



Computational Methods for Pricing Structured Products  
Final Examination – 2017 Spring

Time allowed: 2 hours

Course Instructor: Prof. Y. K. Kwok

[points]

1. (a) The Backward-Time Centered-Space finite difference scheme is known to be first order time accurate, where

$$V_j^n(\Delta\tau) = V_j^n(0) + K\Delta\tau + O(\Delta\tau)^2.$$

Here,  $V_j^n(0)$  is visualized as the exact solution of the continuous model and  $K$  is some constant independent of  $\Delta\tau$ . Suppose we achieve smooth numerical finite difference solutions that well observe the linear rate of convergence in time. Show how to perform extrapolation to obtain good estimate of  $V_j^n(0)$  using numerical solution of  $V_j^n(\Delta\tau)$  and  $V_j^n(\frac{\Delta\tau}{2})$ . [2]

- (b) The nondifferentiability of the terminal payoff of a call/put option may cause erratic convergence of the numerical solution due to quantization error in approximating the terminal payoff numerically. In pricing an American call/put option, discuss an appropriate technique of reducing quantization error arising from nondifferentiability of the terminal payoff. [2]

2. Consider the pricing of the participating policy, where the crediting mechanism of the policy value  $P(t)$  across the sampling date  $t$  is governed by

$$P(t^+) = P(t^-) + \max(r_G P(t^-), \alpha\{[A(t) - P(t^-)] - \gamma P(t^-)\}).$$

Here,  $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  are the parameters in the bonus formula,  $r_G$  is the guaranteed minimum return,  $A(t)$  is the asset value process.

- (a) Let  $[P_0, P_{\max}]$  be the computational domain and  $\Delta P$  be the stepwidth, so that  $P_0 = j_0\Delta P$  and  $P_{\max} = J_{\max}\Delta P$ . In the discretized scheme, we set

$$P(t^-) = j\Delta P, A(t) = i\Delta A \text{ and } P(t^+) = \tilde{j}\Delta P.$$

- (i) Deduce the formula for the jump of the index  $j$  to  $\tilde{j}$ . [1]  
(ii) How would you compare this procedure with the correlated evolution function in the Forward Shooting Grid algorithm? [1]
- (b) Suppose the participating policy allows surrender on a sampling date, how would you construct the corresponding dynamic programming procedure so as to incorporate this surrender feature. [3]

*Hint* Recall that it is optimal to surrender right after the sampling date and the contract value is continuous across the sampling date if the contract remains alive.

- (c) Suppose  $P(t^+)$  jumps to  $\tilde{j}\Delta P > J_{\max}\Delta P$ , where  $J_{\max}$  is the upper bound of the index  $j$ . For large values of  $P$ , the contract value is almost linear in  $P$ , so this justifies the use of linear extrapolation. Derive the corresponding linear extrapolation formula in terms of  $V_{t,K}^{i,J_{\max}}$  and  $V_{t,K}^{i,J_{\max}-1}$ . [2]

3. The pricing equation for a defaultable convertible bond  $V(S, t)$  can be formulated as

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2} S^2 \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial S^2} + (r - q + h)S \frac{\partial V}{\partial S} - [r + (1 - R)h]V + c(t) = 0,$$

where  $h$  is the hazard rate of default,  $R$  is the recovery rate and  $c(t)$  is the continuous coupon rate.

- (a) Let  $N(t)$  be the total number of discrete coupons collected from time 0 to  $t$  so that

$$\int_0^t c(u) du = \sum_{i=1}^{N(t)} c_i H(t - t_i),$$

where discrete coupon  $c_i$  is received at time  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N(t)$ .

- (i) Express  $c(t)$  in terms of  $c_i$  and  $t_i$ ,  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N(t)$ . [1]  
(ii) Suppose the explicit finite difference scheme takes the form

$$V_j^{m+1} = P_u V_{j+1}^m + P_m V_j^m + P_d V_{j-1}^m - [r + (1 - R)h]V_j^m$$

at a time level that does not correspond to a coupon payment date. Here,  $x = j\Delta x$ ,  $\tau = m\Delta\tau$  and  $x = \ln S$ . How would you add an extra term in the above finite difference scheme in order to incorporate the discrete coupons payment feature? [2]

- (b) Describe the soft call provision and how it is related to the Parisian feature of knock-out. An extra state variable is needed to capture the excursion time of the stock price shooting above the trigger price  $B$ . How would you modify the above finite difference scheme in order to capture the soft call provision? [4]

4. Let  $\Sigma$  be a  $n \times n$  correlation matrix, which is symmetric and semi-positive definite. We compute the Cholesky decomposition of  $\Sigma$  such that  $AA^T = \Sigma$ . Suppose  $n$  uncorrelated standard normal variables  $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n$  with zero mean and unit variance have been generated.

Given  $A$ , show how to obtain  $n$  correlated normal variables with correlated structure that agrees with  $\Sigma$  from the  $n$  uncorrelated normal variables generated from Monte Carlo simulation. Check mathematically that the new set of normal variables do have  $\Sigma$  as their correlation matrix. [3]

5. The control variate method is based upon using a random variable which is associated with the quality we have to estimate, but which has a known or easily computable expected value, to adjust our estimator and reduce its variance.

Let  $Z$  be correlated with the random variable  $Y$  whose expectation we wish to find. Assume that  $E[Z]$  is known.

Let  $\widehat{\theta} = Y$  be the usual estimator and define another estimator

$$\widehat{\theta}_c = Y + c(Z - E[Z])$$

for some number  $c$ .

(a) Show that  $\text{var}(\widehat{\theta}_c)$  is minimized at  $c = c^*$ , where

$$c^* = -\frac{\text{cov}(Y, Z)}{\text{var}(Z)}. \quad [1]$$

(b) Find  $\text{var}(\widehat{\theta}_{c^*})$  and show that reduction in variance is achieved provided  $\text{cov}(Y, Z) \neq 0$ . [2]

6. Suppose we are interested in computing

$$\theta = E_f[h(X)],$$

where  $X$  has a probability distribution  $f$ . Let  $g$  be another probability distribution with  $g(x) \neq 0$  wherever  $f(x) \neq 0$ . We then have

$$\theta = E_g[h^*(X)],$$

where  $h^*(X) = \frac{h(X)f(X)}{g(X)}$ .

(a) Show that

$$\text{var}_f(h(X)) - \text{var}_g(h^*(X)) = \int h^2(x) \left[1 - \frac{f(x)}{g(x)}\right] f(x) dx. \quad [2]$$

(b) In order to achieve a variance reduction, suppose there is a region  $\mathcal{R}$  where  $h(x)f(x)$  is large, explain why it is desirable to have a density  $g$  that puts more weight on  $\mathcal{R}$ . [2]

7. In the pricing of a fixed strike Asian option with  $n$  fixing dates, we define

$$I(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{m(t)} S(t_i),$$

where  $m(t) = \sup\{1 \leq i \leq n : t_i \leq t\}$ . For a given strike price  $K$ , we define

$$x_t = \frac{\frac{1}{n}I(t) - K}{S_t}.$$

(a) Explain why  $x_t$  exhibits a jump of amount  $\frac{1}{n}$  at each fixing date. Show that the crossing of  $x_t$  across  $x = 0$  can only occur at one of the sampling points. [2]

(b) Explain why the discrete fixed strike Asian option can be visualized as an up-and-out barrier option with an upper barrier at  $x = 0$  with domain of definition:  $\{(x, t) : x < 0 \text{ and } 0 < t < T\}$ . Briefly explain the simplification that can be applied to the pricing problem (without detailed derivation of formulas) when  $x_t > 0$  at a given  $t$ . [3]

8. In the discrete fixed strike lookback call option model, we define

$$x(t) = \frac{\bar{S}(t)}{S(t)} \text{ for } t \geq t_1,$$

where  $\bar{S}(t) = \sup_{1 \leq i \leq m(t)} S(t_i)$ ,  $m(t) = \sup\{1 \leq i \leq n : t_i \leq t\}$ .

(a) Explain why

$$x(t_i) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x(t_{i-}) \leq 1 \\ x(t_{i-}) & \text{if } x(t_{i-}) > 1 \end{cases} . \quad [1]$$

(b) Under the share measure  $Q'$ , we define

$$f(x(t), t) = E_t^{Q'} [e^{-q(T-t)} x(T) | x(t)].$$

Suppose  $\bar{S}(t) \geq K$  and  $t \geq t_1$ , explain why

$$F(S(t), t) = S(t)f(x(t), t) - e^{-r(T-t)}K. \quad [2]$$

(c) Suppose  $\bar{S}(t) < K$ , explain why the lookback call option can be formulated as an up-and-in barrier option. Let  $\tau^*$  be the random first passage time defined by

$$\tau^* = \inf_{i=1,2,\dots,n} \{t_i : S(t_i) \geq K\},$$

how to express the lookback option price function as an expectation in terms of the price function in part (b) and  $\tau^*$ . [4]

— End —