

Chapter 1 ARMA Models

1. Structure of ARMA models.

1.1 Probability Background

Definition 1.1

Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \dots\}$ be a sequence of random variables.

If, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N$ such that, as $n > N$,

$$P(|f_n - f| > \varepsilon) < \varepsilon,$$

$$\text{or } P(|f_n - f| > \varepsilon) \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

We say that f_n converges to f in probability, denoted by $f_n \xrightarrow{P} f$.

Definition 1.2.

Let $\{f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n, \dots\}$ be a sequence of random variables, and f is a r.v.

Denote $\Omega = \{\omega : \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(\omega) = f(\omega)\}$.

If $P(\Omega) = 1$, then we say that $f_n \rightarrow f$ almost surely, denoted by $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} f$.

Definition 1.3.

(2)

Let f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n be a sequence of a r.v.s. If, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, $\exists N$, such that, as $n > N$,

$$E |f_n - f|^p < \varepsilon$$

or $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E |f_n - f|^p = 0$, where p is some constant > 0 .

We say that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in L^p , denoted by

$$f_n \xrightarrow{L^p} f.$$

In particular, as $p=2$, we say that $f_n \rightarrow f$ in mean square, denoted by $f_n \xrightarrow{\text{m.s.}} f$.

(3)

Theorem 1.1. The following relationships holds:

$$\langle i \rangle. \xrightarrow{a.s.} \implies \xrightarrow{P}$$

$$\langle ii \rangle. \xrightarrow{L^P} \implies \xrightarrow{P}$$

$$\langle iii \rangle. \xrightarrow{m.s.} \implies \xrightarrow{L^P} \text{ if } 0 < p \leq 2.$$

$$\langle iv \rangle. \xrightarrow{L^P} \implies a.s. \text{ if } E \|s_{n+1} - s_n\|^p \leq c_n$$

and $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n < \infty.$

□

Theorem 1.2. (Fatou's Lemma). If $X_n \geq 0$, then

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} E X_n \geq E \left(\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} X_n \right)$$

□

Theorem 1.3. Let \mathcal{F}_t be the σ -field generated by a sequence of s_t, s_{t-1}, \dots , and $y_t = f(s_t, s_{t-1}, \dots)$.

Then y_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

$$\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma \{ s_t, s_{t-1}, \dots \}$$

Theorem 1.4. Let $\{x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots\}$ be a strictly stationary time series, and $y_t = f(x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots)$. Then and ergodic the time series

$\{y_t, t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ is strictly stationary and ergodic.

In particular, if $\{x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots\}$ is i.i.d., then $\{x_t, x_{t-1}, \dots\}$ are strictly stationary and ergodic. \square

1.2 ARMA Models.

We say that $\{y_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ follows an ARMA model, if it satisfies the following equation:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \psi_1 \varepsilon_t + \dots + \psi_q \varepsilon_{t-q} + \varepsilon_t \quad (1.1)$$

where $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d}$ with mean zero and variance σ^2 .

We first investigate whether or not there is a solution $\{y_t\}$ to model (1.1). For this, we rewrite model (1.1) as the following vector form:

$$Y_t = A Y_{t-1} + \tilde{\varepsilon}_t \quad (1.2)$$

where $Y_t = (y_t, y_{t-1}, \dots, y_{t-p+1}, \varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{t-q})'$,

$$\tilde{\varepsilon}_t = (\varepsilon_t, 0, \dots, 0, \varepsilon_t, 0, \dots, 0)'$$

And

$$A = \left(\begin{array}{ccc|ccc} \phi_1 & \dots & \phi_p & \psi_1 & \dots & \psi_q \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & & \\ & & & & \bigcirc & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & \bigcirc & & & & \\ & & & 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 \end{array} \right).$$

Now, we want to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 1.5. If All the roots of the polynomial

$\lambda^p - \phi_1 \lambda^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ lie inside the unit circle, then there exists a unique, and the second-order stationary and \mathcal{F}_t -measurable solution to model (1.1). This solution has the following expansion:

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \psi_i \varepsilon_{t-i}, \text{ in m.s. and in a.s.}$$

and hence y_t is strictly stationary and ergodic, where $\mathcal{F}_t = \sigma\{\varepsilon_t, \varepsilon_{t-1}, \dots\}$, and $\psi_i = O(\rho^i)$ with $\rho \in (0, 1)$.

Proof. Note that Model (1.1) and model (1.2) are equivalent. We first prove that there exists a solution to model (1.2).

Iterating (1.2), we have

$$Y_t = \hat{\Sigma}_t + A \hat{\Sigma}_{t-1} + \dots + A^n \hat{\Sigma}_{t-n} + A^{n+1} Y_{t-n-1}.$$

Let $S_t^{(n)} = \hat{\Sigma}_t + A \hat{\Sigma}_{t-1} + \dots + A^n \hat{\Sigma}_{t-n}$. We need to prove that $S_t^{(n)}$ converges to r.v. in mean square.

By a direct calculation, we know that

$$|I\lambda - A| = \begin{vmatrix} \lambda - \phi_1 & \dots & -\phi_p & -\phi_1 & \dots & -\phi_q \\ -1 & & 0 & & & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & \dots & -1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & \lambda & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & -1 & & & \\ & & & 0 & \dots & & -1 & \lambda \end{vmatrix}$$

$$= \lambda^q (\lambda^p - \phi_1 \lambda^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p) =$$

Thus, all the roots of $\lambda^p - \phi_1 \lambda^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ lie inside the unit circle if and only if all the eigenvalues of A lie inside the unit circle.

Denote $\rho = \sqrt[p]{\max_i |\text{the eigenvalue of } A|}$. Then $0 < \rho < 1$.

By Corollary A.2 in Johansen (1995, pp. 220), there is a constant $c > 0$ such that

$$\|A^n\| \leq c \rho^{n/2}. \quad (1.3)$$

By (1.3), we have that

$$\begin{aligned} & E \|S_t^{(n)} - S_t^{(n+m)}\|^2 \\ &= E \left\| A_t^{n+1} \tilde{\xi}_{t-n-1} + \dots + A_t^{n+m} \tilde{\xi}_{t-n-m} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq (\|A^{n+1}\|^2 + \dots + \|A^{n+m}\|^2) E \|\tilde{\xi}_{t-n-m}\|^2 \\ &\leq 2c (\rho^{n+1} + \dots + \rho^{n+m}) \sigma^2 \\ &\longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n, m \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

By (1.4) and the Cauchy criterion, we know that

there is a r.v. S_t such that

$$S_t^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{m.s.}} S_t \quad (1.5)$$

By the factou's Lemma

$$\begin{aligned} E \left\| S_t - \sum_{i=0}^n A^i \tilde{\xi}_{t-i} \right\|^2 &= E \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} \left\| S_t - \sum_{i=0}^h A^i \tilde{\xi}_{t-i} \right\|^2 \\ &\leq \liminf_{h \rightarrow \infty} E \|S_t - S_t^{(h)}\|^2 = 0 \end{aligned} \quad (1.6)$$

By (1.6), we have that

$$S_t \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A^i \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t-i} \quad (1.7)$$

By (1.5) and (1.7), we know that

$$S_t^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A^i \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t-i} = S_t$$

and
$$S_t^{(n)} \xrightarrow{\text{m.s.}} \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} A^i \tilde{\varepsilon}_{t-i} = S_t \quad (1.8)$$

By (1.8), it is easy to check that S_t in (1.8) is a solution of model (1.2).

Let $y_t = (1, 0, \dots, 0) S_t$. Then

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} v' A^i v_1' \varepsilon_{t-i} \text{ is a solution}$$

of model (1.1), where $v = (1, 0, \dots, 0)'$ and

$v_1 = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)$. It is obvious that y_t is strictly stationary and \mathcal{F}_t -measurable by Theorem 1.4 and Theorem 1.3, respectively.

It is easy to show that $E y_t^2 < \infty$. Thus $E y_t^2 = a$ constant since y_t is strictly stationary, and hence

Y_t is the second-order stationary (i.e. weak stationary).

In the following, we prove that the ~~the~~ uniqueness.

If there are two solutions Y_t' and Y_t'' to model (1.2),

then

$$Y_t' = A Y_{t-1}' + \tilde{\varepsilon}_t$$

$$Y_t'' = A Y_{t-1}'' + \tilde{\varepsilon}_t$$

-)

$$Y_t' - Y_t'' = A (Y_{t-1}' - Y_{t-1}'')$$

Let $U_t = Y_t' - Y_t''$. Then we have that

$$U_t = A U_{t-1} = A^2 U_{t-2} = \dots = A^n U_{t-n}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} E \|U_t\|^2 &\leq \|A^n\|^2 E \|U_{t-n}\|^2 \\ &\leq C \rho^n E \|U_{t-n}\|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.9)$$

Note that $E \|U_{t-n}\|^2 \leq E (\|Y_{t-n}'\|^2 + \|Y_{t-n}''\|^2)$

$$\leq C_1 \text{ by the second-order}$$

stationarity of Y_t' and Y_t'' , where C_1 is a constant.

By (1.9), we have that

$$E \|u_t\|^2 \leq C C_1 \rho^n \rightarrow 0$$

Thus

$$E \|u_t\|^2 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} E \|u_t\|^2 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (C C_1 \rho^n) = 0. \quad (1.10)$$

By (1.10), we know that

$$u_t \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} 0 \quad \text{and hence} \quad Y_t \stackrel{\text{a.s.}}{=} Y_t'' \quad (1.11)$$

By (1.11), the solution y_t is unique for model (1.1).

□

Remark 1.1. (a) In theorem 1.5, the condition $E \xi_t^2 = \sigma < \infty$ can be relaxed to be the condition $E |\xi_t|^p < \infty$ with $p \in (0, 2)$. In this case,

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i \xi_{t-i} \quad \text{in } L^p \text{ and in a.s.}$$

(b). In Th. 1.5, ξ_t i.i.d. can be relaxed to that ξ_t is an uncorrelated white noise. In this case,

$$y_t = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \phi_i \xi_{t-i} \quad \text{in m.s.}$$

but y_t may not be strictly stationary.

□

Theorem 1.6. Suppose that model (1.1) has a second-order stationary solution y_t . If $z^p - \phi_1 z^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ and $z^q + \psi_1 z^{q-1} + \dots + \psi_q = 0$ have no common roots, then it is necessary that all the roots of $z^p - \phi_1 z^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ lie inside the unit circle.

Proof. Since y_t is the second-order stationary solution of model (1.1), and hence Y_t is the second-order stationary solution of model (1.2). Thus,

$$E Y_t Y_t' \equiv \Sigma, \text{ a constant matrix. (1.12)}$$

By (1.2), we can obtain that

$$E Y_t Y_t' = A E Y_{t-1} Y_{t-1}' A' + E \hat{\Sigma}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t' \quad (1.13)$$

Note that

$$E \hat{\Sigma}_t \hat{\Sigma}_t' = \sigma^2 P P' \text{ with } P = (1, 0, \dots, 0, 1, 0, \dots, 0)'. \quad (1.14)$$

By (1.12) - (1.14), we have that

$$\Sigma = A \Sigma A' + \sigma^2 P P' \quad (1.15)$$

Suppose that A has an eigenvalue λ with corresponding left eigenvector $\underline{z} = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{p+q})'$, i.e. $\underline{z}'A = \lambda \underline{z}$, and

$\bar{\underline{z}}'A = \bar{\lambda} \bar{\underline{z}}$, where $\bar{\underline{z}}$ is the conjugate vector of \underline{z} .

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \underline{z}' \cap \bar{\underline{z}} &= \underline{z}' A \cap A' \bar{\underline{z}} + \underline{z}' P P' \bar{\underline{z}} \sigma^2 \\ &= (\lambda \underline{z}) \cap (\bar{\lambda} \bar{\underline{z}})' + \sigma^2 |z_1 + z_{p+k}|^2 \\ &= \lambda \underline{z} \cap \bar{\underline{z}} \bar{\lambda} + \sigma^2 |z_1 + z_{p+k}|^2 \\ &= |\lambda|^2 \underline{z} \cap \bar{\underline{z}} + \sigma^2 |z_1 + z_{p+k}|^2 \end{aligned} \quad (1.16)$$

If we can prove that $|z_1 + z_{p+k}| \neq 0$, then $|\lambda| < 1$ by (1.16).

From the equation:

$$(z_1, \dots, z_{p+q}) \left[\begin{array}{cc|cc} \phi_1 & \dots & \phi_p & \psi_1 & \dots & \psi_q \\ 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 & & \\ \vdots & & & & \bigcirc & \\ 0 & \dots & 1 & 0 & & \\ \hline & & & & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & 1 & \dots & 0 \\ & & & & \vdots & & \\ & & & & 0 & \dots & 1 \end{array} \right] = \lambda (z_1, \dots, z_{p+q}),$$

We obtain that

$$z_1 \phi_1 + z_2 = \lambda z_1$$

$$z_1 \phi_2 + z_3 = \lambda z_2$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_1 \phi_p + z_p = \lambda z_{p-1}$$

$$z_1 \phi_p = \lambda z_p$$

(A)

$$z_1 \phi_1 + z_{p+2} = \lambda z_{p+1}$$

$$z_1 \phi_2 + z_{p+3} = \lambda z_{p+2}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$z_1 \phi_{q-1} + z_{p+q} = \lambda z_{p+q-1}$$

$$z_1 \phi_q = \lambda z_{p+q}$$

(B)

From the equations in (A), we have that

$$z_1 (\lambda^p - \phi_1 \lambda^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p) = 0 \quad (1.17)$$

If $z_1 + z_{p+1} = 0$, then $z_1 = -z_{p+1}$. From the equations in (B),

we have that

$$-z_{p+1} (\lambda^q + \phi_1 \lambda^{q-1} + \dots + \phi_q) = 0, \text{ i.e.}$$

$$z_1 (\lambda^q + \phi_1 \lambda^{q-1} + \dots + \phi_q) = 0 \quad (1.18)$$

By (1.17) and (1.18), and the Assumption that $\lambda^p - \phi_1 \lambda^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ and $\lambda^q + \phi_1 \lambda^{q-1} + \dots + \phi_q = 0$ have no

common root, we know that that $z_1 = 0$ and hence

$$z_{p+1} = 0.$$

Since $z_1 = z_{p+1} = 0$, by the equations (A) and (B),

(14)

We can claim that $z = (z_1, z_2, \dots, z_{p+q})' = 0$.

However, z is the eigenvector of A , so $z \neq 0$. This is a contradiction. Thus

$$z_1 + z_{p+1} \neq 0 \implies |\lambda| < 1.$$

□

2. Estimation.

2.1 Probability Background

Theorem 2.1. Let $\{Y_t : t = 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots\}$ be a strictly stationary ^{and ergodic} and $E|Y_t| < \infty$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E Y_t$$

□

Theorem 2.1 is called the ergodic theorem

Definition 2.1 Let $\{X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots\}$ be a sequence r.v.s with distributions: $F_1(x), F_2(x), \dots, F_n(x), \dots$, respectively, and X be another r.v. with distribution $F(x)$. If

$$F_n(x) \rightarrow F(x)$$

at any value x at which $F(x)$ is continuous. Then, we say that $\{X_n\}$ converges to X in distribution, denoted by

$$\{X_n\} \xrightarrow{L} X$$

□

Relationship:

$$\left. \begin{array}{l} X_n \xrightarrow{P} X \\ X_n \xrightarrow{L^p} X \\ X_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} X \end{array} \right\} \implies X_n \xrightarrow{L} X$$

Definition 2.2. Let $\{ \beta_t : t=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \dots \}$ be a sequence of r.v.s., \mathcal{F}_t be an increasing sequence of σ -fields, i.e.

$\mathcal{F}_t \supset \mathcal{F}_{t-1} \supset \mathcal{F}_{t-2}, \dots$, and β_t is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable.

If $E(\beta_t | \mathcal{F}_{t-1}) = 0$, then we say that $\{\beta_t\}$

is a martingale difference sequence with respect to \mathcal{F}_t . \square

Theorem 2.2. (Central limit theorem). Suppose that $\beta_1, \beta_2, \dots, \beta_n, \dots$ is an ergodic stationary sequence with

$$\sigma^2 = E \beta_n^2 < \infty \quad \text{and} \quad E(\beta_n | \mathcal{F}_{n-1}) = 0,$$

where $\mathcal{F}_n = \sigma \{ \beta_t : t \leq n \}$. Let $S_n = \beta_1 + \dots + \beta_n$. Then

$$\frac{S_n}{\sqrt{n}} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$ \square

Theorem 2.3. (Cramér-Wold device). Let $\{W_n\}$ be a sequence of random vector. Then

$$W_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} W \quad \text{if and only if}$$

$$\lambda' W_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \lambda' W$$

for any constant vector $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m)'$ with $\lambda' \lambda \neq 0$, where m is the dimension of the vector W_n . \square

2.2. Statistical Background

Suppose that $\{y_t\}$ is generated by the following model:

$$y_t = f(y_{t-1}^{\circ}, \dots, \lambda) + \varepsilon_t, \quad (2.1)$$

with the true parameter λ_0 , where $\tilde{y}_t = \{y_{t-1}, y_{t-2}, \dots\}$ and ε_t is i.i.d. white noise.

$y_t = f(\tilde{y}_t, \lambda_0) + \varepsilon_t$ is called the true model.

Model (2.1) is called the unknown parameter model, and λ is called the unknown parameter.

Suppose that $\lambda_0 \in \Theta$ and $\lambda \in \Theta$. Then Θ is called the parameter space.

Given y_1, \dots, y_n which come from model (2.1) with the true parameter λ_0 . We want to find an estimator of λ_0 in Θ , denoted this estimator by $\hat{\lambda}_n$.

This $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is a measurable function of $\{y_1, \dots, y_n\}$.

Definition 2.3. (Consistency)

<i>i</i> If $\hat{\lambda}_n \xrightarrow{P} \lambda_0$, we call that $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is an inconsistent estimator of λ_0 in probability.

<i>ii</i> If $\hat{\lambda}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \lambda_0$, we say that $\hat{\lambda}_n$ is a consistent estimator of λ_0 , almost surely.

Definition 2.4 (Asymptotic normality).

If

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0) \xrightarrow{L} N(0, \Sigma),$$

We say that the estimator $\hat{\lambda}_n$ of λ_0 is asymptotically normal with the asymptotic variance Σ .

Definition 2.5. (a general definition):

If $D_n (\hat{\lambda}_n - \lambda_0) \xrightarrow{L} W$, we say that the estimator $\hat{\lambda}_n$ converges to W in distribution with the rate of convergence $\sqrt{n} D_n$.

□

2.3. The estimator of Mean.

Theorem 2.4. Let y_t be generated by the AR(1) model:

$$y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t,$$

where $|\phi| < 1$ and ε_t be i.i.d. white noise with mean zero and variance σ^2 . Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E y_t = 0.$$

Proof. Since $|\phi| < 1$ and ε_t is i.i.d., by Theorem 1.5, y_t is strictly and ergodic, and $E y_t^2 < \infty$.

By Theorem 1.1 (iii),

$$E |y_t| < \infty \quad (\text{or } E |y_t| \leq \sqrt{E |y_t|^2} = \sqrt{\sigma^2} < \infty).$$

Furthermore, by ergodic Theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E y_t = 0$$

□

Theorem 2.5. Let y_t be generated by the AR(1) model,

$$y_t - \mu = \phi(y_{t-1} - \mu) + \varepsilon_t,$$

where $|\phi| < 1$ and ε_t is i.i.d. white noise with mean zero and variance σ^2 . Then $E y_t = \mu$. Let $\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t$. Then

$$\hat{\mu}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu.$$

Proof. Let $\tilde{y}_t = y_t - \mu$. Then

$$\tilde{y}_t = \phi \tilde{y}_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t. \quad (2.2)$$

By Assumption given in this theorem and Theorem 1.5, \tilde{y}_t is strictly stationary and ergodic, and $E \tilde{y}_t^2 < \infty$. By ergodic theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \tilde{y}_t \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E \tilde{y}_t = E y_t - \mu = 0 \quad (2.3)$$

Note that $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n \tilde{y}_t = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t - \mu$. By (2.3), it follows that

$$\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \mu$$

□

(2.1)

Theorem 2.6. Let y_t be generated by the ARMA (p,q) model:

$$y_t - \mu = \sum_{i=1}^p \phi_i (y_{t-i} - \mu) + \sum_{j=1}^q \psi_j \varepsilon_{t-j} + \varepsilon_t,$$

where all the roots of $\lambda^p - \phi_1 \lambda^{p-1} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ lie inside the unit circle and ε_t is i.i.d. white noise with mean zero and variance σ^2 . Then $E y_t = \mu$. Let $\hat{\mu}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n y_t$.

Then

$$\hat{\mu}_n \xrightarrow{a.s.} \mu.$$

Proof. It is similar to that of Theorem 2.5 and hence is omitted. \square

Remark 2.1. The condition that ε_t is i.i.d. white noise can be relaxed to be the condition that ε_t is uncorrelated white noise. In the latter case, y_t is the second-order stationary. \square

Remark 2.2. In practice, when we have a data set $\{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$, if it is second-order stationary, then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t \rightarrow \mu = E x_t.$$

Let $y_t = x_t - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=1}^n x_t$. Then $E y_t = 0$. The new data set $\{y_t = t=1, \dots, n\}$ is called the centralized data. \square

Remark 2.3. In Theorems 2.5-2.6, we can prove that

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\mu}_n - \mu) \xrightarrow{d} N(0, \nu),$$

where $\nu = \sigma^2 \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \phi_j \right)^2$ and ϕ_j is the coefficient in theorem 1.5.

□

See proposition 7.11 (Hamilton, 1995)

and Theorem 7.1.2 in Brockwell and Davis (P₂₁₉)

2.3. The Estimators of Parameters in AR model.

Suppose that y_1, \dots, y_n are generated by the AR(p) model:

$$y_t = \phi_1 y_{t-1} + \dots + \phi_p y_{t-p} + \varepsilon_t \quad (2.3)$$

where $\varepsilon_t \sim \text{i.i.d. } N(0, \sigma^2)$.

Let $\tilde{Y}_{t+1} = (y_{t+1}, \dots, y_{t+p})'$. Then the density y_t given $\{y_{t-1}, \dots, y_1\}$ is

$$f(y_{p+1} | \tilde{Y}_p) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(y_{p+1} - \phi' \tilde{Y}_p)^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$f(y_{p+2} | \tilde{Y}_{p+1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(y_{p+2} - \phi' \tilde{Y}_{p+1})^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

$$\vdots$$

$$f(y_n | \tilde{Y}_{n-1}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} e^{-\frac{(y_n - \phi' \tilde{Y}_{n-1})^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

Thus, the joint density of $\{y_n, y_{n-1}, \dots, y_{p+1}\}$ given \tilde{Y}_p is

$$f(y_n, \dots, y_{p+1} | \tilde{Y}_p) = \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}\sigma} \right]^{n-p} e^{-\sum_{t=p+1}^n \frac{(y_t - \phi' \tilde{Y}_{t-1})^2}{2\sigma^2}}$$

(23)

Thus, the conditional log-likelihood function is

$$L(\lambda) = -(n-p) \log(2\pi\sigma^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} - \sum_{t=p+1}^n \frac{(y_t - \phi' \tilde{y}_{t-1})^2}{2\sigma^2}$$

where $\lambda = (\phi', \sigma^2)'$ and $\phi = (\phi_1, \dots, \phi_p)'$.

$$\frac{\partial L(\lambda)}{\partial \phi} = -\frac{1}{\sigma^2} \sum_{t=p+1}^n (y_t - \phi' \tilde{y}_{t-1}) \tilde{y}_{t-1} \quad (2.4)$$

Denote the maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) of ϕ_0 is $\hat{\phi}_n$, where ϕ_0 is the true parameter. By (2.4)

$$\sum_{t=p+1}^n (y_t - \hat{\phi}_n' \tilde{y}_{t-1}) \tilde{y}_{t-1} = 0 \quad (2.5)$$

By (2.5), we have that

$$\hat{\phi}_n = \left(\sum_{t=p+1}^n \tilde{y}_{t-1} \tilde{y}_{t-1}' \right)^{-1} \left(\sum_{t=p+1}^n y_t \tilde{y}_{t-1} \right) \quad (2.6)$$

Lemma 2.1. Suppose that y_1, \dots, y_n are generated by model (2.3) and all the roots of $z^p - \phi_1 z^{p-1} - \phi_2 z^{p-2} - \dots - \phi_p = 0$ lie inside the unit circle. Then

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \tilde{y}_{t-1} \tilde{y}_{t-1}' \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E(\tilde{y}_{t-1} \tilde{y}_{t-1}')$$

and $E(\tilde{y}_{t-1} \tilde{y}_{t-1}') > 0$ (i.e. positive definite).

Proof. By Theorem 1.5, y_t is strictly stationary and ergodic, and $E y_t^2 < \infty$.

By Theorem 1.4, $\tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1}$ is also strictly stationary and ergodic, and

$$\begin{aligned} E \|\tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1}\| &\leq E \|\tilde{y}_{t-1}\|^2 \\ &= E (y_{t-1}^2 + \dots + y_{t-p}^2) < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

By ergodic theorem 2.1, we have that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1} &= \frac{n-p}{n} \cdot \left[\frac{1}{n-p} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1} \right] \\ &\xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E(\tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Theorem 2.7. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1,

$$\hat{\phi}_n \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} \phi_0, \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. First,

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\phi}_n - \phi_0 &= \left(\sum_{t=p+1}^n \tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1} \right)^{-1} \\ &\quad \left[\sum_{t=p+1}^n y_t \tilde{y}_{t-1}' - \phi_0' \sum_{t=p+1}^n \tilde{y}_{t-1}' \tilde{y}_{t-1} \phi_0 \right] \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \left(\sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}' \right)' \left[\sum_{t=p+1}^n (y_t - \phi_0' \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}) \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}' \right] \\
&= \left(\sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}' \right)' \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \\
&= \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}' \right)' \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \right). \quad (2.7)
\end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 2.1, $\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}' \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E(\hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}') > 0$.

Similar to Lemma 2.1, by ergodic theorem and Th. 1.5, we can show that

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} E(\hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t) = 0.$$

Furthermore, by (2.7), we have that

$$\hat{\Phi}_n - \phi_0 \xrightarrow{\text{a.s.}} 0.$$

□

Theorem 2.8. Under the assumptions of Lemma 2.1,

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\Phi}_n - \phi_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2 E'(\tilde{Y}_t \tilde{Y}_t'))$$

Proof. By (2.7), we have that

$$\sqrt{n} (\hat{\Phi}_n - \phi_0) = \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1}' \right)' \left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=p+1}^n \hat{\tilde{Y}}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \right) \quad (2.8)$$

We first prove that

$$W_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2 E(\hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \hat{\gamma}_{t-1}')) \quad (2.9)$$

$$\equiv W$$

For any constant c with $c'c \neq 0$, by Theorem 2.3

(Cramer's - Wold device), if we can prove that

$$c' W_n \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} c' W, \quad (2.10)$$

then (2.9) holds. Now, we prove (2.10).

$$c' W_n = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n c' \hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t \quad (2.11)$$

Let $\beta_t = c' \hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t$. By Theorem 1.5 and Theorem 1.4,

β_t is strictly stationary and ergodic, and

$$\begin{aligned} E \beta_t^2 &= c' E(\hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \varepsilon_t^2 \hat{\gamma}_{t-1}') c = c' E(\hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \hat{\gamma}_{t-1}' \varepsilon_t^2) c \\ &= c' E[E(\hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \hat{\gamma}_{t-1}' \varepsilon_t^2 | \mathcal{F}_{t-1})] c \\ &= \sigma^2 c' E(\hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \hat{\gamma}_{t-1}') c. \end{aligned}$$

By central limit theorem 2.3,

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n \beta_t \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2 c' E(\hat{\gamma}_{t-1} \hat{\gamma}_{t-1}') c) = c' W$$

Thus, (2.10) holds and hence (2.9) holds. Furthermore by Lemma

2.1, we know that $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\phi}_n - \phi_0) \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \sigma^2 E'(\hat{\gamma}_t \hat{\gamma}_t'))$. \square