## Chapter 7 Picard's theorem

## 7.1 Monodromy

The terminologies below are used to handle multi-valued functions.

An analytic function f defined on a region  $\Omega$  that constitute  $(f, \Omega)$ is called a **function element**. A **global analytic function** is a collection of function elements  $(f, \Omega)$ . Two function elements  $(f_1, \Omega_1)$ ,  $(f_2, \Omega_2)$  are **direct analytic continuations** of each other if  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \neq$  $\emptyset$  and  $f_1(z) = f_2(z)$  over  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2$ . There need not be any direct analytic continuation of  $f_1$  from  $\Omega_1$  to  $\Omega_2$ . But the continuation must be unique if there is such a continuation (by the identity theorem since  $\Omega_1 \cap \Omega_2 \neq \emptyset$ ).

Suppose the chain  $(f_1, \Omega_1), (f_2, \Omega_2), \dots (f_n, \Omega_n)$  are analytic continuations of each other so that  $\Omega_{k-1} \cap \Omega_k \neq \emptyset$  for each k. Then we say  $(f_n, \Omega_n)$  is **an analytic continuation** of  $(f_1, \Omega_1)$ . This is an equivalence relation. The equivalence classes are called **global analytic functions**. We label the global analytic determined by the function element by **f**. However, a global analytic function **f** can have many function element  $(f, \Omega)$  on  $\Omega$ . In fact, we call each function element  $(f, \Omega)$  **a branch** of **f**.

We now replace a region  $\Omega$  by a single point  $\zeta$ , and we say that two function elements  $(f_1, \zeta_1)$  and  $(f_2, \zeta_2)$  are **equivalent** if and only if  $\zeta_1 = \zeta_2$  and  $f_1 = f_2$  in a neighbourhood of  $\zeta_1$  (=  $\zeta_2$ ). This is again an equivalence relation. In this case, the equivalence classes are called **germs** or **germs of analytic functions**. Each germ determines a unique  $\zeta$ , called the **projection** of the germ, which we denote by  $\mathbf{f}_{\zeta}$ . Thus a function element  $(f, \Omega)$  gives raise to a germ  $\mathbf{f}_{\zeta}$  for each  $z \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 7.1.1** (Monodromy theorem). If the two arcs  $\gamma_1$ ,  $\gamma_2$  are homotopic in a region  $\Omega$ , and if a given germ  $\mathbf{f}$  at the initial point can be continued along all arcs in  $\Omega$ , then the continuations of this germ along  $\gamma_1$  and  $\gamma_2$  lead to the same germ at the end point.

We refer the reader to Ahlfors for its proof.

## 7.2 Picard's theorem

A value  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  is called a **lacunary value** of an analytic function f if  $f(z) \neq a$  for all z in the region  $\Omega$  where f is defined. The exponential function  $e^z$  has z = 0 as the only lacunary value.

**Theorem 7.2.1** (Picard (1879)). An entire function that has at least two finite lacunary values reduces to a constant.

*Proof.* WLOG, we may assume that f has two lacunary values a, b and that a = 0 and b = 1. For we could consider the function

$$F(z) = \frac{f(z) - a}{b - a},$$

otherwise. The main idea is to construct a global analytic function  $\mathbf{h}$  such that its function elements  $(h, \Omega)$  satisfies

$$\Im(h(z)) > 0, \quad \lambda(h(z)) = f(z), \quad z \in \Omega,$$

where  $\lambda(z)$  is the elliptic modular function constructed in the last chapter. Then we want to show that **h** can be continued to along all paths. Since the  $\mathbb{C}$  is simply connected, so the monodromy theorem asserts that **h** defines an entire function.

## CHAPTER 7. PICARD'S THEOREM

Theorem 6.3.1 asserts that there is a  $\tau_0 \in \Omega$  such that

 $\lambda(\tau_0) = f(0).$ 

Since the  $\lambda(\tau)$  is conformal, so  $\lambda'(\tau_0) \neq 0$ . Therefore we can find a local inverse  $\lambda_0^{-1}$  of  $\lambda$  over a neighbourhood  $\Delta_0$  of f(0) where

$$\lambda(\lambda_0^{-1}(w)) = w, \quad w \in \Delta_0$$

and

$$\lambda_0^{-1}(f(0)) = \tau_0$$

By continuity there is a neighbourhood  $\Omega_0$  of z = 0 where  $f(z) \in \Delta_0$ . Hence we can define

$$h(z) = \lambda_0^{-1}(f(z)), \quad z \in \Omega_0.$$

Hence we have a function element  $(h, \Omega_0)$ . We next show that the germ **h** that the function element determines can be continued in all possible ways to become an entire function and that the continuation of  $(h, \Omega_0)$  has

$$\Im(h(z)) > 0$$

throughout the continuation. If this continuation is not possible, then we can find a path  $\gamma[0, t_1]$  such that h can be continued and  $\Im(h)$ remains positive up the  $t < t_0$ , where

- either the h cannot be continued to  $t_1$  (which is not possible),
- or

$$\Im(h(z)) \to 0, \quad t \to t_1.$$

Let us take a closer look at  $t = t_1$ . Then there is a  $\tau_1 \in \mathbb{H}$  and  $\lambda(\tau_1) = f(\gamma(t_1))$ . There is a local inverse  $\lambda_1^{-1}$  over a neighbourhood  $\Delta_1$  of  $f(\gamma(t_1))$  such that

$$\lambda_1^{-1}(f(\gamma(t_1)) = \tau_1.$$

Let  $\Omega_1$  be a neighbourhood of  $\gamma(t_1)$  (in the z-plane) so that  $f(z) \in \Delta_1$ when  $z \in \Omega_1$ .

Let  $t_2 < t_1$  be so chosen that  $\gamma(t_2) \in \Omega_1$  for  $t \in [t_2, t_1]$ . But  $\lambda(\tau_2) = f(\gamma(t_2))$  can simultaneously be computed by

•

• and

 $\tau = \lambda_1^{-1} f(\gamma(t_2))$ 

 $\tau = h(\gamma(t_2))$ 

indicating that the  $\tau$  is "pull-back" from two different branches of **h**. So Theorem 6.3.2 asserts that there is a elliptic modular transformation S that belongs to congruence subgroup mod 2 such that

$$S[\lambda_1^{-1}f(\gamma(t_2))] = h(\gamma(t_2)).$$

Thus we could define a continuation function element  $(h_1, \Omega_1)$  by

$$h_1(z) = S[\lambda_1^{-1} f(z))], \quad z \in \Omega_1$$

so that  $h_1$  and hence **h** can be continued to  $\gamma(t_1)$  with

$$\lambda(h_1(z)) = f(z), \quad \Im(h_1)(z) > 0.$$

As we have anticipated that we have constructed a global analytic function  ${\bf h}$  so that

$$\lambda(h(z)) = f(z)$$

for all function element  $(h, \Omega)$ . Now consider the function

$$e^{ih(z)}$$
.

which is an entire function with  $|e^{ih}| \leq 1$  bounded since  $\Im(h) > 0$ . Liouville's theorem implies that the h in

$$\lambda(h(z)) = f(z)$$

is a constant. Hence f must also reduce to a constant.