## MATH 685Z – Mathematical Models in Finance Economics

## Homework Six

Course instructor: Prof. Y.K. Kwok

- 1. (Wild cats) Suppose there are n assets which are uncorrelated. (They might be n different "wild cat" oil well prospects.) You may invest in any one, or in any combination of them. The mean rate of return  $\overline{r}$  is the same for each asset, but the variances are different. The return on asset *i* has a variance of  $\sigma_i^2$  for  $i = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .
  - (a) Show the situation on an  $\sigma \overline{r}$  diagram. Describe the efficient set.
  - (b) Find the minimum-variance point. Express your result in terms of

$$\overline{\sigma}^2 = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{\sigma_i^2}\right)^{-1}$$

2. (Markowitz fun) There are just three assets with rates of return  $r_1, r_2$  and  $r_3$ , respectively. The covariance matrix and the expected rates of return are

$$\Omega = \begin{pmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 2 \end{pmatrix}, \quad \overline{\boldsymbol{r}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.4 \\ 0.8 \\ 0.8 \end{pmatrix}.$$

- (a) Find the minimum-variance portfolio. [*Hint*: By symmetry  $w_1 = w_3$ .] (b) Find another efficient portfolio by setting  $\lambda_1 = 0$  and  $\lambda_2 = 1$ . (c) Let the risk-free rate  $r_f$  of the risk free asset be 0.2, find the efficient portfolio consisting of the 3 risky assets and the risk free asset with target expected rate of return of the portfolio set at 0.4.
- 3. (Betting wheel) Consider a general betting wheel with n segments. The payoff for a \$1 bet on a segment *i* is  $A_i$ . Suppose you bet an amount  $B_i = 1/A_i$  on segment *i* for each *i*. Show that the amount you win is independent of the outcome of the wheel. What is the risk-free rate of return for the wheel?
- 4. Suppose that it is impractical to use all the assets that are incorporated into a specified portfolio (such as a given efficient portfolio). One alternative is to find the portfolio, made up a given set of n stocks, that tracks the specified portfolio most closely — in the sense of minimizing the variance of the difference in returns.

Specifically, suppose that the target portfolio has (random) rate of return  $r_M$ . Suppose that there are n assets with (random) rate of return  $r_1, r_2, \cdots, r_n$ . We wish to find the portfolio rate of return

$$r = \alpha_1 r_1 + \alpha_2 r_2 + \dots + \alpha_n r_n$$

$$\left(\text{with } \sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_i = 1\right) \text{ minimizing } \operatorname{var}(r - r_M).$$

- (a) Find a set of equations for the  $\alpha_i$ 's. Solve for  $\alpha_i$ 's.
- (b) Although this portfolio tracks the desired portfolio most closely in terms of variance, it may sacrifice the mean. Hence a logical approach is to minimize the variance of the tracking error subject to achieving a given mean return. As the mean is varied, this results in a family of portfolios that are efficient in a new sense — say, tracking efficient. Find the equation for the  $\alpha_i$ 's that are tracking efficient. Solve for  $\alpha_i$ 's.

5. Consider the special case of r = b/a in the discussion of the One-fund Theorem, where r is the rate of return of the risk free asset,  $a = \mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}$  and  $b = \mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}$ . Apparently, the optimal weight vector  $\boldsymbol{w}^*$  of the risky assets is given by

$$\boldsymbol{w}^* = \lambda \Omega^{-1} (\boldsymbol{\mu} - r \boldsymbol{1}),$$

where  $\lambda$  is any scalar multiple. Since r = b/a, it can be shown that  $\mathbf{1}^T \boldsymbol{w}^* = 0$ . Explore the properties on the set of minimum-variance portfolios as  $\lambda$  varies in value, say, whether the minimum-variance portfolio corresponding to a given value of  $\lambda$  lies on the upper or lower half line.

- 6. Let  $w_0$  be the portfolio (weights) of risky assets corresponding to the global minimumvariance point in the feasible region. Let  $w_1$  be any other portfolio on the efficient frontier. Define  $r_0$  and  $r_1$  to be the corresponding rate of returns.
  - (a) There is a formula of the form cov(r<sub>0</sub>, r<sub>1</sub>) = Aσ<sub>0</sub><sup>2</sup>. Find A. *Hint*: Consider the set of portfolios (1 α)**w**<sub>0</sub> + α**w**<sub>1</sub> with varying values of α, and consider small variations of the variance of such portfolios near α = 0.
  - (b) Corresponding to the portfolio  $\boldsymbol{w}_1$  there is a portfolio  $\boldsymbol{w}_z$  on the minimum-variance set such that  $\operatorname{cov}(r_1, r_z) = 0$ , where  $r_z$  is the rate of return of portfolio  $\boldsymbol{w}_z$ . This portfolio can be expressed as  $\boldsymbol{w}_z = (1 \alpha)\boldsymbol{w}_0 + \alpha \boldsymbol{w}_1$ . Find the proper value of  $\alpha$ .
  - (c) Show the relation of the three portfolios on a diagram that includes the feasible region.
- 7. Solve the optimal portfolio selection model with the inclusion of the risk free asset under the risk tolerance framework. Let r be the riskfree rate,  $w_0$  be the optimal weight of the

risk free asset,  $\boldsymbol{w} = (w_1 \cdots w_N)^T$  and  $\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1 \cdots \mu_N)^T$ . Recall that  $\sum_{i=0} w_i = 1$ . The portfolio expected return  $\mu_P$  and  $\sigma_P^2$  are given by

to expected return 
$$\mu_P$$
 and  $\sigma_P$  are given by

$$\mu_P = w_0 r + \boldsymbol{\mu}^T \mathbf{1}$$
 and  $\sigma_P^2 = \boldsymbol{w}^T \Omega \boldsymbol{w}.$ 

The formulation of the model is to maximize

$$au \mu_P - \frac{\sigma_P^2}{2}$$
 subject to  $\boldsymbol{w}^T \mathbf{1} + w_0 = 1$ ,

where  $\tau$  is the risk tolerance parameter.

8. In the asset-liability model, show the steps that lead to the formula for the weight of the optimal portfolio:

$$\boldsymbol{x}^* = \boldsymbol{x}^{min} + \boldsymbol{z}^L + \tau \boldsymbol{z}^*, \quad \tau \ge 0,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{x}^{min} &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}, \\ \boldsymbol{z}^L &= \Omega^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma} - \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \boldsymbol{\gamma}}{\mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}, \\ \boldsymbol{z}^* &= \Omega^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu} - \frac{\mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \boldsymbol{\mu}}{\mathbf{1}^T \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}} \Omega^{-1} \mathbf{1}. \end{aligned}$$

Here, 
$$\boldsymbol{\mu} = (\mu_1 \cdots \mu_N)^T, \mu_i = E[r_i],$$
  
 $\boldsymbol{\gamma} = (\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_N)^T, \gamma_i = \frac{1}{f_0} \operatorname{cov}(r_i, r_L), \quad i = 1, 2, \cdots, N.$