

3.3 GARCH(1, 1) Models

Example 3.3(continuous). Look for the maximizer of

$$L_n(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[\log h_t(\theta) + \frac{\varepsilon_{t-1}^2}{h_t(\theta)} \right].$$

i.e., MLE of θ_0 .

Recall this conditional LSE or MLE, given (h_0, ε_0) .

$$h_1(\theta) = \omega + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_0^2 + \beta_1 h_0.$$

$$\begin{aligned} h_t(\theta) &= \omega + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 h_{t-1}(\theta). \\ &= \sum_{i=0}^{t-1} \beta_1^i (\omega + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-i}^2) + \beta_1^t h_0. \end{aligned}$$

$\{h_t(\theta)\}$ is not stationary.

Denote

$$\tilde{h}_t(\theta) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta_1^i (\omega + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-i}^2).$$

Then $\tilde{h}_t(\theta_0) = h_t$, $\{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)\}$ is stationary and ergodic, and

$$\tilde{h}_t(\theta) = \omega + \alpha_1 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_1 \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta).$$

We study the maximizer of the following objective function

$$\tilde{L}_n(\theta) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{t=1}^n \left[\log \tilde{h}_t(\theta) + \frac{\varepsilon_{t-1}^2}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \right].$$

Assumption:

(a) $\Theta = \{\theta : E \ln(\beta + \alpha \eta_t^2) < 0, \alpha_0 \geq c, \alpha \geq c \text{ and } \beta \geq c\}$.

(b). η_t has a bounded density in some neighborhood of 0.

When using MLE to estimate θ_0 , we take

$$l_t(\theta) = -\log \tilde{h}_t(\theta) - \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)}.$$

$$\tilde{\theta}_n = \arg \max_{\Theta} \sum_{t=1}^n l_t(\theta).$$

We now consider Assumption 2.1.

$$\begin{aligned} El_t(\theta) &= -E \log \tilde{h}_t(\theta) - E \frac{\tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \\ &= - \left[-E \log \frac{\tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} + E \frac{\tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \right] - \log \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0) \\ &= - [-E \log M_t + EM_t] - \log \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0), \end{aligned}$$

where $M_t = \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)/\tilde{h}_t(\theta)$.

Note that, for any $x > 0$, $f(x) \equiv -\log x + x \geq 1$ and hence

$$-E \log M_t + EM_t \geq 1.$$

When $M_t = 1$, we have $f(M_t) = f(1) = 1$. If $M_t \neq 1$, then

$$f(M_t) > f(1).$$

Thus, $Ef(M_t) \geq Ef(1)$ with equality only if $M_t = 1$ with probability 1.

Thus, $El_t(\theta)$ reaches its maximum $-1 - \log \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)$ and this occurs if and only if

$$\tilde{h}_t(\theta) = \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0) = h_t.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \omega + \alpha \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta) &= \omega_0 + \alpha_0 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_0 h_{t-1} \\ \Rightarrow (\alpha - \alpha_0) \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 &= (\omega_0 - \omega) - \beta \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta) + \beta_0 h_{t-1} \\ \Rightarrow (\alpha - \alpha_0) \eta_{t-1}^2 &= \left[(\omega_0 - \omega) - \beta \frac{\tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta)}{h_{t-1}} + \beta_0 \right]. \end{aligned}$$

Since the left-hand-side is F_{t-2} -measurable, we have $\alpha = \alpha_0$. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned}
\omega + \beta \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta) &= \omega_0 + \beta_0 h_{t-1} \\
\Rightarrow \omega + \beta \omega + \alpha_0 \beta \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 + \beta^2 \tilde{h}_{t-2}(\theta) \\
&= \omega_0 + \beta_0 \omega_0 + \alpha_0 \beta_0 \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 + \beta_0^2 h_{t-2} \\
\Rightarrow \alpha_0 (\beta - \beta_0) \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 \\
&= \omega_0 - \omega + \beta_0 \omega_0 - \beta \omega + \beta_0^2 h_{t-2} - \beta^2 \tilde{h}_{t-2}(\theta).
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have $\beta = \beta_0$. Furthermore

$$\omega = \omega_0 + \beta_0 h_{t-1} - \beta_0 \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta) = \omega_0.$$

We next show that, for any integer $m \geq 1$,

$$E \sup_{\Theta} \left| \frac{h_t}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \right|^m < \infty.$$

We only give the proof when $m = 1$. Other case is similar.

$$\begin{aligned} h_t &= \omega_0 + \alpha_0 \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta_0 h_{t-1} \\ &\leq c(1 + \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-3}^2 + h_{t-3}) \\ \tilde{h}_t(\theta) &\geq c(1 + \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-3}^2). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we have

$$\varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-3}^2 \geq c(\eta_{t-1}^2 + \eta_{t-2}^2 + \eta_{t-3}^2)h_{t-3}.$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{h_t}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} &\leq c + \frac{ch_{t-3}}{\varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-2}^2 + \varepsilon_{t-3}^2} \\ &\leq c + \frac{c}{\eta_{t-1}^2 + \eta_{t-2}^2 + \eta_{t-3}^2}. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& E \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}^2 + \eta_{t-2}^2 + \eta_{t-3}^2} \\
&= \int_0^\infty P(\eta_{t-1}^2 + \eta_{t-2}^2 + \eta_{t-3}^2 < \frac{1}{y}) dy \\
&\leq N + \int_N^\infty P(\eta_{t-1}^2 + \eta_{t-2}^2 + \eta_{t-3}^2 < \frac{1}{y}) dy \\
&\leq N + \int_N^\infty [P(\eta_{t-1}^2 < \frac{1}{y})]^3 dy \\
&= N + \int_N^\infty \left[\int_{-\sqrt{y^{-1}}}^{\sqrt{y^{-1}}} f(x) dx \right]^3 dy \\
&\leq N + c \int_N^\infty y^{-3/2} dy < \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

as N is large enough, where c is a constant. Thus,

$$E \sup_{\Theta} \left| \frac{h_t}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \right| < \infty.$$

Thus, Assumption 2.1 holds. By Theorem 2.1,

$$\tilde{\theta}_n \rightarrow \theta_0 \text{ a.s..}$$

As for ARMA(1,1) model, we can show that the initial values do not affect $\hat{\theta}_n$ asymptotically, i.e.

$$\hat{\theta}_n \rightarrow \theta_0 \text{ a.s..}$$

We now consider Assumption 2.2.

$$\begin{aligned}
D_t(\theta) &= -\frac{1}{2\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \right], \\
P_t(\theta) &= -\frac{1}{2\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta'} - R_t(\theta), \\
R_t(\theta) &= \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \left[\frac{\partial^2 \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta \partial \theta'} \right. \\
&\quad \left. - \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta'} \right] \left[1 - \frac{\varepsilon_t^2}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \right].
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \omega} &= 1 + \beta \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta)}{\partial \omega} = \frac{1}{1 - \beta}, \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \alpha} &= \varepsilon_{t-1}^2 + \beta \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta)}{\partial \alpha} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2, \\
\frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \beta} &= \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta) + \beta \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_{t-1}(\theta)}{\partial \beta} \\
&= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^i \tilde{h}_{t-i-1}(\theta), \\
&= \frac{\omega}{1 - \beta} + \alpha \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \beta^{i+j} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \alpha} &= \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2}{\omega + \alpha \beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2} \\
&\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2}{1 + \beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2} \leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2}{1 + \beta^i \varepsilon_{t-i-1}^2} \right] \\
&\leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \beta^{i\tau} |\varepsilon_{t-i-1}|^{2\tau} \leq c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^i |\varepsilon_{t-i-1}|^{2\tau}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau \in (0, 1)$, where $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and c are constants. Thus

$$E \sup_{\Theta} \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \alpha} \right|^m \leq c \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \rho^{i\tau} [E |\varepsilon_{t-i}|^{m\tau}]^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^m < \infty$$

as τ is small enough.

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \beta} &\leq c + c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{i+j} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2}{\omega + \alpha \beta^{i+j+1} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2} \\
&\leq c + c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^{i+j} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2}{1 + \beta^{i+j} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2} \\
&\leq c + c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[\frac{\beta^{i+j} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2}{1 + \beta^{i+j} \varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}^2} \right]^{\tau} \\
&\leq c + c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^{(i+j)\tau} |\varepsilon_{t-i-j-2}|^{2\tau}
\end{aligned}$$

for any $\tau \in (0, 1)$, where $\rho \in (0, 1)$ and c are constants. Thus, for any $m \geq 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} & E \sup_{\Theta} \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \beta} \right|^m \\ & \leq \left\{ c + c \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \rho^{(i+j)\tau} \left[E |\varepsilon_{t-i-j}|^{m\tau} \right]^{\frac{1}{m}} \right\}^m \\ & < \infty \end{aligned}$$

as τ is small enough. Furthermore, we can show that, for any $m \geq 1$,

$$E \sup_{\Theta} \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t^2(\theta)} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \alpha} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \beta} \right|^m < \infty$$

and

$$E \sup_{\Theta} \left\| \frac{\partial l_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial l_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta'} \right\|^m < \infty.$$

Using the similar method, we can show that

$$E \sup_{\Theta} \left| \frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t(\theta)} \frac{\partial^3 \tilde{h}_t(\theta)}{\partial \theta_i \partial \theta_j \partial \theta_k} \right|^m < \infty$$

for any m and θ_i is ω, α or β . Thus, we can claim that

$$E \sup_{\Theta} |P_t(\theta)| < \infty.$$

For the convariance matrix, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\Omega &= \frac{E(1 - \eta_t^2)^2}{4} E \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta'} \right], \\ \Sigma &= \frac{1}{2} E \left[\frac{1}{\tilde{h}_t^2} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \tilde{h}_t(\theta_0)}{\partial \theta'} \right], \\ \Sigma^{-1} \Omega \Sigma^{-1} &= \frac{E\eta_t^4 - 1}{2} (2\Sigma)^{-1} \equiv \kappa (2\Sigma)^{-1}.\end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\sqrt{n}(\tilde{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \kappa(2\Sigma)^{-1}).$$

As for ARMA(1,1) model, we can show that the initial values do not affect $\hat{\theta}_n$ asymptotically, i.e.

$$\sqrt{n}(\hat{\theta}_n - \theta_0) \rightarrow_{\mathcal{L}} N(0, \kappa(2\Sigma)^{-1}).$$

When $\eta_t \sim N(0, 1)$, $E\eta_t^4 = 3$ and $\kappa = 1$. When $\eta_t \not\sim N(0, 1)$, $\hat{\theta}_n$ is called the QMLE.