## Math 6170 C, Lecture on Feb 26, 2020

Yongchang Zhu

		ng	

토 에 제 토 에

- (1) Review of the concept of rational map and morphisms between projective varieties (I  $\S$  3)
- (2). Review of local ring at a smooth point of a curve (II.  $\S 1$ )
- (3). Chapter II,  $\S$  2.

• • = • • = •

Let  $V_1 \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{K})$ ,  $V_2 \subset \mathbb{P}^m(\bar{K})$  be projective varieties. A rational map from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  is a map of the form

$$\phi(P) = [f_0(P), \ldots, f_m(P)]$$

where  $f_0, \ldots, f_m \in \overline{K}(V_1)$  have the property that for every  $P \in V_1$  at which  $f_0, \ldots, f_m$  are all defined, and  $f_i(P)$  are not all 0,

$$\phi(P) = [f_0(P), \dots, f_m(P)] \in V_2 \tag{1}$$

The domain of  $\phi$  includes the set

 $\{P \in V_1 \mid \text{all } f_0(P), \ldots, f_1(P) \text{ are defined and at least one is not } 0\}.$ 

3

A rational map  $V_1 \rightarrow V_2$  given by  $[f_0, \ldots, f_m]$  is regular at a point Q, if there is a function  $g \in \overline{K}(V_1)$  such that each  $gf_i$  is regular at Q, and for some i,  $(gf_i)(Q) \neq 0$ If such a g exists, we set

$$\phi(Q) = [(gf_0)(Q), \ldots, (gf_m)(Q)].$$

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Exercise:  $\phi(Q)$  is well-defined. That is, if there is another set  $\tilde{g} \in \bar{K}(V_1)$  satisfying the similar condition, then

$$[(gf_0)(Q),\ldots,(gf_m)(Q)]=[(\tilde{g}f_0)(Q),\ldots,(\tilde{g}f_m)(Q)]$$

Hint: Prove that  $\tilde{g}g^{-1}$  and  $\tilde{g}^{-1}g$  are both regular at Q.

• = • • = •

For a curve *C* over  $\overline{K}$ ,  $P \in C$  a smooth point, the local ring  $\overline{K}[C]_P$  is a discrete valuation.

We have a surjective valuation map

$$\operatorname{ord}_{P}: \overline{K}[C]_{P} \to \{0, 1, \dots\} \cup \{\infty\}$$

It extends to a map

$$\operatorname{ord}_{P}: \overline{K}(C) \to \mathbb{Z} \cup \{\infty\}$$

 $t \in \bar{K}[C]_P$  is called a **uniformizer** if

$$\operatorname{ord}_P(t) = 1.$$

Every nonzero element  $f \in \overline{K}(C)$  can be written as

 $f=t^k u, \qquad k\in \mathbb{Z}, u \ \text{ is a unit in } \bar{K}[C]_P.$ 

э

$$V = \{(x,y) \in \mathbb{A}^2(\bar{K}) \mid y^2 = x(x-1)(x-\lambda)\}$$

Assume  $\lambda \neq 0, 1$ , every point in V is regular. Its projective closure

$$ar{V}=\{[x,y,z]\in \mathbb{P}^2(ar{K})\mid y^2z=x(x-z)(x-\lambda z)\}$$

has only one point at infinity: [0, 1, 0], which is also regular.

э

(4回) (4回) (4回)

So  $\bar{V}$  is a smooth curve. This is an example of Legendre curve, which is an elliptic curve. Its function field is

$$ar{K}(V) = ar{K}(ar{V}) = \operatorname{Frac} ar{K}[X,Y]/(Y^2 - X(X-1)(X-\lambda))$$

 $P = (0,0) \in V$ . The local ring at P is

$$ar{K}[V]_P = \{rac{f}{g} \in ar{K}(V) \mid g(P) 
eq 0\}.$$

(B)

It is easy to see that  $\bar{K}[V]_P$  consists of the elements of the form

$$\frac{f(X,Y)}{g(X,Y)}$$

such that  $g(0,0) \neq 0$ , i.e., the constant term of g is non-zero. The maximal ideal  $M_P$  of  $\bar{K}[V]_P$  consists of the elements of the form

$$\frac{f(X,Y)}{g(X,Y)}$$

such that  $f(0,0) = 0, g(0,0) \neq 0$ .

One finds that  $\dim_{\bar{K}} M_P / M_P^2 = 1$ ,  $Y + M_P^2$  is the generator of  $M_P / M_P^2$ . So ord<sub>P</sub>(Y) = 1

Because X - 1 and  $X - \lambda$  are units in  $\overline{K}[V]_P$ , so

$$\operatorname{ord}_{P}(X-1) = \operatorname{ord}_{P}(X-\lambda) = 0.$$

By relation  $Y^2 = X(X-1)(X-\lambda)$ , we have

 $\operatorname{ord}_P(X) = 2$ 

イロト イヨト イヨト -

Exercise (1) Find  $\operatorname{ord}_P(Y^2 - X)$ 

(2) Prove that  $\frac{Y^2-X}{Y^2+(1-\lambda)X}$  is a regular at P

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

**Proposition 2.1.** Let C be a curve,  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^{N}(\overline{K})$  a variety,  $P \in C$  a smooth point, and  $\phi : C \to V$  a rational map. Then  $\phi$  is regular at P. In particular, if C is smooth, then  $\phi$  is regular at all points in C, so it is a morphism.

*Proof.* Suppose  $\phi = [f_0, f_1, \ldots, f_N]$ ,  $f_i \in \overline{K}(C)$ , Not all  $f_0, \ldots, f_N$  are 0.

Choose a uniformizer  $t \in \overline{K}(C)$  at P. Then each non-zero  $f_i$  can be written as  $f_i = t^{k_i}u_i$ ,  $k_i \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $u_i \in \overline{K}[C]$  is a unit.

We assume  $f_j \neq 0$  and  $k_j$  is the smallest among all  $k_i$ 's then  $t^{-k_j}f_0, t^{-k_j}f_1, \ldots, t^{-k_j}f_N$  are all regular at P and  $(t^{-k_j}f_j)(P) = u_i(P) \neq 0$ .

This proves  $\phi$  is regular at *P*.

Let C/K be a smooth curve and  $f \in K(C)$  a non-zero rational function. Then f defines a rational map (also denoted by f for simplicity)

$$f: C \to \mathbb{P}^1(\bar{K}), \quad P \mapsto [f(P), 1]$$

The formula makes sense for P's with  $\operatorname{ord}_P(f) \ge 0$ .

For P a pole of f, we take a uniformizer t at P, then  $f = t^{-k}u$ , k < 0,  $u \in \overline{K}[C]_P$  is a unit, so  $u(P) \neq 0$ .

$$f: P \mapsto [(t^k f)(P), t^k(P)] = [u(P), 0] = [1, 0].$$

A B M A B M

The above map is not the non-constant map  $\infty$ . Conversely, any morphism that is not constant map  $\infty$  is given by a unique non-zero  $f \in \overline{K}(C)$ .

3 K 4 3 K

Let  $\phi: C_1 \to C_2$  be a morphism of curves. Then  $\phi$  is either constant or surjective.

*Proof.* Because  $C_1$  is projective, so  $Im(\phi)$  is a closed subset of  $C_2$ . And  $Im(\phi)$  is connected. Since  $C_2$  is a curve, a closed connected subset is either a point or  $C_2$  itself.

A B > A B >

If a projective variety V is defined over K, this means that  $V \subset \mathbb{P}^n(\bar{K})$  has the property that its ideal I(V) can be generated by homogeneous polynomials  $f_1, \ldots, f_m$  in  $K[X_0, X_1, \ldots, X_n]$ .

The function field K(V) over K is defined to be the subfield of  $\operatorname{Frac} K[X]/(f_1, \ldots, f_m)$  that consists of elements of degree 0. If  $V_1, V_2$  are both defined over K, then one can define the concept of a rational map or a morphism from  $V_1$  to  $V_2$  defined over K.

Let  $C_1/K$  and  $C_2/K$  be curves and  $\phi: C_1 \to C_2$  a non-constant rational map defined over K. Then  $\phi$  induces an field extension

 $\phi^*: K(C_2) \to K(C_1)$ 

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Let  $C_1/K$  and  $C_2/K$  be curves. Assume both are smooth (i.e., all  $\bar{K}$ -points are smooth. Then

(a). Let  $\phi : C_1 \to C_2$  be a non-constant morphism defined over K. Then  $K(C_1)$  is a finite extension of  $K(C_2)$ .

(b). Let  $\iota : K(C_2) \to K(C_1)$  be an injection of fields fixing K. Then there is unique non-constant morphism  $\phi : C_1 \to C_2$  defined over K that induces the  $\iota$ .

イロト イヨト イヨト ・

(c). If  $\mathbb{K}$  is finitely generated extension of K of transcendental degree 1 satisfying  $\mathbb{K} \cap \overline{K} = K$ , then there exists a unique smooth curve C over K such that  $K(C) = \mathbb{K}$ .

Proof of (a): Because  $K(C_1)$  and  $K(C_2)$  have transcendental degree 1 over K, and both are finitely generated field extensions of K.

The following two categories are anti-equivalent:

Geometric Category: Objects are smooth curves defined over K. Morphisms are non-constant rational maps defined over K.

Algebaic Category: Objects are finitely generated field extensions  $\mathbb{K}$  of K with  $\mathbb{K} \cap \overline{K} = K$  and  $\operatorname{Tr} \deg \mathbb{K}/K = 1$ . Morphisms are field homomorphisms over K.

Another example of anti-equivalence of categories:

Geometric Category: objects are compact Hausdorff topological spaces, morphisms are continuous maps.

Algebaic Category: objects are unital commutative  $C^*$ -algebras, morphisms are  $C^*$ -homomorphisms.

An easy example of anti-equivalence of categories:

Geometric Category: objects are finite sets, morphisms are maps.

Algebaic Category: objects are unital finite dimensional commutative  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebras with no non-zero nilpotent algebras, morphisms are  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra homomorphisms.

A B < A B </p>

Let  $\phi: C_1 \to C_2$  be a non-constant map of curves over K, we define the **degree** of f by

$$\deg \phi = [K(C_1) : K(C_2)].$$

æ

イロト イ理ト イヨト イヨト

Let  $\phi : C_1 \to C_2$  be a non-constant map of smooth curves, and let  $P \in C_1$ . The **ramification index** of  $\phi$  at P, denoted by  $e_{\phi}(P)$ , is given by

$$e_{\phi}(P) = \operatorname{ord}_{P}(\phi^{*}t_{\phi(P)}),$$

where  $t_{\phi(P)} \in K(C_2)$  is a uniformizer at  $\phi(P)$ .

Note that  $e_{\phi}(P) \geq 1$ , because

$$C_1 \stackrel{\phi}{\to} C_2 \stackrel{t_{\phi(P)}}{\to} \mathbb{P}^1, \ P \mapsto \phi(P) \mapsto 0$$

We say that  $\phi$  is **unramified at** P if  $e_{\phi}(P) = 1$ ,  $\phi$  is unramified if  $\phi$  is unramified at every point of  $C_1(\bar{K})$ .

э

A B M A B M

Complex analytic analog of ramification:

$$f: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}, \quad f(z) = z^5$$

*f* is ramified at z = 0, the ramification index is 5. *f* is unramified at all other points: for any  $c \neq 0$ , any root of the equation  $z^5 - c = 0$  has multiplicity 1.

Let  $\phi$  be a non-constant map of smooth curves. (a) For every  $Q \in C_2$ ,

$$\sum_{P\in\phi^{-1}(Q)}e_{\phi}(P)=\deg{(\phi)}.$$

(b) For all but finitely many  $Q \in C_2$ ,

$$|\phi^{-1}(Q)| = \deg_s(\phi).$$

where  $\deg_s(\phi)$  is the separable degree of the field extension  $\phi^*$ . (c) Let  $\psi : C_2 \to C_3$  be another non-constant map. Then for all  $P \in C_1$ ,

$$e_{\psi\circ\phi}(P) = e_{\phi}(P)e_{\psi}(\phi P)$$

• • = • • = •

Consider the field extension  $\bar{K}(C_2) \subset \bar{K}(C_1)$  induced by  $\phi$ . Let R be the integral closure of the local ring  $\bar{K}[C_2]_Q$  in  $\bar{K}(C_1)$ , then R is a free module of  $\bar{K}[C_2]_Q$  with rank deg  $(\phi)$ . R has exactly  $|\phi^{-1}(Q)|$  maximal ideals, each corresponds a point in  $\phi^{-1}(Q)$ . Then consider  $R/RM_Q$  as a vector space of  $\bar{K}[C_2]_Q/M_Q = \bar{K}$  with dimension deg  $(\phi)$ . Then prove

$$R/RM_Q = \oplus_{P \in \phi^{-1}(Q)} (\bar{K})^{e_{\phi}(P)}.$$

This will prove (a).

## A map $\phi: C_1 \to C_2$ is unramified iff $|\phi^{-1}(Q)| = \deg(\phi)$ for all $Q \in C_2$ .

3

ヘロト ヘロト ヘヨト ヘヨト

If char(K) = p > 0, and let  $q = p^r$ . For any *n*-variable polynomial  $f \in K[X]$ , let  $f^{(q)}$  be the polynomial obtained from f by raising each coefficient of f to the q-th power.

Then for any curve C/K we can define a new curve  $C^{(q)}/K$  by describing its homogeneous ideal as

 $I(C^{(q)}) = \text{ideal generated by } f^{(q)}, f \in I(C)$ 

イロン イヨン イヨン

There is a natural map from C to  $C^{(q)}$ , called the q-power Frobenius morphism, given by

$$\phi([x_0,\ldots,x_n])=[x_0^q,\ldots,x_n^q]$$

э

(B)

Then

$$\phi^* \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}^{(q)}) = \{ f^q \mid f \in \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{C}) \}$$

so the field extension  $K(C^{(q)}) \subset K(C)$  is a purely inseparable of degree q.

Conversely of  $\phi : C \to C'$  is a non-constant morphism of smooth curves over K such that  $\phi^* : K(C') \to K(C)$  is a purely inseparable extension of degree q, then  $C' = C^{(q)}$  and  $\phi$  is the q-power Frobenius map. Let C be a curve over  $\overline{K}$ . A divisor of C is a formal finite  $\mathbb{Z}$ -linear combination of points in C:

$$n_1(P_1)+\cdots+n_k(P_k).$$

This sum can be regarded as the sum over all the points P in C

$$\sum_{P\in C} n_P(P)$$

such that for  $P = P_i$ ,  $n_P = n_i$  and all other  $k_P$  are 0.

The set of all divisors is denoted by

 $\operatorname{Div}(C)$ 

which has a group structure under the obvious addition.

The degree of  $D = \sum_{P \in C} n_P(P)$  is  $\deg D = \sum_{P \in C} n_P.$ 

(本部) (本語) (本語) (二语

## End

æ

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲厘▶ ▲厘▶ -