

MATH4822E FOURIER ANALYSIS AND ITS APPLICATIONS

10. FOURIER INTEGRALS

10.1. Introduction: Extending to infinite period. In this section we shall study Fourier integrals as a limiting case of the Fourier series.

We first assume that the function $f(x)$ is defined on the x -axis and is piecewise continuous on $[-l, l]$, for each l . Suppose

$$f(x) = \frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos\left(\frac{\pi k x}{l}\right) + b_k \sin\left(\frac{\pi k x}{l}\right),$$

where

$$(10.1) \quad a_k = \frac{1}{l} \int_{-l}^l f(u) \cos\left(\frac{\pi k u}{l}\right) du, \quad b_k = \frac{1}{l} \int_{-l}^l f(u) \sin\left(\frac{\pi k u}{l}\right) du,$$

for $k = 0, 1, 2, \dots$ and $b_0 = 0$. We remark that the above Fourier series equals to the value

$$\frac{f(x+0) + f(x-0)}{2}$$

if f has a discontinuity point at x . We now assume, in addition, that f is absolutely integrable on the whole x -axis, that is, the integral

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} |f(x)| dx$$

exists.

We now substitute the expression of a_k and b_k into the Fourier series above and let l tends to infinity:

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} f(x) = \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{a_0}{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k \cos\left(\frac{\pi k x}{l}\right) + b_k \sin\left(\frac{\pi k x}{l}\right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{1}{2l} \int_{-l}^l f(u) du + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} \left(\int_{-l}^l f(u) \cos\left(\frac{\pi k u}{l}\right) \cos\left(\frac{\pi k x}{l}\right) du \right. \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left. + \int_{-l}^l f(u) \sin\left(\frac{\pi k u}{l}\right) \sin\left(\frac{\pi k x}{l}\right) du \right) \right] \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \left[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{l} \int_{-l}^l f(u) \cos\left(\frac{\pi k (u-x)}{l}\right) du \right] \\ &= \lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{\pi} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \Delta \lambda_k \int_{-l}^l f(u) \cos[\lambda_k (u-x)] du, \\ &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^{\infty} d\lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f(u) \cos(\lambda(u-x)) du. \end{aligned}$$

where we have set

$$\lambda_k = \frac{k\pi}{l}, \quad \Delta\lambda_k = \lambda_{k+1} - \lambda_k, \quad k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

Although the above reasoning needs further justification, it does indicate what is possible. We further notice that the following possibility

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) &= \frac{1}{\pi} \int_0^\infty d\lambda \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(u) \cos \lambda(u-x) du \\ &= \int_0^\infty d\lambda (a(\lambda) \cos \lambda x + b(\lambda) \sin \lambda x), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$a(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(u) \cos \lambda u \, du, \quad b(\lambda) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{-\infty}^\infty f(u) \sin \lambda u \, du$$

which is known as a prototype of *Fourier Integral Theorem*. We shall later justify that it actually holds for absolutely integrable function f on $(-\infty, +\infty)$.

We call the improper integral

$$(10.2) \quad F(\lambda) = \int_0^\infty f(u) \cos \lambda(u-x) \, du$$

the *Fourier cosine transform* of f .

10.2. Preparation for the Fourier cosine integral theorem.

Definition. Let $F(x, \lambda)$ be a function of two variables, and suppose that the integral

$$(10.3) \quad \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) \, dx$$

exists for every λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$. Then we say that the above integral is *uniformly convergent* for λ in $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$ if for every $\epsilon > 0$, there is L such that

$$\left| \int_l^\infty F(x, \lambda) \, dx \right| \leq \epsilon,$$

whenever $l \geq L$ and for all λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$.

Lemma 10.1. *Let x_k be a sequence such that*

$$(10.4) \quad a = x_0 < x_1 < x_2 < \cdots < x_n < \dots$$

and that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_k = \infty.$$

Then a necessary and sufficient condition for the integral (10.3) to be uniformly convergent over $[\alpha, \beta]$ is that the series

$$\int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx$$

is uniformly convergent on λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$, as a function of λ , and for every sequence x_k defined by (10.4) above.

Proof. We first suppose that the integral (10.3) is uniformly convergent. That is, given any $\epsilon > 0$, there is L such that

$$\left| \int_l^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx \right| \leq \epsilon$$

whenever $l \geq L$, for λ in $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$. Since $x_k \rightarrow \infty$, we can find a $N > 0$ such that $x_k > L$ when $k > N$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx \right| &= \left| \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx - \int_a^{x_n} F(x, \lambda) dx \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{x_n}^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx \right| \leq \epsilon, \end{aligned}$$

for $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$. Hence the series $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx$ is uniformly convergent over $[\alpha, \beta]$.

Let us now suppose that this series is uniformly convergent over $[\alpha, \beta]$ and for any sequence $\{x_k\}$ in (10.4). We suppose on the contrary that the integral (10.3) is not uniformly convergent, that is, one can find an $\epsilon > 0$, and an infinite sequence $\{y_k\}$, $y_k \rightarrow \infty$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, such that

$$\left| \int_{y_k}^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx \right| \geq \epsilon$$

for all k . But this implies that when we choose $x_k = y_k$, $k = 1, 2, \dots$,

$$\left| \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx \right| = \left| \int_{x_n}^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx \right| \geq \epsilon$$

for each n , contradicting the uniform convergence of $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx$. □

Lemma 10.2. *We suppose $F(x, \lambda)$ is regarded as a continuous function with respect to both of its variables and if the integral*

$$(10.5) \quad \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx$$

is uniformly convergent with respect to λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$, then the integral (10.5) defines a continuous function with respect to λ . In addition, we have

$$\int_\alpha^\beta d\lambda \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx = \int_a^\infty dx \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, \lambda) d\lambda.$$

Proof. Since the integral (10.5) converges uniformly with respect to λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$, the last Lemma asserts that for any sequence $\{x_k\}$, $x_k \nearrow \infty$, the series

$$(10.6) \quad \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx$$

of continuous functions of λ , converges uniformly with respect to λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$. Hence the infinite sum is a continuous function of λ ($\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$). Writing

$$F_k(\lambda) = \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \int_\alpha^\beta d\lambda \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx &= \int_\alpha^\beta d\lambda \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx \\ &= \int_\alpha^\beta d\lambda \sum_{k=0}^\infty F_k(\lambda) \\ &\stackrel{1}{=} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_\alpha^\beta F_k(\lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_\alpha^\beta d\lambda \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx \\ &\stackrel{2}{=} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} dx \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, \lambda) d\lambda \\ &= \int_a^\infty dx \int_\alpha^\beta F(x, \lambda) d\lambda, \end{aligned}$$

where the ¹ holds because the (10.6) says the convergence is uniform. Moreover, the ² holds because the finite integral of continuous function. This completes the proof. \square

Remark. Note that we can allow f to be piecewise continuous with respect to x .

Theorem 10.3. *Suppose that $F(x, \lambda)$ is continuous function of two variables, and that $\frac{\partial F}{\partial \lambda}$ is continuous. If both the integrals*

$$\int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx, \quad \int_a^\infty \frac{\partial F(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} dx$$

exist and that the second integral is uniformly convergent for $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$, then we have

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda} \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx = \int_a^\infty \frac{\partial F(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} dx, \quad \alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta.$$

Proof. Since the second integral in the statement of the Theorem is uniformly convergent, so the sum

$$\int_a^\infty \frac{\partial F(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} dx = \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \frac{\partial F(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} dx$$

is uniformly convergent as a function of λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$. Theorem 2.10 (iii) shows that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx &= \frac{d}{d\lambda} \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \frac{d}{d\lambda} \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} F(x, \lambda) dx \\ &= \sum_{k=0}^\infty \int_{x_k}^{x_{k+1}} \frac{\partial F(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} dx \\ &= \int_a^\infty \frac{\partial F(x, \lambda)}{\partial \lambda} dx. \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 10.4. Suppose for λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$,

$$|F(x, \lambda)| \leq f(x)$$

where F is continuous with respect to both variables, and that

$$\int_a^\infty |f(x)| dx < \infty.$$

Then

$$\int_a^\infty F(x, \lambda) dx$$

is uniformly convergent for λ , $\alpha \leq \lambda \leq \beta$.

Proof. The uniform convergence of the integral follows easily from the Weierstrass M-test. □

We now extend the usual Riemann-Lebesgue lemma.

Lemma 10.5. If $f(a)$ is absolutely integrable on $[a, \infty)$, then

$$\lim_{l \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^\infty f(u) \sin lu du = 0.$$

Proof. Since f is absolutely integrable on $[a, \infty)$, so given $\epsilon > 0$, there is $b > 0$, $b > a$, such that

$$\left| \int_b^\infty f(u) \sin lu \, du \right| \leq \int_b^\infty |f(u)| \, du \leq \frac{\epsilon}{2}.$$

But the usual Riemann-Lebesgue Lemma implies that

$$\left| \int_a^b f(b)f(u) \sin lu \, du \right| < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

when l is chosen to be sufficiently large. Combining the above considerations gives the desired result. \square

Remark. The above result obviously works for “ $\cos lu$ ”, as well as for the integration in the range $\int_{-\infty}^a$ or $\int_{-\infty}^\infty$.

To be continued ...