

Testing for the threshold AP model

$$y_t = \phi_0 y_{t-1} I(y_{t-1} > r) + \phi_0 y_{t-1} I(y_{t-1} \leq r) + \varepsilon_t$$

$$H_0: \phi_0 = \phi_{00} \quad \text{vs} \quad H_1: \phi_0 \neq \phi_{00}$$

$$\begin{aligned} L(\phi_1, \phi_2, r) &= \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi_1 y_{t-1})^2 I(y_{t-1} > r) \\ &\quad + \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi_2 y_{t-1})^2 I(y_{t-1} \leq r) \end{aligned}$$

$$\min_{\phi_1, \phi_2} L(\phi_1, \phi_2, r) = L[\hat{\phi}_1(r), \hat{\phi}_2(r), r]$$

Under H_0 ,

$$y_t = \phi y_{t-1} + \varepsilon_t$$

$$L(\phi) = \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi y_{t-1})^2 \quad \hat{\phi} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^n y_{t-1}^2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min_{\phi} L(\phi) &= \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \phi y_{t-1})^2 \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n [y_t - (\hat{\phi} - \phi_0) y_{t-1}]^2 \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n y_{t-1}^2 - (\hat{\phi} - \phi_0)^2 \sum_{t=1}^n y_{t-1}^2 \\ &= \sum_{t=1}^n y_{t-1}^2 - \left(\frac{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t y_{t-1}}{\sum_{t=1}^n y_{t-1}^2} \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

(2)

$$L_T(r) = \min_{\mathcal{A}} L(\mathcal{A}) - \min_{\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2} L(\mathcal{A}_1, \mathcal{A}_2, r)$$

$$L(\mathcal{A}_1(u), \mathcal{A}_2(u), r) = \sum_{t=1}^n |y_t - \hat{f}_1(u) y_t|^2 I(y_t > r) + \sum_{t=1}^n (y_t - \hat{f}_2(u) y_t)^2 I(y_t \leq r)$$

$$\sum_{t=1}^n [y_t - \hat{f}_1(u) y_t]^2 I(y_t > r) \\ = \sum_{t=1}^n [\xi_t - (\hat{f}_1(u) - \mathcal{A}_{1,0}) y_t]^2 I(y_t > r)$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n \frac{\xi_t^2 - 2(\hat{f}_1(u) - \mathcal{A}_{1,0}) \sum_{t=1}^n y_t \xi_t I(y_t > r)}{2I(y_t > r)} + (\hat{f}_1(u) - \mathcal{A}_{1,0})^2 \sum_{t=1}^n y_t^2 I(y_t > r)$$

$$\hat{f}_1(u) - \mathcal{A}_{1,0} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n [y_t - \mathcal{A}_{1,0} y_t] y_t I(y_t > r)}{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^2 I(y_t > r)} = \frac{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t \xi_t I(y_t > r)}{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^2 I(y_t > r)}$$

$$= \sum_{t=1}^n \xi_t^2 I(y_t > r) - \frac{\left[\sum_{t=1}^n y_t \xi_t I(y_t > r) \right]^2}{\sum_{t=1}^n y_t^2 I(y_t > r)}$$

Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^n \left[y_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{j=1}^n y_j \right]^2 I(y_{i,s} < r) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^n z_{i,s}^2 I(y_{i,s} < r) - \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_{i,s} I(y_{i,s} < r) \right]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 I(y_{i,s} < r)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} L(f_1(r), f_2(r), r) &= \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_{i,s} I(y_{i,s} < r) \right]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 I(y_{i,s} < r)} \\ &+ \frac{\left[\sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_{i,s} I(y_{i,s} > r) \right]^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 I(y_{i,s} > r)} \\ &- \left(\sum_{i=1}^n y_i z_{i,s} \right)^2 / \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 = \sigma_y^2 + o(1), \quad \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n y_i^2 I(y_{i,s} < r)$$

$$= \sigma_y^2(r) + o(1)$$

$$\sigma_y^2 = \sigma_{y_1}^2$$

$$\sigma_y^2(r) = \sigma_{y_1}^2 I(y_{1,s} < r)$$

Thus,

(6)

$$\begin{aligned} L(F_1(r), F_2(r), r) &= \frac{1}{\sigma_y^2 - \sigma_y^2(r)} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i I(y_{i-1} > r) \right]^2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{\sigma_y^2(r)} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i I(y_{i-1} \leq r) \right]^2 \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{\sigma_y^2} \left[\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i \right]^2. \end{aligned}$$

$$S_n(r) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i I(y_{i-1} \leq r)$$

$\Rightarrow \sigma B(\sigma^2(r))$ in $D[0, T]$ for $r \in [0, T]$

① $\{S_n(r) : r \in [0, T]\}$ is tight. (3)

② For $\forall r, u$, the finite dimensional distribution of $S_n(r)$ is normal, in fact for $\forall C = (c_1, c_2)' \neq 0$, $(u > r)$

$$c_1 S_n(r) + c_2 S_n(u) = (c_1 + c_2) S_n(r) + c_2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i$$

$$= \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[(c_1 + c_2) y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i I(y_{i-1} \leq r) + c_2 y_{i-1} \varepsilon_i I(y_{i-1} > r) \right] I(y_{i-1} \leq u)$$

$$\rightarrow N(0, (c_1 + c_2)^2 \sigma_y^2(r) + c_2^2 \sigma^2 [\sigma_y^2(u) - \sigma_y^2(u)])$$

$$= N(0, c_1^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_y^2(r) + 2 c_1 c_2 \sigma^2 \sigma_y^2(r) + c_2^2 \sigma^2 \sigma_y^2(u))$$

$$= N(0, (c_1, c_2) \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_y^2(r) & \sigma_y^2(r) \\ \sigma_y^2(r) & \sigma_y^2(u) \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} c_1 \\ c_2 \end{bmatrix} \sigma^2)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{pmatrix} S_r(r) \\ S_n(u) \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{d} N\left(0, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_y^2(r) & \sigma_y^2(r) \\ \sigma_y^2(r) & \sigma_y^2(u) \end{bmatrix} \sigma^2\right)$$

Let $\tau = \frac{\sigma_y^2(r)}{\sigma_y^2}$. Then $\tau \in [a, b]$.

$$E[B(\tau)B(\tau')] = (\tau\tau') \sigma^2 \sigma_y^2$$

$B(\tau)$ is an independent ~~increments~~ increment process and hence it is

a Brownian motion.

$$\sigma^2 L(\hat{F}(r), \hat{F}(r), r) \xrightarrow{d} \frac{1}{1-\tau} [B(1) - B(\tau)]^2 + \frac{1}{\tau} [B^2(\tau) - B^2(r)]$$

$$\begin{aligned} a &= \sigma^2(-1/r) / \sigma_y^2 \\ b &= \sigma^2(1/r) / \sigma_y^2 \\ &= \frac{[\tau B(1) - B(\tau)]^2}{\tau(1-\tau)} \quad \text{in } D(a, b) \end{aligned}$$

6)

$$\sigma_n^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \hat{f}_{H,i})^2$$

$$\sup_{a \leq \tau \leq b} \hat{V}_n^2 L_n(\hat{f}_{H,n}, \hat{f}_{B,n}, b)$$

$$\rightarrow \sup_{a \leq \tau \leq b} \frac{[\tau B_n - B_n \tau]^2}{\tau(1-\tau)}$$

$$\text{Let } B_S = [\tau B_{(1)} - B_{(s)}]$$

$$P\left(\sup_{a \leq \tau \leq b} B_n^2 > b^2\right)$$

$$= P\left(\sup_{a \leq \tau \leq b} |U(\tau)| > \frac{1}{2}\right), \quad \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \ln \left[\frac{b(1-\alpha)}{a(1-b)} \right]$$

$$\approx \left[\frac{2}{\pi} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \exp \left\{ -\frac{\alpha^2}{2} \right\} \left(\alpha \frac{1}{2} - \frac{\alpha^2}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \right)$$

where $U(\tau)$ is a stationary Ornstein-Uhlenbeck process with $E U(\tau) = 0$

and $E U(s) U(\tau) = e^{-|t-s|}$

$$\text{and } E U(s) U(\tau) = e^{-|t-s|}$$