a) *There exists a positive integer s such that $sk \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$.*
- (b) *For each $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p \nmid b$, the congruence equation*

$$x^k \equiv b \pmod{p}$$

has a unique solution $x = b^s$, where s is as in (a), i.e., the inverse of k modulo $p-1$.

Proof. (a) By the Euclidean Algorithm there exist integers $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sk - t(p-1) = 1$. Hence $sk \equiv 1 \pmod{p-1}$.

(b) Suppose that x is a solution to $x^k \equiv b \pmod{p}$. Since p does not divide b , it does not divide x ; i.e., $\gcd(x, p) = 1$. By Fermat's Little Theorem we have $x^{p-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Then $x^{t(p-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$. Thus

$$x \equiv x^{1+t(p-1)} \equiv x^{sk} \equiv (x^k)^s \equiv b^s \pmod{p}.$$

Indeed, $x = b^s$ is a solution as

$$(b^s)^k \equiv b^{sk} \equiv b^{1+t(p-1)} \equiv b \cdot (b^{p-1})^t \equiv b \pmod{p}.$$

\square

Proposition 12. *Let p, q be distinct primes. Let k be a positive integer coprime to both $p - 1$ and $q - 1$. Then the following statements are valid.*

(a) *There exists a positive integer s such that $sk \equiv 1 \pmod{(p - 1)(q - 1)}$.*

(b) *For each $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $p \nmid b$ and $q \nmid b$, the congruence equation*

$$x^k \equiv b \pmod{pq}$$

has a unique solution $x = b^s$, where s is as in (a).

Proof. (a) It follows from the Euclidean Algorithm. In fact, there exists $s, t \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $sk - t(p - 1)(q - 1) = 1$. Then $sk \equiv 1 \pmod{(p - 1)(q - 1)}$.

(b) Suppose x is a solution for $x^k \equiv b \pmod{pq}$. Since $p \nmid b$ and $q \nmid b$, we have $p \nmid x$ and $q \nmid x$. By the Generalized Fermat's Little Theorem, we have $x^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$. Then $x^{t(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{pq}$. Hence

$$x \equiv x^{1+t(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv x^{sk} \equiv (x^k)^s \equiv b^s \pmod{pq}.$$

Indeed $x = b^s$ is a solution,

$$(b^s)^k \equiv b^{sk} \equiv b^{1+t(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv b \cdot b^{t(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv b \pmod{pq}.$$

□

Proposition 13. *Let p be a prime. If a is an integer such that $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then either $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$.*

Proof. Since $a^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$, then $p \mid (a^2 - 1)$, i.e., $p \mid (a - 1)(a + 1)$. Hence we have either $p \mid (a - 1)$ or $p \mid (a + 1)$. In other words, we have either $a \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ or $a \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$. □

6 RSA Cryptography System

Definition 14. An **RSA public key cryptography system** is a tuple (S, N, e, d, E, D) , where $S = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N - 1\}$, $N = pq$, p and q are distinct primes numbers, e and d are positive integers such that $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p - 1)(q - 1)}$, and $E, D : S \rightarrow S$ are functions defined by $E(x) = x^e \pmod{N}$ and $D(x) = x^d \pmod{N}$. The number e is known as the **encryption number** and d as the **decryption number**, the maps E and D are known as the **encryption map** and the **decryption map**. The pair (N, e) is called the **public key** of the system. RSA stands for three math guys, Ron Rivest, Adi Shamir and Leonard Adleman.

Theorem 15. For any RSA cryptography system (S, N, e, d, E, D) , the maps E and D are inverse each other, i.e., for all $x \in S$,

$$D(E(x)) \equiv x \pmod{N}, \quad E(D(x)) \equiv x \pmod{N}.$$

The two numbers N, e are given in public.

Proof. CASE 1: $x = 0$. It is trivial that $x^{ed} \equiv x \pmod{N}$.

CASE 2: $\gcd(x, N) = 1$. Since $ed \equiv 1 \pmod{(p-1)(q-1)}$, then $ed = 1 + k(p-1)(q-1)$ for some $k \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus

$$x^{ed} = x^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = x(x^{(p-1)(q-1)})^k$$

Since $x^{(p-1)(q-1)} \equiv 1 \pmod{N}$, we have

$$x^{ed} \equiv x \pmod{N}.$$

CASE 3: $\gcd(x, N) \neq 1$. Since $N = pq$, we either have $x = ap$ for some $1 \leq a < q$ or $x = bq$ for some $1 \leq b < p$. In the formal case, we have

$$x^{ed} = (ap)^{1+k(p-1)(q-1)} = ((ap)^{q-1})^k (ap)^{p-1} (ap).$$

Note that $q \nmid ap$, by Fermat's Little Theorem, $(ap)^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Thus $(ap)^{q-1} \equiv 1 \pmod{q}$. Hence $x^{ed} \equiv ap \equiv x \pmod{q}$. Note that $x^{ed} \equiv (ap)^{ed} \equiv 0 \equiv x \pmod{p}$. Therefore $p \mid (x^{ed} - x)$ and $q \mid (x^{ed} - x)$. Since $\gcd(p, q) = 1$, we have $pq \mid (x^{ed} - x)$, i.e., $x^{ed} \equiv x \pmod{N}$. \square

Example 3. Let $p = 3$ and $q = 5$. Then $N = 3 \cdot 5 = 15$, $(p-1)(q-1) = 2 \cdot 4 = 8$. The encryption key e can be selected to be the numbers 1, 3, 5, 7; Their corresponding decryption keys are also 1, 3, 5, 7, respectively.

$(e, d) = (3, 11), (5, 5), (7, 7), (9, 1), (11, 3), (13, 5)$, and $(15, 7)$ are encryption-decryption pairs. For instance, for $(e, d) = (11, 3)$, we have

x	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14
$E(x)$	1	8	12	4	5	6	13	2	9	10	11	3	7	14

In fact, in this special case the inverse of E is itself, i.e., $D = E^{-1} = E$. This example is too special.

Example 4. Let $p = 11$, $q = 13$. Then $N = pq = 143$, $(p-1)(q-1) = 120$. Then there are RSA systems with $(e, d) = (7, 103)$; $(e, d) = (11, 11)$; and $(e, d) = (13, 37)$. For the RSA system with $(e, d) = (13, 37)$, we have

$$E(2) = 2^{13} \equiv 41 \pmod{143}$$

($2^2 = 4$, $2^4 = 16$, $2^8 = 16^2 \equiv 113$, $2^{13} = 2^8 \cdot 2^4 \cdot 2 \equiv 113 \cdot 16 \cdot 2 \equiv 41$); and

$$D(41) = 41^{37} \equiv 2 \pmod{143}$$

($41^2 \equiv 108$, $41^4 \equiv 108^2 \equiv 81$, $41^8 \equiv 81^2 \equiv -17$, $41^{16} \equiv 17^2 \equiv 3$, $41^{32} \equiv 9$, $41^{37} = 41^{32} \cdot 41^4 \cdot 41 \equiv 2$). Note that $E(41) \equiv 41^8 \cdot 41^4 \cdot 41 \equiv 28$, we see that $E \neq D$.

Example 5. Let $p = 19$ and $q = 17$. Then $N = 19 \cdot 17 = 323$, $(p-1)(q-1) = 18 \cdot 16 = 288$. Given encryption number $e = 25$; find a decryption number d . ($d = 265$)

Given (N, e) ; we shall know the two prime numbers p, q in principle since $N = pq$. However, assuming that we cannot factor integers effectively, actually we don't know the numbers p, q . To break the system, the only possible way is to find the number $(p-1)(q-1)$, then use e to find d . Suppose $(p-1)(q-1) = pq - p - q + 1 = N - (p+q) + 1$ is known. Then $p+q$ is known. Thus p, q can be found by solving the quadratic equation $x^2 - (p+q)x + N = 0$, as p, q are its two roots. This is equivalent to factorizing the number N .

Example 6. Given $N = pq = 18779$ and $(p-1)(q-1) = 18480$. Then

$$p + q = N - (p-1)(q-1) + 1 = 300.$$

The equation $x^2 - 300x + 18779 = 0$ implies $p = 89, q = 211$.

Note that $(p-1)(q-1) = 88 \cdot 210 = 2^4 \cdot 3 \cdot 5 \cdot 7 \cdot 11$. One can choose $e = 13, 17, 19, 23, 29$, etc. Say $e = 29$, then d can be found as follows: $18480 = 637 \cdot 29 + 7$, $29 = 4 \cdot 7 + 1$;

$$1 = 29 - 4 \cdot 7 = 29 - 4(18480 - 637 \cdot 29) = -4 \cdot 18480 + 2549 \cdot 29.$$

Thus $d = 2549$.