

# Introduction to Analysis

October 25, 2013

## Week 7-8

### 1 Upper and Lower Bounds

**Definition 1.** Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ , i.e.,  $S$  is a set consisting of some real numbers and  $S \neq \emptyset$ . A real number  $u$  is called an **upper bound** for  $S$  if

$$s \leq u \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

Likewise, a real number  $l$  is called a **lower bound** for  $S$  if

$$s \geq l \quad \text{for all } s \in S.$$

**Example 1.** (a) The set  $S = \{\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\} = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{3}, \dots\}$  has upper bound 1. Of course, 2, 3 are also upper bounds.

(b) The set of even integers has no upper bound.

(c)  $S = \{-\frac{1}{n} \mid n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  has upper bounds.

(d)  $S = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{Q}, x^2 < 3\}$  is the set of all rational numbers whose square is less than 3. Then  $\sqrt{3}$  is an upper bound for  $S$ , and  $-\sqrt{3}$  is a lower bound for  $S$ .

**Definition 2.** Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  and be bounded above. A real number  $c$  is called a **least upper bound** (short for LUB or **supremum**) for  $S$  if the following two conditions hold:

(i)  $c$  is an upper bound for  $S$ .

(ii) If  $u$  is any upper bound for  $S$  then  $c \leq u$ .

Similarly, let  $S$  be nonempty and be bounded below. A real number  $d$  is called a **greatest lower bound** (short for GLB or **infimum**) for  $S$  if

(i)  $d$  is a lower bound for  $S$ .

(ii) If  $l$  is any lower bound for  $S$  then  $l \leq d$ .

It is clear that the supremum (least upper bound) and the infimum (greatest lower bound) are unique if they exist for a subset of some real numbers.

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of  $\mathbb{R}$ . If  $S$  has an upper bound, then it has a least upper bound (supremum). Similarly, if  $S$  has a lower bound, then it has a greatest lower bound (infimum).*

*Proof.* Since the uniqueness is clear, we only need to show the existence. Note that  $S$  is bounded above. For each member  $s \in S$ , consider its decimal expression

$$s = s_0.s_1s_2s_3 \cdots$$

Let  $S_0$  be the set of integer parts of all numbers in  $S$ , that is,

$$S_0 := \{s_0 \mid s = s_0.s_1s_2s_3 \cdots \in S\}.$$

Clearly,  $S$  is nonempty and bounded above (any upper bound for  $S$  is an upper bound for  $S_0$ ). Then  $S_0$  has its largest integer  $d_0$ . Let  $S_1$  be the set of the 1st decimal parts of those numbers in  $S$  having integer part  $d_0$ , that is,

$$S_1 := \{s_1 \mid s = d_0.s_1s_2s_3 \cdots \in S\}.$$

Clearly,  $S_1$  is nonempty (because  $d_0$  is the integer part of a number in  $S$ ) and bounded above. Then  $S_1$  has the largest digit  $d_1$ . We define

$$S_2 = \{s_2 \mid s = d_0.d_1s_2s_3 \cdots \in S\}.$$

Then  $S_2$  has the largest digit  $d_2$ . Continue this procedure; we obtain a real number

$$d = d_0.d_1d_2d_3 \cdots$$

We claim that  $d$  is the supremum (least upper bound) for  $S$ .

First, we show that  $d$  is an upper bound. Let  $s \in S$  be any member with decimal express

$$s = s_0.s_1s_2s_3 \cdots$$

If  $s \neq d$ , let  $k$  be the first decimal place where  $s$  and  $d$  disagree. Then

$$s = d_0.d_1d_2 \cdots d_{k-1}s_k s_{k+1} \cdots, \quad s_k \neq d_k \quad (\text{possibly } k = 0).$$

By our choice of  $d_k$ , we must have  $s_k < d_k$ , since

$$S_k := \{s_k \mid s = d_0.d_1d_2 \cdots d_{k-1}s_k s_{k+1} \cdots \in S\}, \quad d_k = \max(S).$$

This means that  $s < d$ . Hence  $d$  is an upper bound for  $S$ .

Now let  $u$  be an upper bound for  $S$  with decimal expression

$$u = u_0.u_1u_2u_3 \cdots$$

We need to show that  $d \leq u$ . If  $d \neq u$ , let  $j$  be the first decimal place where  $d$  and  $u$  disagree. Then

$$u = d_0.d_1d_2 \cdots d_{j-1}u_j u_{j+1} \cdots, \quad u_j \neq d_j.$$

By the choice of  $d_j$ , there is a member  $s \in S$  with decimal expression

$$s = d_0.d_1d_2 \cdots d_{j-1}d_j s_{j+1} \cdots$$

Since  $u$  is an upper bound for  $S$ , we have  $s \leq u$ . Thus  $d_j \leq u_j$ . Since  $d_j \neq u_j$ , we must have  $d_j < u_j$ . Hence  $d < u$ . By definition of least upper bound, the real number  $d$  is the least upper bound for  $S$ . We finish the proof of the first part.

For the second part of the theorem, let  $S$  be a nonempty subset of real numbers and is bounded below, that is, there is a real number  $l$  such that  $s \geq l$  for all  $s \in S$ . Then  $-s \leq -l$  for all  $s \in S$ . So the set

$$-S := \{-s \mid s \in S\}$$

is nonempty and bounded above. Thus  $-S$  has a supremum (least upper bound)  $d$ . We shall see that  $-d$  is the infimum (greatest lower bound) for  $S$ . In fact, since  $-s \leq d$  for all  $s \in S$ , then  $s \geq -d$ ; so  $-d$  is a lower bound for  $S$ . For any lower bound  $m$  of  $S$ , i.e.,  $s \geq m$  for all  $s \in S$ ; so  $-s \leq -m$  for all  $s \in S$ . Thus  $-m$  is an upper bound for  $-S$ . Since  $d$  is a supremum (least upper bound) for  $-S$ , then  $d \leq -m$ . Hence  $-d \geq m$ . This means that  $-d$  is an infimum (greatest lower bound) for  $S$ .  $\square$

**Example 2.**  $e = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$ .

We shall see below that the sequence  $a_n = \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n$  is increasing and bounded above. So the set  $S = \left\{ \left(1 + \frac{1}{n}\right)^n \mid n = 1, 2, \dots \right\}$  has supremum, denoted  $e$ . In fact, applying Binomial Theorem, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= 1 + \frac{n}{1!} \cdot \frac{1}{n} + \frac{n(n-1)}{2!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^2 + \dots + \frac{n(n-1) \cdots 2 \cdot 1}{n!} \left(\frac{1}{n}\right)^n \\ &= 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ &< 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) \\ &< 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n+1}\right) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{(n+1)!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n+1}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n}{n+1}\right) = a_{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\begin{aligned} a_n &= 1 + 1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \left(1 - \frac{1}{n}\right) \cdots \left(1 - \frac{n-1}{n}\right) \\ &< 2 + \frac{1}{2!} + \frac{1}{3!} + \dots + \frac{1}{n!} \quad (\text{notice } 2^{n-1} \leq n! \text{ for } n \geq 2) \\ &\leq 2 + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} = 1 + \frac{1 - (1/2)^n}{1 - 1/2} < 3. \end{aligned}$$

## 2 Existence of $n$ th Roots

**Example 3.** There exists a real number  $c$  such that  $c^3 = 2$ .

*Proof.* The key idea is to define the following set of real numbers

$$S = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}, x^3 < 2\}.$$

It is clear that  $S$  is nonempty as 0 and 1 are contained in  $S$ . The set  $S$  also has an upper bound. For instance, 4 is an upper bound for  $S$ . (Otherwise, if  $x \in S$  and  $x > 4$ , then  $x^3 > 4^3 = 64$ , a contradiction.) Therefore, by Theorem 3,  $S$  has a least upper bound; say,  $c = \text{LUB}(S)$ . Then  $c \geq 1$ . We claim that  $c^3 = 2$ . We show the claim by contradiction.

Suppose  $c^3 \neq 2$ . Then we either have  $c^3 < 2$  or  $c^3 > 2$ . We shall obtain a contradiction for each of the two cases.

CASE 1. Assume  $c^3 < 2$ . Our strategy is to find a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $(c + \alpha)^3 < 2$ . If so we have  $c + \alpha \in S$ . This contradicts to that  $c$  is an upper bound for  $S$ . To find such an  $\alpha$ , consider the following argument

$$\begin{aligned} (c + \alpha)^3 < 2 &\Leftrightarrow c^3 + 3c^2\alpha + 3c\alpha^2 + \alpha^3 < 2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 3c^2\alpha + 3c\alpha^2 + \alpha^3 < 2 - c^3 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 3c^2\alpha + 3c\alpha + \alpha < 2 - c^3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \alpha(3c^2 + 3c + 1) < 2 - c^3 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha < 1. \end{aligned}$$

[Since  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $\alpha^2 < \alpha$  and  $\alpha^3 < \alpha$ .] Since  $2 - c^3 > 0$ , we may choose  $\alpha$  such that

$$0 < \alpha < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha < \frac{2 - c^3}{3c^2 + 3c + 1}.$$

With such chosen  $\alpha$  we have  $(c + \alpha)^3 < 2$ , which leads to a contradiction as explained above.

CASE 2. Assume  $c^3 > 2$ . The strategy is to find a real number  $\beta > 0$  such that  $(c - \beta)^3 > 2$ . If so then  $x^3 < 2 < (c - \beta)^3$  for all  $x \in S$ . Subsequently,  $x < c - \beta$  for all  $x \in S$ . This means that  $c - \beta$  is an upper bound for  $S$ , contradicting to that  $c$  is the least upper bound. To find such  $\beta$ , consider the following argument

$$\begin{aligned} (c - \beta)^3 > 2 &\Leftrightarrow c^3 - 3c^2\beta + 3c\beta^2 - \beta^3 > 2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 3c^2\beta - 3c\beta^2 + \beta^3 < c^3 - 2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 3c^2\beta + 3c\beta + \beta < c^3 - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \beta < 1 \\ &\Leftrightarrow \beta(3c^2 + 3c + 1) < c^3 - 2 \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \beta < 1. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $c^3 - 2 > 0$ , we may choose  $\beta$  such that

$$0 < \beta < 1 \quad \text{and} \quad \beta < \frac{c^3 - 2}{3c^2 + 3c + 1}.$$

With such chosen  $\alpha$  we have  $(c - \beta)^3 < 2$ , which leads to a contradiction as explained above.  $\square$

**Lemma 4.** *If  $0 < q < \frac{1}{2}$ , then for all integers  $n \geq 1$*

$$(a) \quad (1 - q)^n \geq 1 - nq;$$

$$(b) \quad (1 + q)^n \leq 1 + 2^n q.$$

*Proof.* (a) We have seen by induction on  $n$  that  $(1 + p)^n \geq 1 + np$  when  $p \geq -1$ . The inequality follows immediately since  $-q \geq -\frac{1}{2} > -1$ .

(b) We prove the inequality by induction on  $n$ . For  $n = 1$  it is true as we trivially have the inequality  $1 + q \leq 1 + 2q$ . Suppose it is true for the case  $n$ . Consider the case  $n + 1$ ; we have

$$\begin{aligned} (1 + q)^{n+1} &\leq (1 + 2^n q)(1 + q) = 1 + 2^n q + q + 2^n q^2 \\ &\leq 1 + 2^n q + 2^n q = 1 + 2^{n+1} q. \end{aligned}$$

$\square$

**Lemma 5.** Let  $y > 0$  and  $0 < \alpha < \frac{y}{2}$ . Then for all integers  $n \geq 1$ ,

$$(a) (y - \alpha)^n \geq y^n - ny^{n-1}\alpha;$$

$$(b) (y + \alpha)^n \leq y^n + 2^ny^{n-1}\alpha.$$

*Proof.* (a) Since  $\frac{\alpha}{y} < \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows from Lemma 4(a) that

$$(y - \alpha)^n = y^n \left(1 - \frac{\alpha}{y}\right)^n \geq y^n \left(1 - \frac{n\alpha}{y}\right) = y^n - ny^{n-1}\alpha.$$

(b) Since  $\frac{\alpha}{y} < \frac{1}{2}$ , it follows from Lemma 4(b) that

$$(y + \alpha)^n = y^n \left(1 + \frac{\alpha}{y}\right)^n \leq y^n \left(1 + 2^n \frac{\alpha}{y}\right) = y^n + 2^ny^{n-1}\alpha.$$

□

**Proposition 6.** Let  $n$  be an integer such that  $n \geq 2$ . If  $b$  is a positive real number, then there exists exactly one positive real number  $c$  such that

$$c^n = b.$$

That is, the equation  $x^n = b$  has a unique positive real solution.

*Proof.* Consider the set  $S = \{s \in \mathbb{R} \mid s^n < b\}$ . It is clear that  $S$  is nonempty because  $0 \in S$  and  $S$  contains a positive real number. In fact, if  $b < 1$ , then  $b^n < b$ ; thus  $b \in S$ . If  $b \geq 1$ , then  $\frac{b}{b+1} < 1$ ; thus  $(\frac{b}{b+1})^n < \frac{b}{b+1} < b$ ; so  $\frac{b}{b+1} \in S$ .

The set  $S$  is bounded above. In fact, if  $b \geq 1$ , then  $b^n \geq x$ ; thus  $s^n < b \leq b^n$  for all  $s \in S$ ; so  $s < b$  for all  $s \in S$ , i.e.,  $b$  is an upper bound for  $S$ . If  $b < 1$ , then  $s^n < b < 1^n$  for all  $s \in S$ ; thus  $s < 1$  for all  $s \in S$ , i.e.,  $1$  is an upper bound for  $S$ .

Now by Theorem 3, the set  $S$  has a least upper bound. Let  $c = \text{LUB}(S)$ . Since  $S$  contains positive real numbers, it follows that  $c > 0$ , for  $y$  is an upper bound of  $S$ . We claim that  $c^n = b$ . Suppose  $c^n \neq b$ , then either  $c^n < b$  or  $c^n > b$ .

CASE 1:  $c^n < b$ .

We shall see that there exists a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $(c + \alpha)^n < b$ . If so, we have  $c + \alpha \in S$ . Since  $c$  is an upper bound for  $S$ , then  $c + \alpha \leq c$ , a contradiction. To find such  $\alpha$ , notice the following argument by Lemma 5(b):

$$\begin{aligned} (c + \alpha)^n < b &\Leftrightarrow c^n + 2^nc^{n-1}\alpha < b \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha < c/2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow 2^nc^{n-1}\alpha < b - c^n \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha < c/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $b - c^n > 0$ , we may choose  $\alpha$  such that

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{c}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha < \frac{b - c^n}{2^nc^{n-1}}.$$

CASE 2:  $c^n > b$ .

We shall find a real number  $\alpha > 0$  such that  $(c - \alpha)^n > b$ . Then  $s^n < b < (c - \alpha)^n$  for all  $s \in S$ . It follows that  $s < c - \alpha$  for all  $s \in S$ . This means that  $c - \alpha$  is an upper bound of  $S$ , a contradiction. To find such  $\alpha$ , notice the following argument by Lemma 5(a):

$$\begin{aligned} (c - \alpha)^n > b &\Leftrightarrow c^n - nc^{n-1}\alpha > b \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha < c/2 \\ &\Leftrightarrow nc^{n-1}\alpha < c^n - b \quad \text{and} \quad 0 < \alpha < c/2. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $y^n - x > 0$ , we may choose  $\alpha$  such that

$$0 < \alpha < \frac{c}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \alpha < \frac{c^n - x}{nc^{n-1}}.$$

□

**Exercise 1.** Use GLB to show that for any two real numbers  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ , the addition  $a + b$ , multiplication  $ab$ , and  $\frac{1}{b}$  (if  $b \neq 0$ ) exist. (Hint: Write  $a, b$  in decimal expressions, say,  $a = a_0.a_1a_2 \cdots$  and  $b = b_0.b_1b_2 \cdots$ . For the addition, one may consider the set

$$S = \left\{ a_0 + b_0 + \frac{a_1 + b_1}{10} + \cdots + \frac{a_k + b_k}{10^k} \mid k = 1, 2, \dots \right\}.$$