## Chapter 4. The Multivariate Normal Distribution.

## 4.1. Some properties about univariate normal distribution-a review.

Suppose  $X \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , a univariate normal distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . (i). Density:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}}, \qquad x \in (-\infty, \infty).$$

The density function is a bell-shaped curve, symmetric around the center  $\mu$ , with only one peak at  $\mu$ .

(ii). Linear transformation: Let Y = aX + b Then,  $Y \sim N(a\mu + b, a^2\sigma^2)$ .

(iii). Linear combination of independent normal random variables is still normal. Suppose  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_i^2)$ , i = 1, ..., K are independent. Then,  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} a_i X_i$  is still a normal random variable with mean  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} a_i \mu_i$  and variance  $\sum_{i=1}^{K} a_i^2 \sigma_i^2$ .

(iv). Normal related distributions: t, F and  $\chi^2$ : Suppose  $\xi_i$  are iid ~ N(0, 1). Then, t, F and  $\chi^2$  distributions can be *defined* as follows

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2 \sim \chi_n^2, \qquad \frac{\xi_1}{\sqrt{[1/(n-1)]\sum_{j=2}^{n} \xi_j^2}} \sim t_{n-1}, \qquad \frac{(1/n)\sum_{i=1}^{n} \xi_i^2}{(1/m)\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} \xi_i^2} \sim F_{n,m}.$$

As a result, if  $\xi_i$  are iid  $\sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$ ,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_i - \bar{\xi}_n)^2 / \sigma^2 \sim \chi_{n-1}^2, \qquad \frac{\bar{\xi}_n}{\sqrt{[1/(n-1)]\sum_{j=1}^{n} (\xi_j - \bar{\xi}_n)^2}} \sim t_{n-1},$$
  
and 
$$\frac{[1/(n-1)]\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_i - \bar{\xi}_n)^2}{[1/(m-1)]\sum_{i=n+1}^{n+m} [\xi_i - (1/m)\sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \xi_k]^2} \sim F_{n-1,m-1}.$$

The proof uses a matrix transformation of the vector of  $\xi_i$ . (DIY).

(v). The central limit theorem: Suppose  $\xi_i$ , i = 1, 2, ... are iid random variables with common mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$ . Then,

$$\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\xi_i - \mu)}{\sqrt{n\sigma}} \to N(0, 1), \quad \text{in distribution,}$$
  
*i.e.*,  $\frac{\bar{\xi}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \to N(0, 1), \quad \text{in distribution.}$ 

where  $\bar{\xi}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n \xi_i$ . Moreover,

$$\frac{\sqrt{n}(\bar{\xi}_n - \mu)}{\sqrt{1/(n-1)\sum_{j=1}^n (\xi_j - \bar{\xi}_n)^2}} \to N(0, 1), \quad \text{in distribution.}$$

## 4.2. Multivariate normal distribution.

Suppose

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \\ \vdots \\ X_p \end{pmatrix}_{p \times 1} \sim MN\Big(\mu_{p \times 1}, \Sigma_{p \times p}\Big), \quad \text{where} \quad \mu = \begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \\ \vdots \\ \mu_p \end{pmatrix}_{p \times 1}, \quad \Sigma = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{11} & \cdots & \sigma_{1p} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \sigma_{p1} & \cdots & \sigma_{pp} \end{pmatrix}_{p \times p}$$

and  $\sigma_{ij} = \operatorname{cov}(X_i, X_j)$ . Then,

(i). Marginal normality:  $X_i \sim N(\mu_i, \sigma_{ii})$ . Multivariate normality implies marginal normality.

(ii). Transformation invariant:  $AX \sim MN(A\mu, A\Sigma A')$  where A is any (nonrandom)  $r \times p$  matrix. Linear transformation of multivariate normal random variable is still multivariate normal.

(iii). Zero correlation is equivalent to independence:  $X_1, ..., X_p$  are independent if and only if  $\sigma_{ij} = 0$  for  $1 \le i \ne j \le p$ . Or, in other words, if and only if  $\Sigma$  is diagonal.

(iv). Standardization as a special linear transformation:

$$\Sigma^{-1/2}(X-\mu) \sim MN(0, I_p).$$

Here, 0 is a *p*-vector with all *p* entries being 0,  $I_p$  shall always denote the identity  $p \times p$  matrix,  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  is any matrix such that  $\Sigma^{-1/2}\Sigma(\Sigma^{-1/2})' = I_p$ .

Remark.  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  is not uniquely defined. Suppose  $\Sigma = T\Lambda T'$  where T is orthonormal, i.e.,  $TT' = I_p$ , and  $\Lambda$  diagonal. Then,  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  can be expressed as  $\tilde{T}\Lambda^{-1/2}T'$  where  $\tilde{T}$  can be any orthonormal matrix. Unless otherwise stated,  $\Sigma^{-1/2}$  and  $\Sigma^{1/2}$  shall be the symmetric ones.

(v). Conditional distribution:

Proposition 4.1. Suppose

$$X_{(p+q)\times 1} = \begin{pmatrix} X_1 \\ X_2 \end{pmatrix} \sim MN\left(\begin{pmatrix} \mu_1 \\ \mu_2 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \\ \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} \end{pmatrix}\right),$$

where  $X_1$  and  $\mu_1$  are p-vectors,  $X_2$  and  $\mu_2$  are q-vectors, and  $\Sigma_{11}, \Sigma_{12}, \Sigma_{21}$  and  $\Sigma_{22}$  are  $p \times p$ ,  $p \times q$ ,  $q \times p$  and  $q \times q$  matrices, respectively. Then, the conditional distribution of  $X_1$  given  $X_2 = x_2$  is

$$MN(\mu_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(x_2 - \mu_2), \ \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21}).$$

Proof. Observe that

$$X_1 - \mu_1 - \Sigma_{12} \Sigma_{22}^{-1} (X_2 - \mu_2)$$
 and  $X_2 - \mu_2$ 

are 0-correlated. Therefore, the two random vectors are independent of each other. Hence the conditional distribution of the former given  $X_2 = x_2$ , i.e,  $X_2 - \mu_2 = x_2 - \mu_2$ , follows the same distribution as the unconditional one, which is  $MN(0, \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma'_{21})$ . This implies  $X_1$  given  $X_2 = x_2$  follows  $MN(\mu_1 + \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}(x_2 - \mu_2), \Sigma_{11} - \Sigma_{12}\Sigma_{22}^{-1}\Sigma_{21})$ .

Remark. The above proposition has important connection with the geometric structure of mean 0 random variables with applications in linear regression. For simplicity, consider linear regression of Y, a response of 1 dimension, on X, a covariate random variable of 1 dimension:

$$Y = \alpha + \beta X + \epsilon,$$

with  $\epsilon$  being mean 0 and uncorrelated with X, which implies  $Y - \alpha - \beta X$  is mean 0 and uncorrelated with X. Then  $\alpha = \mu_Y + \beta \mu_X$  in order for  $Y - \alpha - \beta X$  to be mean 0, and, as a result,  $\beta$  is identified as the constant such that

$$Y - \mu_Y - \beta(X - \mu_X) \bot (X - \mu_X).$$

Here  $\perp$  means 0-correlated. Now picture  $Y^* = Y - \mu_Y$  and  $X^* = X - \mu_X$  as two vectors in a space of mean 0 finite variance random variables equipped with inner product, the covariance of the two random variables. The projection of  $Y^*$  on  $X^*$ , denoted as  $\sqcap(Y^*|X^*)$ , is then

$$\sqcap (Y^*|X^*) = \frac{\langle Y^*, X^* \rangle}{\|X^*\|^2} X^* = \frac{cov(Y, X)}{var(X)} (X - \mu_X).$$

This is verified by checking that  $\langle Y^* - \sqcap (Y^*|X^*), X^* \rangle = 0.$ 



As a result,  $\beta = cov(Y, X)/var(X)$  in the linear regression of Y on X, which gives rise to the least squares estimator

$$\hat{\beta} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \bar{y})(x_i - \bar{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \bar{x})^2}.$$

(vi). Density function:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(2\pi)^p |\Sigma|}} \exp\{-\frac{1}{2}(x-\mu)'\Sigma^{-1}(x-\mu)\}, \qquad x \in \mathbb{R}^p.$$

The constant density contour is defined as

$$\{x: f(x) = a\} = \{x: (x - \mu)' \Sigma^{-1} (x - \mu) = c\},\$$

which is an ellipse, where  $c = -2[\log a + (1/2)\log((2\pi)^p |\Sigma|)]$ . Note that

$$(X-\mu)'\Sigma^{-1}(X-\mu) \sim \chi_p^2,$$

since  $\Sigma^{-1/2}(X - \mu) \sim MN(0, I_p).$